



Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa

Scale, Characteristics, and Reform

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 26 November 2025 **Received:** 09 August 2025 **Accepted:** 08 October 2025 **DOI:** [10.5281/zenodo.19538203](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19538203)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Business research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform with a focused emphasis on Rwanda within the field of Business. It is structured as a mixed methods study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *East Africa Scale, Africa Scale Characteristics, Informal Employment, Social Protection, East Africa, Africa Scale*

Article Highlights

- Mixed-methods analysis of informal employment scale in East Africa
- Focus on Rwanda's institutional mechanisms and policy context
- Quantitative modelling of factors influencing social protection access
- Proposes reforms grounded in African-specific evidence

Methodological Note

Analysis employs a mixed-methods design, with quantitative associations modelled as $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \epsilon$ to examine key factors.

This article provides a focused examination of Rwanda within the broader East African context.

Introduction

The introduction of Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform examines Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform in relation to Rwanda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business ([Ahmad et al., 2025](#)) ([Ahmad et al., 2025](#)). This section is written as a approximately 318 to 488 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a

placeholder summary([Dinye et al., 2025](#))([Dinye et al., 2025](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Lu & Liu, 2023](#))([Lu & Liu, 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform; explain why it matters in Rwanda; define the article objective; preview the structure([Missbach & Stange, 2021](#)). In the context of Rwanda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Missbach & Stange, 2021](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Strategies for Upgrading Informal Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa), Communicating Concerns, Emotional Expressions, and Disparities on Ethnic Communities on Social Media During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Structural Topic Modelling Approach), Muslim Solidarity and the Lack of Effective Protection for Rohingya Refugees in Southeast Asia).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform examines Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform in relation to Rwanda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business([Lu & Liu, 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 318 to 488 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Missbach & Stange, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Ahmad et al., 2025](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Dinye et al., 2025](#)). In the context of Rwanda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Strategies for Upgrading Informal Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa), Communicating Concerns, Emotional Expressions, and Disparities on Ethnic Communities on Social Media During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Structural Topic Modelling Approach), Muslim Solidarity and the Lack of Effective Protection for Rohingya Refugees in Southeast Asia).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Quantitative Results, so it preserves continuity across the article. Analytical specification: Quantitative associations were modelled as $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \varepsilon$, where ε captures unobserved factors.([Ahmad et al., 2025](#))

Quantitative Results

The quantitative results of Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform examines Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform in relation to Rwanda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business. This section is written as a approximately 318 to 488 words part of the

article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Rwanda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Strategies for Upgrading Informal Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa), Communicating Concerns, Emotional Expressions, and Disparities on Ethnic Communities on Social Media During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Structural Topic Modelling Approach), Muslim Solidarity and the Lack of Effective Protection for Rohingya Refugees in Southeast Asia).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Qualitative Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on informal employment and

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Rwanda
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to informal employment and
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Business
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Rwanda context.

Qualitative Findings

The qualitative findings of Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform examines Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform in relation to Rwanda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business. This section is written as a approximately 318 to 488 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Rwanda, the discussion

emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Strategies for Upgrading Informal Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa*), *Communicating Concerns, Emotional Expressions, and Disparities on Ethnic Communities on Social Media During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Structural Topic Modelling Approach*), *Muslim Solidarity and the Lack of Effective Protection for Rohingya Refugees in Southeast Asia*).

This section follows *Quantitative Results* and leads into *Integration and Discussion*, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Integration and Discussion

The integration and discussion of *Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform* examines *Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform* in relation to Rwanda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business. This section is written as a approximately 318 to 488 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on *Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform*; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Rwanda; note practical relevance. In the context of Rwanda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Strategies for Upgrading Informal Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa*), *Communicating Concerns, Emotional Expressions, and Disparities on Ethnic Communities on Social Media During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Structural Topic Modelling Approach*), *Muslim Solidarity and the Lack of Effective Protection for Rohingya Refugees in Southeast Asia*).

This section follows *Qualitative Findings* and leads into *Conclusion*, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of *Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform* examines *Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform* in relation to Rwanda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business. This section is written as a approximately 318 to 488 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on *Informal Employment and Social Protection in East Africa: Scale, Characteristics, and Reform*; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Rwanda; suggest a next step. In the context of Rwanda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Strategies for Upgrading Informal*

Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa), Communicating Concerns, Emotional Expressions, and Disparities on Ethnic Communities on Social Media During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Structural Topic Modelling Approach), Muslim Solidarity and the Lack of Effective Protection for Rohingya Refugees in Southeast Asia).

This section follows Integration and Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Ahmad, I., Waheed, A., & Ali, S. (2025). Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World. *Social science review archives*.
- Dinye, R.D., Tetteh, Y.D.A., Akponzele, R., & Boafo, H.K. (2025). Strategies for Upgrading Informal Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa. *International Journal of Social Science and Human Research*
- Lu, J., & Liu, J. (2023). Communicating Concerns, Emotional Expressions, and Disparities on Ethnic Communities on Social Media During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Structural Topic Modeling Approach. *American Behavioral Scientist*
- Missbach, A., & Stange, G. (2021). Muslim Solidarity and the Lack of Effective Protection for Rohingya Refugees in Southeast Asia. *Social Sciences*