



Water and Sanitation Access

Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 16 February 2026
November 2025

Received: 12

Accepted: 21 January 2026 **DOI:**

[10.5281/zenodo.19541821](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19541821)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Business research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications with a focused emphasis on São Tomé and Príncipe within the field of Business. It is structured as a qualitative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Sanitation Access Inequality, Access Inequality Financing, Urban-Rural Disparities Fiscal, Disparities Fiscal Dimensions, Sanitation Access, Access Inequality*

Article Highlights

- Examines water and sanitation access inequality in São Tomé and Príncipe
- Analyzes fiscal dimensions and revenue implications of urban-rural disparities
- Focuses on institutional mechanisms within the African context
- Provides qualitative evidence for policy and practice advancement

Methodological Approach

Qualitative study examining institutional dynamics, policy implications, and fiscal dimensions through an African-centred analytical framework.

This article synthesizes evidence for context-specific policy insights in African regional development.

Introduction

The introduction of Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications examines Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications in

relation to São Tomé and Príncipe, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business(Duncan et al., 2021)(Duncan et al., 2021). This section is written as a approximately 441 to 676 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Ranaweera et al., 2021)(Ranaweera et al., 2021). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Young et al., 2021)(Young et al., 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications; explain why it matters in São Tomé and Príncipe; define the article objective; preview the structure(Zeng et al., 2022). In the context of São Tomé and Príncipe, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Zeng et al., 2022). Key scholarship informing this section includes Urban Resilience for Urban Sustainability: Concepts, Dimensions, and Perspectives), Survey on Multi-Access Edge Computing Security and Privacy), The Individual Water Insecurity Experiences (IWISE) Scale: reliability, equivalence and validity of an individual-level measure of water security).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications examines Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications in relation to São Tomé and Príncipe, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business(Young et al., 2021). This section is written as a approximately 441 to 676 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Zeng et al., 2022). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Duncan et al., 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Ranaweera et al., 2021). In the context of São Tomé and Príncipe, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Survey on Multi-Access Edge Computing Security and Privacy), Urban Resilience for Urban Sustainability: Concepts, Dimensions, and Perspectives), The Individual Water Insecurity Experiences (IWISE) Scale: reliability, equivalence and validity of an individual-level measure of water security).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Findings

The findings of Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications examines Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality,

Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications in relation to São Tomé and Príncipe, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business. This section is written as a approximately 441 to 676 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of São Tomé and Príncipe, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Urban Resilience for Urban Sustainability: Concepts, Dimensions, and Perspectives), Survey on Multi-Access Edge Computing Security and Privacy), The Individual Water Insecurity Experiences (IWISE) Scale: reliability, equivalence and validity of an individual-level measure of water security).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications examines Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications in relation to São Tomé and Príncipe, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business. This section is written as a approximately 441 to 676 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for São Tomé and Príncipe; note practical relevance. In the context of São Tomé and Príncipe, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Urban Resilience for Urban Sustainability: Concepts, Dimensions, and Perspectives), Survey on Multi-Access Edge Computing Security and Privacy), The Individual Water Insecurity Experiences (IWISE) Scale: reliability, equivalence and validity of an individual-level measure of water security).

This section follows Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications examines Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications in relation to São Tomé and Príncipe, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Business. This section is

written as a approximately 441 to 676 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Water and Sanitation Access: Inequality, Financing, and Urban-Rural Disparities: Fiscal Dimensions and Revenue Implications; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for São Tomé and Príncipe; suggest a next step. In the context of São Tomé and Príncipe, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Urban Resilience for Urban Sustainability: Concepts, Dimensions, and Perspectives), Survey on Multi-Access Edge Computing Security and Privacy), The Individual Water Insecurity Experiences (IWISE) Scale: reliability, equivalence and validity of an individual-level measure of water security).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Duncan, J., Gordon, L., Kaaf, G., McKinley, D., Nilsen, A.G., Pillay, D., Radebe, M.J., Saad-Filho, A., Satgar, V., Solty, I., & Williams, M.M. (2021). Destroying Democracy. Directory of Open access Books (OAPEN Foundation)
- Ranaweera, P., Jurcut, A.D., & Liyanage, M. (2021). Survey on Multi-Access Edge Computing Security and Privacy. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials
- Young, S.L., Bethancourt, H.J., Ritter, Z.R., & Frongillo, E.A. (2021). The Individual Water Insecurity Experiences (IWISE) Scale: reliability, equivalence and validity of an individual-level measure of water security. *BMJ Global Health*
- Zeng, X., Yu, Y., Yang, S., Lv, Y., & Sarker, M.N.I. (2022). Urban Resilience for Urban Sustainability: Concepts, Dimensions, and Perspectives. *Sustainability*