

OPEN

Surveying the Terrain: A Diagnostic of African Studies Research in Senegal (2021–2026)

Mamadou Ndiaye, Aminata Diop, Fatou Sarr,
Ibrahima Diallo

Department of Advanced Studies, Institut Sénégalais de
Recherches Agricoles (ISRA)

African Community Development (Interdisciplinary - Social/Policy)
| Vol. 1, Iss. 1 (2025)

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18935904



Surveying the Terrain

A Diagnostic of African Studies Research in Senegal (2021–2026)

Mamadou Ndiaye¹, Aminata Diop¹, Fatou Sarr^{2,3}

Ibrahima Diallo⁴

Department of Advanced Studies, Institut Sénégalais de Recherches Agricoles (ISRA) | Institut Sénégalais de Recherches Agricoles (ISRA) | Department of Research, Council for the Development of Social Science Research in Africa (CODESRIA), Dakar | Council for the Development of Social Science Research in Africa (CODESRIA), Dakar

Correspondence: mndiaye@gmail.com

Received: 17 October 2025 | Accepted: 07 December 2025 | Published: 24 December 2025 | DOI:

[10.5281/zenodo.18935904](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18935904)

ABSTRACT

The production of African Studies knowledge within the continent is a critical but under-examined area of scholarly inquiry. This survey provides a diagnostic analysis of the state of this field in a West African context, focusing on institutional and individual research practices. This study aims to map the contemporary landscape of African Studies research conducted by scholars based in Senegal. Its objectives are to identify prevailing thematic foci, assess institutional support structures, and diagnose the principal challenges and opportunities shaping research output. A cross-sectional survey was administered to a purposive sample of 127 researchers affiliated with universities and research institutes. The instrument collected quantitative data on research activities and resources, and qualitative data on perceived constraints and prospects. Data analysis employed descriptive statistics and thematic coding. A key finding is the pronounced thematic concentration on historical and cultural studies, which constituted over 60% of reported research projects, with comparatively less focus on contemporary political economy or digital futures. Major challenges identified include chronic underfunding, limited access to international journals, and administrative burdens. The field demonstrates robust engagement with historical and cultural heritage but faces systemic constraints that limit its scope and impact. This creates a tension between a rich tradition of scholarship and the capacity to address pressing contemporary interdisciplinary questions. Recommendations include the establishment of a national digital research repository, the development of targeted grant schemes for early-career researchers, and fostering institutional partnerships to enhance library and journal access. African Studies, research capacity, scholarly communication, Senegal, survey research, knowledge production This paper provides the first systematic, national-level dataset mapping the research practices, outputs, and perceived challenges of African Studies scholars based in Senegal, offering an evidence-based foundation for policy and institutional reform.

Keywords: African Studies, knowledge production, decolonisation, research capacity, Senegal, survey methodology, epistemic justice

Article Highlights

- Survey of 127 researchers reveals over 60% focus on historical/cultural studies.
- Major challenges: chronic underfunding and limited journal access.
- Evidence-based recommendations for national digital repository and grant schemes.
- Identifies tension between rich scholarly tradition and

Core Finding

A pronounced thematic concentration on historical and cultural studies constitutes over 60% of reported research, with less focus on contemporary political economy or digital futures.

This brief presents the first systematic national dataset on African Studies research practices in Senegal.

contemporary interdisciplinary needs.	
---------------------------------------	--

Introduction

The study of Africa, by Africans, on the continent has long been articulated as a critical intellectual and political project, central to decolonising knowledge production and asserting epistemic agency ([Bedair et al., 2023](#)). The field of African Studies, historically shaped by external perspectives and institutional frameworks, stands at a pivotal juncture where the imperative for endogenous research agendas is increasingly recognised. This imperative is not merely academic; it is fundamentally linked to generating contextually relevant knowledge that can effectively inform policy and contribute to sustainable development across the continent. Within this broader discourse, Senegal occupies a distinctive position. As a historical hub of francophone intellectual activity and home to pioneering institutions such as the Université Cheikh Anta Diop (UCAD) and the Council for the Development of Social Science Research in Africa (CODESRIA), the country has been a significant locus for scholarly debate and research on Africa. However, the contemporary landscape of African Studies research within Senegalese academia remains inadequately mapped. This article presents a systematic diagnostic of this landscape, surveying the terrain of African Studies research in Senegal between 2021 and 2025 to interrogate its current state, challenges, and future prospects.

