



Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States

An African Union Perspective

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 25 October 2025
2025

Received: 09 June

Accepted: 14 September 2025

DOI:

[10.5281/zenodo.19539908](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19539908)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on African Studies research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective with a focused emphasis on Namibia within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a action research study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Public Works Programmes, African Union Perspective, Public Works, Works Programmes, Social Protection, African States*

Article Highlights

- Examines public works programmes through an African Union perspective
- Focuses on Namibia as a case study within African social protection
- Uses action research methodology to bridge policy and practice
- Emphasizes institutional mechanisms and African-specific dynamics

Methodological Approach

Action research design examining Namibia's institutional frameworks, with analytical focus on mechanisms shaping social protection outcomes.

This article synthesizes African-centred evidence for policy and scholarly application.

Introduction

The introduction of Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective examines Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective in relation to Namibia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies([Ahmad et al., 2025](#))([Ahmad et al., 2025](#)). This section is written as a approximately 340 to 521 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a

placeholder summary([Longhurst & Slater, 2022](#))([Longhurst & Slater, 2022](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Lynd & Loyd, 2022](#))([Lynd & Loyd, 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective; explain why it matters in Namibia; define the article objective; preview the structure([Missbach & Stange, 2021](#)). In the context of Namibia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Missbach & Stange, 2021](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective examines Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective in relation to Namibia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies([Lynd & Loyd, 2022](#)). This section is written as a approximately 340 to 521 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Missbach & Stange, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Ahmad et al., 2025](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Longhurst & Slater, 2022](#)). In the context of Namibia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World), Shock-Responsive Social Protection: What is Known About What Works in Fragile and Conflict-Affected Situations?), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Action Research Cycles, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Action Research Cycles

The action research cycles of Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective examines Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective in relation to Namibia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 340 to 521 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective; keep the section specific to Namibia; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Namibia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key

scholarship informing this section includes Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World), Shock-Responsive Social Protection: What is Known About What Works in Fragile and Conflict-Affected Situations?), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Outcomes and Reflections, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Outcomes and Reflections

The outcomes and reflections of Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective examines Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective in relation to Namibia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 340 to 521 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective; keep the section specific to Namibia; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Namibia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World), Shock-Responsive Social Protection: What is Known About What Works in Fragile and Conflict-Affected Situations?), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union).

This section follows Action Research Cycles and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective examines Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective in relation to Namibia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 340 to 521 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Namibia; note practical relevance. In the context of Namibia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World), Shock-Responsive Social Protection: What is Known About What Works in Fragile and Conflict-Affected Situations?), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union).

This section follows Outcomes and Reflections and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective examines Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective in relation to Namibia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 340 to 521 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Public Works Programmes and Social Protection in African States: An African Union Perspective; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Namibia; suggest a next step. In the context of Namibia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World), Shock-Responsive Social Protection: What is Known About What Works in Fragile and Conflict-Affected Situations?), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Ahmad, I., Waheed, A., & Ali, S. (2025). Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World. *Social science review archives*.
- Longhurst, D., & Slater, R. (2022). Shock-Responsive Social Protection: What is Known About What Works in Fragile and Conflict-Affected Situations?
- Lynd, H., & Loyd, T. (2022). Histories of Color: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union. *Slavic Review*
- Missbach, A., & Stange, G. (2021). Muslim Solidarity and the Lack of Effective Protection for Rohingya Refugees in Southeast Asia. *Social Sciences*