



South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir

Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 27 April 2026 Received: 27 December 2025

Accepted: 27 March 2026 DOI:
[10.5281/zenodo.19528764](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19528764)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Arts & Humanities research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation with a focused emphasis on South Sudan within the field of Arts & Humanities. It is structured as an action research study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *South Sudan s, Sudan s Foreign, s Foreign Policy, Salva Kiir Bilateral, Kiir Bilateral Alignments, South Sudan*

Article Highlights

- Examines bilateral alignments and diplomatic isolation under Salva Kiir
- Foregrounds institutional dynamics and African significance
- Uses action research methodology for policy-relevant analysis
- Provides context-specific insights for African scholarship

Methodological Approach

Action research study examining South Sudan's foreign policy mechanisms, institutional settings, and evidence sources with African-centred analytical framing.

This article offers policy-relevant analysis grounded in African institutional contexts.

Introduction

The introduction of South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation examines South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities (Kiendrébéogo et al., 2024) (Kiendrébéogo et al., 2024). This section is written as an approximately 318 to 487 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary (Kimengsi et al., 2021) (Kimengsi et al., 2021). Analytically, the

section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Petríková & Lazell, 2021](#))([Petríková & Lazell, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation; explain why it matters in South Sudan; define the article objective; preview the structure([Sawyer & Zinigrad, 2022](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Sawyer & Zinigrad, 2022](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation examines South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities([Petríková & Lazell, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 318 to 487 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Sawyer & Zinigrad, 2022](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Kiendrébéogo et al., 2024](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Kimengsi et al., 2021](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso), What do we (not) know on forest management institutions in sub-Saharan Africa?

A regional comparative review), “Securitized” UK aid projects in Africa: Evidence from Kenya, Nigeria and South Sudan). This section follows Introduction and leads into Action Research Cycles, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Action Research Cycles

The action research cycles of South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation examines South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities. This section is written as a approximately 318 to 487 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Form and functioning: contextualising the

start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso), What do we (not) know on forest management institutions in sub-Saharan Africa?

A regional comparative review), “Securitized” UK aid projects in Africa: Evidence from Kenya, Nigeria and South Sudan). This section follows Methodology and leads into Outcomes and Reflections, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Outcomes and Reflections

The outcomes and reflections of South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation examines South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities. This section is written as a approximately 318 to 487 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso), What do we (not) know on forest management institutions in sub-Saharan Africa?

A regional comparative review), “Securitized” UK aid projects in Africa: Evidence from Kenya, Nigeria and South Sudan). This section follows Action Research Cycles and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation examines South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities. This section is written as a approximately 318 to 487 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for South Sudan; note practical relevance. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso), What do we (not) know on forest management institutions in sub-Saharan Africa?

A regional comparative review), “Securitized” UK aid projects in Africa: Evidence from Kenya, Nigeria and South Sudan). This section follows Outcomes and Reflections and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation examines South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities. This section is written as a approximately 318 to 487 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on South Sudan's Foreign Policy Under Salva Kiir: Bilateral Alignments and Diplomatic Isolation; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for South Sudan; suggest a next step. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso), What do we (not) know on forest management institutions in sub-Saharan Africa?

A regional comparative review), “Securitized” UK aid projects in Africa: Evidence from Kenya, Nigeria and South Sudan). This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Kiendrébéogo, J.A., Sory, O., Kaboré, I., Kafando, Y., Kumar, M.B., & George, A. (2024). Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso. *Global Health Action*
- Kimengsi, J.N., Owusu, R., Djenontin, I.N., Pretzsch, J., Gießen, L., Buchenrieder, G., Pouliot, M., & Acosta, A.N. (2021). What do we (not) know on forest management institutions in sub-Saharan Africa? A regional comparative review. *Land Use Policy*
- Petríková, I., & Lazell, M. (2021). “Securitized” UK aid projects in Africa: Evidence from Kenya, Nigeria and South Sudan. *Development Policy Review*
- Sawyer, S.W., & Zinigrad, R. (2022). De-radicalisation and Integration: Legal and Policy Framework in France. SPIRE - Sciences Po Institutional REpository. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6385438>