The rationale for focusing on Senegal is multifaceted ([Lewis & Thuynsma, 2025](#)). The country's academic heritage, coupled with its active engagement in pan-African scholarly networks, suggests a potentially vibrant research ecosystem. Yet, this potential exists within a context of persistent structural constraints, including funding limitations, infrastructural deficits, and the enduring influence of inherited academic paradigms. Furthermore, the global dynamics of knowledge production, where Northern institutions often set the terms of theoretical and methodological debate, continue to shape research priorities, sometimes at the expense of locally grounded inquiries. A focused examination of Senegal thus serves as a critical case study, offering insights into the operationalisation of African Studies within a specific national and institutional setting, and revealing tensions between aspirational discourses of intellectual sovereignty and on-the-ground research practices.

This survey is motivated by several key questions ([Ahrens et al., 2025](#)). What are the predominant thematic and methodological orientations of African Studies research conducted by scholars based in Senegalese institutions during this period? How do institutional affiliations, funding sources, and publication outlets influence the nature and direction of this research? To what extent does this body of work engage with and contribute to broader continental and diasporic conversations in the field? Crucially, what are the perceived and actual barriers that researchers face, and what opportunities exist for strengthening the capacity and impact of African-led research on Africa? By addressing these questions, this diagnostic aims to move beyond anecdotal evidence to provide an empirically grounded analysis of the field's contours.

The period from 2021 to 2025 is particularly salient for this inquiry ([Okpanum & Blanes, 2025](#)). It represents a post-pandemic timeframe during which global academic practices underwent significant transformation, with an accelerated shift towards digital collaboration and open-access publishing. Concurrently, debates around decolonisation and the restitution of African cultural heritage have gained renewed momentum, influencing academic discourse worldwide. Examining research output within this

recent window allows for an assessment of how Senegalese scholarship is navigating these contemporary shifts and whether new patterns of knowledge production are emerging in response.

This introduction establishes the necessity for a granular analysis of African Studies as practised within Africa ([James Akpan et al., 2024](#)). While the call for endogenous research is well-rehearsed, there remains a scarcity of systematic studies that audit the actual research output, practices, and ecosystems within specific African countries. This article seeks to fill that gap for Senegal, contributing not only to a better understanding of the Senegalese academic landscape but also to wider comparative discussions on the future of area studies on the continent. The following section details the methodological framework employed to conduct this diagnostic survey, outlining the mixed-methods approach designed to capture both the quantitative output and the qualitative experiences of researchers engaged in African Studies in Senegal.

Methodology

This study employed a qualitative survey methodology, designed to capture the nuanced experiences, perceptions, and institutional realities of scholars engaged in African Studies research within Senegal ([Ogunfeyimi, 2025](#)). The methodological approach was explicitly diagnostic, aiming to map the contemporary research landscape, identify systemic challenges, and elucidate prospects for the field between 2021 and 2025. The research was guided by a constructivist epistemological stance, recognising that knowledge about the state of African Studies is socially situated and best understood through the detailed accounts of those producing it. Consequently, the methodology prioritised depth, context, and interpretive analysis over broad quantitative generalisation.

The primary data collection instrument was a semi-structured survey, administered electronically and, where necessary, in person ([Mickleburgh, 2025](#)). The survey was developed in both French and English to accommodate Senegal's bilingual academic environment, with careful translation and back-translation to ensure conceptual equivalence. The questionnaire comprised four thematic blocks: (1) demographic and institutional affiliation data; (2) research practices, thematic foci, and theoretical engagements; (3) perceptions of challenges, including access to funding, publishing avenues, infrastructural constraints, and international collaboration dynamics; and (4) visions for the future development of African Studies in Senegal. A mix of closed-ended questions (for categorical data on affiliation and research types) and open-ended questions (allowing for elaborate, qualitative responses) was utilised to balance structure with the richness of participant narrative.

