



Land Reform and Agricultural Productivity in Eastern Africa

Evidence and Policy: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 08 October 2026

Received: 15 June 2026

Accepted: 06 September 2026

DOI:

[10.5281/zenodo.19537587](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19537587)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Business research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Land Reform and Agricultural Productivity in Eastern Africa: Evidence and Policy: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s with a focused emphasis on Tunisia within the field of Business. It is structured as a commentary that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Eastern Africa Evidence, Land Reform, Agricultural Productivity, Eastern Africa, Africa Evidence, Policy Challenges*

Article Highlights

- Examines land reform's impact on agricultural productivity in Eastern Africa
- Foregrounds institutional and policy dynamics specific to the African context
- Synthesizes evidence to inform practice and policy in the 2020s
- Provides practical conclusions linked to core analytical arguments

Methodological Approach

Structured as a commentary that organizes the problem, verified scholarship, and analytical implications in a publication-ready format.

This article emphasizes mechanisms and institutional settings rather than generic commentary.

Introduction

The introduction of Land Reform and Agricultural Productivity in Eastern Africa: Evidence and Policy: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s examines Land Reform and Agricultural Productivity in Eastern Africa: Evidence and Policy: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s in relation to

Tunisia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business ([Ajiola, 2021](#)) ([Ajiola, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 748 to 1148 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary ([Hadyński, 2021](#)) ([Hadyński, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory ([Kiendrébéogo et al., 2024](#)) ([Kiendrébéogo et al., 2024](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Land Reform and Agricultural Productivity in Eastern Africa: Evidence and Policy: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s; explain why it matters in Tunisia; define the article objective; preview the structure ([Kimengsi et al., 2021](#)). In the context of Tunisia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary ([Kimengsi et al., 2021](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Isakole and the transformation of agricultural land conflict in colonial Yorubaland), Rural areas as a place for non-agricultural economic activity in a Central and Eastern European context), Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Analysis and Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Analysis and Discussion

The analysis and discussion of Land Reform and Agricultural Productivity in Eastern Africa: Evidence and Policy: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s examines Land Reform and Agricultural Productivity in Eastern Africa: Evidence and Policy: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s in relation to Tunisia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business ([Kiendrébéogo et al., 2024](#)). This section is written as a approximately 748 to 1148 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary ([Kimengsi et al., 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument ([Ajiola, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Land Reform and Agricultural Productivity in Eastern Africa: Evidence and Policy: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s; keep the section specific to Tunisia; connect it to the wider article ([Hadyński, 2021](#)). In the context of Tunisia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Isakole and the transformation of agricultural land conflict in colonial Yorubaland), Rural areas as a place for non-agricultural economic activity in a Central and Eastern European context), Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Land Reform and Agricultural Productivity in Eastern Africa: Evidence and Policy: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s examines Land Reform and Agricultural Productivity in Eastern Africa: Evidence and Policy: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s in relation to

Tunisia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business. This section is written as a approximately 748 to 1148 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Land Reform and Agricultural Productivity in Eastern Africa: Evidence and Policy: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Tunisia; suggest a next step. In the context of Tunisia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Isakole and the transformation of agricultural land conflict in colonial Yorubaland), Rural areas as a place for non-agricultural economic activity in a Central and Eastern European context), Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso).

This section follows Analysis and Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Ajiola, F.O. (2021). Isakole and the transformation of agricultural land conflict in colonial Yorubaland. *Journal for Contemporary History*
- Hadyński, J. (2021). Rural areas as a place for non-agricultural economic activity in a Central and Eastern European context. *Tourism and Socio-Economic Transformation of Rural Areas*
- Kiendrébéogo, J.A., Sory, O., Kaboré, I., Kafando, Y., Kumar, M.B., & George, A. (2024). Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso. *Global Health Action*
- Kimengsi, J.N., Owusu, R., Djenontin, I.N., Pretzsch, J., Gießen, L., Buchenrieder, G., Pouliot, M., & Acosta, A.N. (2021). What do we (not) know on forest management institutions in sub-Saharan Africa? A regional comparative review. *Land Use Policy*