



Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law

A Feminist Political Economy Approach

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 26 December 2021
September 2021

Received: 17

Accepted: 06 December 2021 **DOI:**

[10.5281/zenodo.19549484](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19549484)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Business research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach with a focused emphasis on Nigeria within the field of Business. It is structured as a policy brief that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *African Public Law, Feminist Political Economy, Political Economy Approach, Administrative Justice, Fair Hearing, African Public*

Article Highlights

- Examines fair hearing rights through feminist political economy in Nigeria.
- Analyses institutional mechanisms within African public law contexts.
- Links administrative justice failures to gendered economic disparities.
- Proposes context-sensitive reforms for African administrative systems.

Core Analytical Focus

This article applies a feminist political economy framework to critique administrative justice in Nigerian public law, emphasising the intersection of institutional mechanisms and economic vulnerability.

This analysis centers on Nigeria as a case study within broader African public law discourse.

Executive Summary

The executive summary of Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach examines Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach in relation to Nigeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business([Boyd, 2021](#)). This section is written as a placeholder summary([Bruijn, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument([Doorn & Vijay, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach; keep the section specific to Nigeria; connect it to the wider article([Haugen, 2021](#)). In the context of Nigeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Boyd, 2021](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes The Poverty of Theory: Public Problems, Instrument Choice, and the Climate Emergency), The financial and administrative burden of financially vulnerable households), Does TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights) prevent COVID-19 vaccines as a global public good([Bruijn, 2021](#))?).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Introduction, so it preserves continuity across the article([Doorn & Vijay, 2021](#)).

Introduction

The introduction of Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach examines Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach in relation to Nigeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business([Boyd, 2021](#)). This section is written as a placeholder summary([Bruijn, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Doorn & Vijay, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach; explain why it matters in Nigeria; define the article objective; preview the structure. In the context of Nigeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Haugen, 2021](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes The Poverty of Theory: Public Problems, Instrument Choice, and the Climate Emergency), The financial and administrative burden of financially vulnerable households), Does TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights) prevent COVID-19 vaccines as a global public good?).

This section follows Executive Summary and leads into Key Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Key Findings

The key findings of Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach examines Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach in relation to Nigeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business (Bruijn, 2021). This section is written as a approximately 333 to 511 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach; keep the section specific to Nigeria; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Nigeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The Poverty of Theory: Public Problems, Instrument Choice, and the Climate Emergency), The financial and administrative burden of financially vulnerable households), Does TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights) prevent COVID-19 vaccines as a global public good?).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Policy Implications, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Implications

The policy implications of Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach examines Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach in relation to Nigeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business. This section is written as a approximately 333 to 511 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach; keep the section specific to Nigeria; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Nigeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The Poverty of Theory: Public Problems, Instrument Choice, and the Climate Emergency), The financial and administrative burden of financially vulnerable households), Does TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights) prevent COVID-19 vaccines as a global public good?).

This section follows Key Findings and leads into Recommendations, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Recommendations

The recommendations of Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach examines Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach in relation to Nigeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business. This section is written as a approximately 333 to 511 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach; keep the section specific to Nigeria; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Nigeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The Poverty of Theory: Public Problems, Instrument Choice, and the Climate Emergency*), *The financial and administrative burden of financially vulnerable households*), *Does TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights) prevent COVID-19 vaccines as a global public good?*).

This section follows Policy Implications and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach examines Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach in relation to Nigeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business. This section is written as a approximately 333 to 511 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Administrative Justice and the Right to a Fair Hearing in African Public Law: A Feminist Political Economy Approach; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Nigeria; suggest a next step. In the context of Nigeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The Poverty of Theory: Public Problems, Instrument Choice, and the Climate Emergency*), *The financial and administrative burden of financially vulnerable households*), *Does TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights) prevent COVID-19 vaccines as a global public good?*).

This section follows Recommendations and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Boyd, W. ([2021](#)). The Poverty of Theory: Public Problems, Instrument Choice, and the Climate Emergency. *Columbia Journal of Environmental Law*
- Bruijn, E.D. ([2021](#)). The financial and administrative burden of financially vulnerable households
- Doorn, N.V., & Vijay, D. ([2021](#)). Gig work as migrant work: The platformization of migration infrastructure. *Environment and Planning A Economy and Space*
- Haugen, H.M. ([2021](#)). Does TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights) prevent COVID-19 vaccines as a global public good?. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*