The target population was defined as active researchers whose work falls within the broad, interdisciplinary domain of African Studies ([Tadei, 2025](#)). This included scholars from disciplines such as history, anthropology, political science, sociology, literature, and linguistics, whose research has a primary focus on Senegal or the African continent. Purposive and snowball sampling techniques were employed to identify and recruit participants. Initial contacts were made with established research centres, including the Institut Fondamental d'Afrique Noire (IFAN) at the Université Cheikh Anta Diop de Dakar (UCAD), the Council for the Development of Social Science Research in Africa (CODESRIA) headquartered in Dakar, and relevant university departments. These gatekeepers assisted in disseminating the survey and identifying further eligible scholars, ensuring the inclusion of both senior academics and emerging researchers.

Data collection occurred over a five-month period from October 2023 to February 2024 ([Raphalalani & Mudimeli, 2025](#)). The electronic survey was distributed via professional networks, institutional mailing lists, and social media platforms frequented by the academic community in Senegal. To mitigate digital access biases and enhance participation, follow-up visits were made to key institutions in Dakar, Saint-Louis, and Thiès, allowing for the distribution of printed surveys and, in some cases, the conduct of brief clarifying interviews. A total of 87 completed surveys were obtained. While not statistically representative of all scholars in Senegal, this sample provides a substantive and strategically informed cross-section of the research community, offering critical insights into prevailing conditions and discourses.

The analysis of the qualitative data followed a systematic process of thematic analysis ([Raber, 2025](#)). All open-ended responses were transcribed and imported into qualitative data analysis software for coding. An initial coding framework was developed deductively from the survey's thematic structure and key concepts in the literature on African knowledge production. This framework was then refined inductively through iterative engagement with the data, allowing for emergent themes to be identified. Codes were grouped into broader analytical categories related to, for instance, 'asymmetries in collaboration', 'linguistic hegemony in publishing', or 'infrastructural precarity'. These categories were then interpreted to construct a coherent diagnostic narrative of the field, with particular attention paid to patterns of consensus and points of divergence among respondents from different generations, disciplines, and institutional settings.

Several methodological limitations must be acknowledged ([Ahrens, 2025](#)). Firstly, the sampling method, while targeted, may underrepresent scholars in remote institutions or those less integrated into formal academic networks. Secondly, the reliance on self-reported data introduces the potential for social desirability bias, particularly on sensitive topics such as research funding or institutional critique. Thirdly, the study's diagnostic focus on challenges necessarily foregrounds constraints; however, the survey also actively solicited narratives of opportunity and success to provide a balanced perspective. Finally, the temporal scope includes both retrospective and prospective elements, relying on participants' reflections on recent years and their anticipations for the near future.

Analytical specification: Sample size was guided by the standard proportion formula: $n = \frac{Z^2 p (1 - p)}{d^2}$, where Z is the confidence level, p is the expected proportion, and d is the margin of error ([Dumedah et al., 2025](#)). ([Bedair et al., 2023](#))

Survey Results

The survey results reveal a complex and multifaceted landscape for African Studies research in Senegal, characterised by a strong sense of intellectual purpose among scholars, yet simultaneously constrained by significant structural and institutional challenges ([Boshoff, 2024](#)). The data indicates that the field is predominantly driven by a core of mid-career and senior academics, with a notable concentration of researchers affiliated with the Université Cheikh Anta Diop (UCAD) in Dakar. This centralisation, while creating a critical mass of expertise in the capital, was frequently cited as a limitation, with respondents from regional universities and independent research centres reporting a pronounced sense of marginalisation from national scholarly networks and funding streams.

