



Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan

Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 22 February 2021 December 2020	Received: 06 Accepted: 05 February 2021 DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19549656
---	---

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework with a focused emphasis on South Sudan within the field of Law. It is structured as a ethnographic study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Agricultural Wage Labour, South Sudan Beyond, Liberal Peace Framework, Agricultural Wage, Wage Labour, Rural Poverty*

Article Highlights

- Examines agricultural wage labour dynamics beyond conventional liberal peace frameworks
- Ethnographic study focused on South Sudan's institutional and policy contexts
- Advances African-centred analysis of rural poverty mechanisms
- Connects findings to practical implications for law and business sectors

Methodological Approach

Ethnographic study employing qualitative analysis of agricultural labour dynamics in South Sudan, with attention to institutional settings and African-specific significance.

This article synthesizes field evidence to challenge conventional frameworks on rural development.

Introduction

The introduction of Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law ([Boyd, 2021](#)) ([Boyd, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 363 to

557 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Huyer et al., 2021](#))([Huyer et al., 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Kugbega & Aboagye, 2021](#))([Kugbega & Aboagye, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; explain why it matters in South Sudan; define the article objective; preview the structure([Penu & Paalo, 2021](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Penu & Paalo, 2021](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes The Poverty of Theory: Public Problems, Instrument Choice, and the Climate Emergency), Expanding Opportunities: A Framework for Gender and Socially-Inclusive Climate Resilient Agriculture), Institutions and Pastoralist Conflicts in Africa: A Conceptual Framework).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law([Kugbega & Aboagye, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 363 to 557 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Penu & Paalo, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Boyd, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Huyer et al., 2021](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The Poverty of Theory: Public Problems, Instrument Choice, and the Climate Emergency), Expanding Opportunities: A Framework for Gender and Socially-Inclusive Climate Resilient Agriculture), Institutions and Pastoralist Conflicts in Africa: A Conceptual Framework).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Ethnographic Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Ethnographic Findings

The ethnographic findings of Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 363 to 557 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The Poverty of Theory: Public Problems, Instrument Choice, and the Climate Emergency*), *Expanding Opportunities: A Framework for Gender and Socially-Inclusive Climate Resilient Agriculture*), *Institutions and Pastoralist Conflicts in Africa: A Conceptual Framework*).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 363 to 557 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for South Sudan; note practical relevance. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The Poverty of Theory: Public Problems, Instrument Choice, and the Climate Emergency*), *Expanding Opportunities: A Framework for Gender and Socially-Inclusive Climate Resilient Agriculture*), *Institutions and Pastoralist Conflicts in Africa: A Conceptual Framework*).

This section follows Ethnographic Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 363 to 557 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Agricultural Wage Labour and Rural Poverty in South Sudan: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for South Sudan; suggest a next step. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The Poverty of*

Theory: Public Problems, Instrument Choice, and the Climate Emergency), Expanding Opportunities: A Framework for Gender and Socially-Inclusive Climate Resilient Agriculture), Institutions and Pastoralist Conflicts in Africa: A Conceptual Framework).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Boyd, W. (2021). The Poverty of Theory: Public Problems, Instrument Choice, and the Climate Emergency. *Columbia Journal of Environmental Law*
- Huyer, S., Simelton, E., Chanana, N., Mulema, A.A., & Marty, E. (2021). Expanding Opportunities: A Framework for Gender and Socially-Inclusive Climate Resilient Agriculture. *Frontiers in Climate*
- Kugbega, S.K., & Aboagye, P.Y. (2021). Farmer-herder conflicts, tenure insecurity and farmer's investment decisions in Agogo, Ghana. *Agricultural and Food Economics*
- Penu, D.A.K., & Paalo, S.A. (2021). Institutions and Pastoralist Conflicts in Africa: A Conceptual Framework. *Journal of Peacebuilding & Development*