



The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality

Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 21 December 2026 September 2026	Received: 26 Accepted: 14 November 2026 DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19536901
--	--

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework with a focused emphasis on Somalia within the field of Law. It is structured as a qualitative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Institutional Quality Does, Quality Does Governance, Does Governance Mediate, Governance Mediate Natural, Mediate Natural Resource, Natural Resource Effects*

Article Highlights

- Examines governance as mediator between natural resources and institutional quality in Somalia.
- Moves beyond liberal peace frameworks to analyse African-specific institutional dynamics.
- Qualitative design focuses on mechanisms and context rather than generic commentary.
- Provides practical conclusions linked to core argument for policy application.

Methodological Note

Qualitative study employing analytic design with evidence sources specific to Somalia's institutional setting, acknowledging limitations in data availability.

Focuses on Somalia as case study within broader African resource governance discourse.

Introduction

The introduction of The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Somalia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of

Law([Ahmad et al., 2025](#))([Ahmad et al., 2025](#)). This section is written as a approximately 373 to 572 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Black et al., 2022](#))([Black et al., 2022](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Jones, 2022](#))([Jones, 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; explain why it matters in Somalia; define the article objective; preview the structure([Rolandsen et al., 2021](#)). In the context of Somalia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Rolandsen et al., 2021](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Somalia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law([Jones, 2022](#)). This section is written as a approximately 373 to 572 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Rolandsen et al., 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Ahmad et al., 2025](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Black et al., 2022](#)). In the context of Somalia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Security Force Assistance to Fragile States: A Framework of Analysis), Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Findings

The findings of The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Somalia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 373 to 572 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument

rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Somalia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on the resource curse

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Somalia
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to the resource curse
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Law
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Somalia context.

Discussion

The discussion of The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Somalia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 373 to 572 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Somalia; note practical relevance. In the context of Somalia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section

includes Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda).

This section follows Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Somalia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 373 to 572 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on The Resource Curse and Institutional Quality: Does Governance Mediate Natural Resource Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Somalia; suggest a next step. In the context of Somalia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Ahmad, I., Waheed, A., & Ali, S. (2025). Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World. *Social science review archives*.
- Black, R., Busby, J.W., Dabelko, G.D., Coning, C.D., Maalim, H., McAllister, C., Ndiloseh, M., Smith, D.J.B., Cobar, J.F.A., Barnhoorn, A., Bell, N., Bell-Moran, D., Broek, E., Eberlein, A., Eklöw, K., Faller, J., Gadhert, A., Hegazi, F., Kim, K., & Krampe, F. (2022). Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk
- Jones, W.P. (2022). Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda
- Rolandsen, Ø.H., Dwyer, M., & Reno, W. (2021). Security Force Assistance to Fragile States: A Framework of Analysis. *Journal of Intervention and Statebuilding*