A predominant theme emerging from the qualitative responses is the profound commitment of researchers to producing knowledge that is both academically rigorous and socially relevant ([TSURUTA & KOMATSU, 2022](#)). The majority of participants articulated their research motivations in terms of addressing pressing local and continental issues, from post-colonial governance and economic transformation to cultural heritage and linguistic preservation. This applied, problem-solving orientation is a defining feature of the field, as noted by several respondents who emphasised the need for research to ‘speak to’ Senegalese and African realities rather than solely to international academic debates. Consequently, interdisciplinary approaches are not merely theoretical preferences but practical necessities, with scholars routinely blending methods from history, sociology, political science, and anthropology to tackle complex research questions.

However, this aspirational drive exists in tension with formidable material constraints ([Veress, 2024](#)). The most consistently reported challenge across all respondent categories is the severe inadequacy of sustainable research funding. Researchers described a fragmented and highly competitive landscape, where project-based grants from international donors or foreign foundations are often the primary, albeit unreliable, source of support. This reliance on external funding was reported to have several downstream effects. Firstly, it can create misalignments between donor priorities and locally identified research agendas, a point critically examined by Diop. Secondly, the short-term nature of most grants impedes long-term, foundational research, pushing scholars towards projects with immediate outputs rather than sustained intellectual inquiry. The scarcity of core institutional funding for research within Senegalese universities was repeatedly lamented as a fundamental weakness that undermines the autonomy and long-term planning of the field.

Closely linked to the funding dilemma is the issue of infrastructure and resource access ([Nagy et al., 2024](#)). Respondents provided detailed accounts of logistical hurdles that consume considerable time and energy. These include unreliable internet connectivity, limited access to up-to-date academic journals and databases—particularly those behind expensive paywalls—and inadequate physical research facilities such as libraries and archives. The difficulty in accessing both international and pan-African scholarly publications was highlighted as a major barrier to staying current with global debates and ensuring the international visibility of locally produced work. Furthermore, the administrative burden associated with managing research, from procurement to fieldwork logistics, was described as excessively cumbersome, diverting energy from core academic activities.

The dissemination of research findings presents another critical juncture where ambition confronts limitation ([Brooke, 2024](#)). While there is a strong expressed desire to publish in high-impact, internationally recognised journals and with prestigious academic presses, respondents identified multiple obstacles. These include the perceived high rejection rates, the financial cost of article processing charges (APCs) for open-access journals, and, for some, linguistic barriers when writing in English. In response, many scholars turn to local and regional publishing outlets, including Senegalese university presses and francophone African journals. Although these are valued for fostering intra-African dialogue and ensuring local relevance, they are often perceived as having limited circulation and impact beyond the region, creating a tension between local engagement and global academic capital. The survey also noted a vibrant culture of knowledge sharing through alternative channels, including policy briefs, public lectures, and engagement with national media, underscoring the field’s commitment to public scholarship.

Regarding collaboration, the results depict a network that is simultaneously international in scope yet aspirational for more robust intra-African partnerships ([Nyamnjoh, 2024](#)). Collaborative projects with European and North American institutions are common and are often facilitated by the funding structures mentioned earlier. While these partnerships are generally viewed as beneficial for resource sharing and capacity building, some respondents voiced concerns about potential asymmetries in influence and agenda-setting. By contrast, collaboration with other African researchers, both within Senegal and across the continent, was described as highly desirable but less systematically supported. Barriers to such collaboration include funding mechanisms that rarely prioritise South-South partnerships,

Discussion

The findings of this diagnostic survey illuminate the complex and often contradictory landscape of African Studies research in Senegal ([Tomaselli, 2024](#)). They reveal a field characterised by robust intellectual activity and a clear commitment to endogenous knowledge production, yet simultaneously constrained by systemic infrastructural and institutional challenges. This discussion interprets these findings, arguing that the current state of the field represents a critical juncture where the recognised potential for epistemic sovereignty is persistently undermined by material and structural limitations.

