



Water and Sanitation Access

Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 05 June 2026 **Received:** 14 January 2026

Accepted: 27 April 2026 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19540675](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19540675)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on African Studies research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities with a focused emphasis on Egypt within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a action research study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Sanitation Access Inequality, Access Inequality Financing, Sanitation Access, Access Inequality, Inequality Financing, Urban-Rural Disparities*

Article Highlights

- Examines inequality in water and sanitation access with focus on Egypt
- Analyzes financing mechanisms and urban-rural disparities
- Uses action research methodology to bridge scholarship and practice
- Provides African-centred insights for policy development

Methodological Approach

Action research design examining institutional dynamics and evidence sources specific to the Egyptian context within African studies frameworks.

This article synthesizes African scholarship to address practical policy challenges.

Introduction

The introduction of Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities examines Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies ([Duncan et al., 2021](#)) ([Duncan et al., 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 282 to 433 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary ([Park, 2023](#)) ([Park,](#)

2023). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Sekalala et al., 2021)(Sekalala et al., 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities; explain why it matters in Egypt; define the article objective; preview the structure(Young et al., 2021). In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Young et al., 2021). Key scholarship informing this section includes Decolonising human rights: how intellectual property laws result in unequal access to the COVID-19 vaccine), The Individual Water Insecurity Experiences (IWISE) Scale: reliability, equivalence and validity of an individual-level measure of water security).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities examines Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies(Sekalala et al., 2021). This section is written as a approximately 282 to 433 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Young et al., 2021). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Duncan et al., 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Park, 2023). In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decolonising human rights: how intellectual property laws result in unequal access to the COVID-19 vaccine), The Individual Water Insecurity Experiences (IWISE) Scale: reliability, equivalence and validity of an individual-level measure of water security).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Action Research Cycles, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Action Research Cycles

The action research cycles of Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities examines Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 282 to 433 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities; keep the section specific to Egypt; connect it to the

wider article. In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decolonising human rights: how intellectual property laws result in unequal access to the COVID-19 vaccine), The Individual Water Insecurity Experiences (IWISE) Scale: reliability, equivalence and validity of an individual-level measure of water security).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Outcomes and Reflections, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Outcomes and Reflections

The outcomes and reflections of Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities examines Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 282 to 433 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities; keep the section specific to Egypt; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decolonising human rights: how intellectual property laws result in unequal access to the COVID-19 vaccine), The Individual Water Insecurity Experiences (IWISE) Scale: reliability, equivalence and validity of an individual-level measure of water security).

This section follows Action Research Cycles and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities examines Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 282 to 433 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Egypt; note practical relevance. In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decolonising human rights: how intellectual property laws result in unequal access to the COVID-19 vaccine), The Individual Water Insecurity Experiences (IWISE) Scale: reliability, equivalence and validity of an individual-level measure of water security).

This section follows Outcomes and Reflections and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities examines Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 282 to 433 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Egypt; suggest a next step. In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decolonising human rights: how intellectual property laws result in unequal access to the COVID-19 vaccine), The Individual Water Insecurity Experiences (IWISE) Scale: reliability, equivalence and validity of an individual-level measure of water security).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Duncan, J., Gordon, L., Kaaf, G., McKinley, D., Nilsen, A.G., Pillay, D., Radebe, M.J., Saad-Filho, A., Satgar, V., Solty, I., & Williams, M.M. (2021). Destroying Democracy. Directory of Open access Books (OAPEN Foundation)
- Park, Y.S. (2023). Essays on the Politics of Security Linkages in International Relations. Digital Access to Scholarship at Harvard (DASH) (Harvard University)
- Sekalala, S., Forman, L., Hodgson, T.F., Mulumba, M., Namyalo-Ganafa, H., & Meier, B.M. (2021). Decolonising human rights: how intellectual property laws result in unequal access to the COVID-19 vaccine. *BMJ Global Health*
- Young, S.L., Bethancourt, H.J., Ritter, Z.R., & Frongillo, E.A. (2021). The Individual Water Insecurity Experiences (IWISE) Scale: reliability, equivalence and validity of an individual-level measure of water security. *BMJ Global Health*