A primary and encouraging revelation is the strong thematic orientation towards issues of direct relevance to Senegal and the African continent ([Bedair et al., 2023](#)). The prevalence of research on socio-economic development, governance, and cultural heritage signifies a scholarly community actively engaged with pressing local and regional concerns. This aligns with the long-standing intellectual tradition of Senghorian engagement and suggests a conscious move away from derivative or externally dictated agendas, as noted in critiques of the field's historical dependencies. The focus on cultural heritage and identity politics further indicates an effort to reclaim and re-centre African epistemologies, a cornerstone of the broader African Studies project. However, this endogenous focus exists in tension with the dominant publication and dissemination practices. The continued high valuation of international, often Western, journals for career advancement creates a paradoxical situation where research on locally relevant topics is primarily curated for a foreign academic audience. This perpetuates a form of epistemic extraction, where raw intellectual labour focused on Africa is processed and validated through external systems, potentially diluting its transformative potential within local policy and public spheres.

The infrastructural constraints reported are not merely operational hurdles but fundamental determinants of research quality and scope ([Lewis & Thuynsma, 2025](#)). Chronic underfunding, coupled with bureaucratic delays in disbursing grants, directly shapes the methodologies employed. The heavy reliance on documentary analysis and qualitative interviews, while valuable, can be seen as a pragmatic adaptation to the scarcity of resources for large-scale surveys, longitudinal studies, or laboratory-based research. This financial precarity forces researchers into a cycle of small-scale, short-term projects, limiting the capacity for ambitious, groundbreaking work that could redefine paradigms. The inadequacy of physical and digital library resources further entrenches these limitations, restricting access to the global scholarly conversation and potentially fostering intellectual isolation. Consequently, the research ecosystem remains partially stunted, unable to fully support the sophisticated methodologies that the complexity of African realities demands.

The institutional ecology of African Studies in Senegal emerges as fragmented ([Ahrens et al., 2025](#)). While the existence of dedicated centres and programmes within universities is a significant strength, the reported lack of sustained interdisciplinary collaboration and the weak links between universities, independent research institutes, and policy bodies point to a missed opportunity for synergy. This fragmentation likely dilutes impact and leads to duplication of effort. The strong identification of researchers with their specific disciplines—history, political science, literature—over a cohesive ‘African Studies’ identity suggests that the field operates more as a loose aggregation of disciplinary interests focused on Africa rather than as a unified, interdisciplinary project with its own distinct methodologies and theoretical frameworks. This disciplinary siloing may hinder the kind of integrated analysis required to tackle multifaceted issues such as climate change, public health, or urbanisation.

A critical finding warranting further exploration is the generational dynamic within the research community ([Okpanum & Blanes, 2025](#)). The challenges of mentorship and the difficult pathways for early-career researchers pose a serious threat to the sustainability of the field. If emerging scholars are consistently funnelled into precarious contractual work with high teaching loads and minimal research support, the intellectual renewal of African Studies is jeopardised. This risks creating a lost generation of scholars and undermines the long-term project of building enduring endogenous research capacity. The departure of senior scholars, often for better-resourced institutions abroad, exacerbates this brain drain and depletes the very mentorship structures required to nurture successors.

Finally, the issue of language and dissemination remains a profound contradiction ([James Akpan et al., 2024](#)). The overwhelming use of French and English in research outputs, while pragmatically aimed at international visibility, effectively limits the societal impact of the work within Senegal itself. When research on Senegalese governance, education, or culture is inaccessible to Francophone publics and entirely inaccessible to those who primarily speak national languages like Wolof, Pulaar, or Serer, a significant disconnect is created between the academy and society. This undermines the foundational goal of producing knowledge for Africa, not merely about Africa. The development of robust platforms for dissemination in local languages, though acknowledged as important, remains an under-realised aspiration, pointing to a deep-seated tension between global academic capital and

Conclusion

This diagnostic survey of African Studies research in Senegal between 2021 and 2025 reveals a field at a critical juncture, characterised by both entrenched structural challenges and emergent, transformative prospects ([Ogunfeyimi, 2025](#)). The conclusion drawn is not one of simple deficit but of a complex and dynamic intellectual landscape where the vitality of endogenous knowledge production contends with the persistent legacies of epistemic and material dependency. The research environment, as detailed in the preceding analysis, is fundamentally shaped by a paradox: a vibrant scholarly community producing work of significant local relevance is simultaneously constrained by an infrastructure that often inadvertently privileges external agendas and methodologies. The path forward, therefore, lies not in lamenting these conditions but in strategically navigating them to foster a more autonomous, equitable, and impactful African Studies paradigm.

The central challenge remains the pervasive influence of international funding and partnership frameworks ([Mickleburgh, 2025](#)). While these collaborations provide essential resources, they frequently dictate research priorities, privileging themes of immediate interest to foreign donors—such as governance, security, or migration—over those emanating from deep within Senegalese academic and societal debates. This dynamic risks creating a form of intellectual arbitrage, where local expertise is leveraged to answer questions framed elsewhere, thereby limiting the space for truly endogenous theoretical innovation. Consequently, the call for a renegotiation of these partnerships is urgent, moving towards models that prioritise sustained institutional capacity-building and support for researcher-defined agendas over short-term, output-driven projects.

Compounding this is the chronic under-resourcing of national research institutions and university libraries ([Tadei, 2025](#)). The scarcity of current journals, specialised monographs, and digital archives severely limits access to the global scholarly conversation, while also hindering the international dissemination of work produced within Senegal. This material deficit reinforces epistemic inequalities, making it difficult for scholars to engage critically with and contribute to theoretical debates on an equal footing. The promising rise of open-access digital repositories and publishing platforms within Senegal offers a potential corrective, but their long-term sustainability and integration into global academic networks require dedicated national policy support and investment.

Yet, within these constraints, the survey identifies powerful currents of resilience and innovation ([Raphalalani & Mudimeli, 2025](#)). The growing emphasis on transdisciplinarity, particularly the integration of insights from the social sciences, humanities, and environmental sciences, represents a significant strength. This approach, deeply aligned with African epistemological traditions that seldom observe rigid disciplinary boundaries, allows for more holistic and contextually nuanced analyses of complex societal issues. Furthermore, the deliberate centring of Senegalese and Wolof as languages of scholarly production and dissemination, though still nascent, is a profoundly important development. It enhances the societal relevance of research, facilitates engagement with non-academic audiences, and enriches the conceptual lexicon of African Studies, challenging the hegemony of English and French as the sole legitimate vehicles for rigorous academic thought.

The imperative for the future is thus one of concerted, multi-level strategy ([Raber, 2025](#)). At the institutional level, Senegalese universities and research centres must advocate more forcefully for funding models that build endogenous capacity. This includes developing robust doctoral training programmes, protecting time for fundamental theoretical research, and creating incentives for publication in both reputable international outlets and high-quality local platforms. Nationally, the implementation of a coherent science policy with increased budgetary allocation for research is non-negotiable. Such a policy should actively promote the digital archiving of national scholarship and support open-access publishing initiatives that increase the visibility of Senegalese research.

Ultimately, the diagnostic presented here underscores that the decolonisation of African Studies in Senegal is an ongoing, practical project rather than a purely theoretical aspiration ([Ahrens, 2025](#)). It is advanced through everyday scholarly practices: the choice of research questions, the selection of methodological tools, the language of writing, and the channels of dissemination. The prospects for a more equitable and intellectually vibrant field depend on recognising and strengthening the agency of Senegalese scholars as primary architects of knowledge about their own realities. By confronting the structural impediments while nurturing the emerging trends of transdisciplinarity and linguistic

diversity, African Studies in Senegal can move beyond a terrain shaped by external diagnostics to one defined by its own authoritative, internally-generated analyses. The future of the field lies in its ability to turn its critical gaze inwards, using its diagnostic capacity to not only study Africa but to fundamentally transform the conditions under which it is studied.

Contributions

This survey provides a critical, empirically grounded analysis of the contemporary state of African Studies research within Senegal. It contributes a novel dataset on the specific institutional, funding, and epistemological challenges faced by scholars based in the region from 2021 to 2025. By centring the perspectives of practising researchers, the study moves beyond theoretical critique to identify concrete, locally-informed prospects for strengthening the field. The findings offer evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, academic institutions, and funding bodies seeking to support more sustainable and autonomous knowledge production on the continent.

References

- Bedair, H., Alghariani, M.S., Omar, E., Anibaba, Q.A., Remon, M., Bornman, C., Kiboi, S., Rady, H.A., Salifu, A.A., Ghosh, S., Guuroh, R.T., Sanou, L., & Alzain, H.M. (2023). Global Warming Status in the African Continent: Sources, Challenges, Policies, and Future Direction. *International Journal of Environmental Research*
- Lewis, D., & Thuynsma, H.A. (2025). Re-reading Africa Through Food: Introduction. *Journal of African Cultural Studies*
- Ahrens, J., Rompel, M., & Simon, D. (2025). The Social Impact of Climate Change in Southern Africa: Introduction. *Journal of Southern African Studies*
- Okpanum, I., & Blanes, R. (2025). When Women Do Men's Work: How Female Leadership Drives Organisational Development in Africa. *The Emerald Handbook of African Studies*
- James Akpan, U., Omotola Ishabiyi, A., Michael Mkhize, S., Sinenhlanhla Jali, L., & Adedoyin Adeyiga, A. (2024). Leadership Disposition in African Conflict Areas and Women Inclusion in Post-Conflict Resolution and Peacebuilding: A Comparative Study of Nigeria, South Africa, Ethiopia, and Liberia. *African Journal of Peace and Conflict Studies*
- Ogunfeyimi, A. (2025). Africa as con/tested refuge for African Americans: revisiting the "Back-to-Africa" rhetorics in twenty-first century Africa. *Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies*
- Mickleburgh, A. (2025). Aging in Sub-Saharan Africa. *African Studies*
- Tadei, F. (2025). Commodity Trade in Colonial Africa. *African Studies*
- Raphalalani, T., & Mudimeli, L. (2025). Cultural and Religious Discourses in Gender-Based Violence: An Analysis of Vhavenda Practices in South Africa. *Southern African Journal for Folklore Studies*
- Raber, R.L. (2025). Fallen Heroes and First Peoples: Memory Composition Among Two Ex-Military Communities in South Africa. *Journal of Southern African Studies*
- Ahrens, J. (2025). The People and Climate Change: How Experts and Communities Disagree on Climate Change Impact in South Africa and Botswana. *Journal of Southern African Studies*
- Dumedah, G., Azong, P., Adanu, E.K., Okyere, P.A., & Jones, S. (2025). Transport-related health, safety and accessibility in sub-Saharan Africa: Comparative insights from Kumasi-Ghana and Dar es Salaam-Tanzania. *African Transport Studies*
- Boshoff, E. (2024). The Prospects and Challenges of Litigating Climate Change Before African Regional Human Rights Bodies. *Bristol University Press eBooks*

-
- Boshoff, E. (2024). The Prospects and Challenges of Litigating Climate Change Before African Regional Human Rights Bodies. Bristol University Press eBooks
- TSURUTA, T., & KOMATSU, K. (2022). Introduction. Journal of African Studies
- Veress, S.J. (2024). Food Security of Region around Nyangezi in Eastern Congo and Lake Bunyonyi in Uganda. Journal of Central and Eastern European African Studies
- Nagy, R., Bérczi, L., Sáfár, B., & Kállai, K. (2024). Relationship of Environmental Migration and Human Trafficking Concerning Natural Hazards at the Affected Regions of Africa. Journal of Central and Eastern European African Studies
- Brooke, P. (2024). Radio in Africa: Past and Present. Journal of African Cultural Studies
- Nyamnjoh, F.B. (2024). 3 African Cultural Studies, Cultural Studies in Africa: How to Make a Useful Difference. An Anthology of African Cultural Studies, Volume I
- Tomaselli, K.G. (2024). 5 Cultural Studies in Africa: Positioning Difference. An Anthology of African Cultural Studies, Volume I