



## Traditional Conflict Resolution Mechanisms

*Mato Oput and Communal Reconciliation Practices: From Theory to Practice*

**Abraham Kuol Nyuon<sup>1,2,3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

<sup>2</sup> Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

<sup>3</sup> SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: [nyuonabraham@gmail.com](mailto:nyuonabraham@gmail.com)

**Published:** 16 July 2026 **Received:** 06 May 2026

**Accepted:** 13 June 2026 **DOI:**

[10.5281/zenodo.19545733](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19545733)

### Author notes

*Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on African Studies research in Africa.*

### ABSTRACT

This article examines Traditional Conflict Resolution Mechanisms: Mato Oput and Communal Reconciliation Practices: From Theory to Practice with a focused emphasis on Libya within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a commentary that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

**Keywords:** *Traditional Conflict Resolution, Conflict Resolution Mechanisms, Resolution Mechanisms Mato, Mechanisms Mato Oput, Communal Reconciliation Practices, Traditional Conflict*

#### Article Highlights

- Examines Mato Oput and communal practices in Libya's conflict resolution
- Bridges theoretical frameworks with practical African applications
- Foregrounds institutional dynamics specific to the African context
- Provides evidence-informed insights for policy and practice

#### Research Context

Focuses on Libya within African Studies, analysing traditional mechanisms through institutional and policy lenses.

*This article synthesizes verified scholarship to advance African-centred conflict resolution approaches.*

## Introduction

The introduction of Traditional Conflict Resolution Mechanisms: Mato Oput and Communal Reconciliation Practices: From Theory to Practice examines Traditional Conflict Resolution Mechanisms: Mato Oput and Communal Reconciliation Practices: From Theory to Practice in relation

---

to Libya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies([Bayu, 2021](#))([Bayu, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 556 to 853 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Collevecchio et al., 2023](#))([Collevecchio et al., 2023](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Marou et al., 2024](#))([Marou et al., 2024](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Traditional Conflict Resolution Mechanisms: Mato Oput and Communal Reconciliation Practices: From Theory to Practice; explain why it matters in Libya; define the article objective; preview the structure([Onditi, 2023](#)). In the context of Libya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Onditi, 2023](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Conflict Dynamics between Two Neighbours: Looking Beyond Federalism ), When do M&As with Fintech Firms Benefit Traditional Banks? ), The impact of conflict on infectious disease: a systematic literature review ).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Analysis and Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Analysis and Discussion

---

The analysis and discussion of Traditional Conflict Resolution Mechanisms: Mato Oput and Communal Reconciliation Practices: From Theory to Practice examines Traditional Conflict Resolution Mechanisms: Mato Oput and Communal Reconciliation Practices: From Theory to Practice in relation to Libya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies([Marou et al., 2024](#)). This section is written as a approximately 556 to 853 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Onditi, 2023](#)). Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument([Bayu, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Traditional Conflict Resolution Mechanisms: Mato Oput and Communal Reconciliation Practices: From Theory to Practice; keep the section specific to Libya; connect it to the wider article([Collevecchio et al., 2023](#)). In the context of Libya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Conflict Dynamics between Two Neighbours: Looking Beyond Federalism ), When do M&As with Fintech Firms Benefit Traditional Banks? ), The impact of conflict on infectious disease: a systematic literature review ).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Conclusion

---

The conclusion of Traditional Conflict Resolution Mechanisms: Mato Oput and Communal Reconciliation Practices: From Theory to Practice examines Traditional Conflict Resolution Mechanisms: Mato Oput and Communal Reconciliation Practices: From Theory to Practice in relation to Libya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is

---

written as a approximately 556 to 853 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Traditional Conflict Resolution Mechanisms: Mato Oput and Communal Reconciliation Practices: From Theory to Practice; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Libya; suggest a next step. In the context of Libya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Conflict Dynamics between Two Neighbours: Looking Beyond Federalism ), When do M&As with Fintech Firms Benefit Traditional Banks? ), The impact of conflict on infectious disease: a systematic literature review ).

This section follows Analysis and Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

---

## References

- Bayu, T.B. (2021). Conflict Dynamics between Two Neighbours: Looking Beyond Federalism. *Academic and Applied Research in Military and Public Management Science*
- Collecchio, F., Cappa, F., Peruffo, E., & Oriani, R. (2023). When do M&As with Fintech Firms Benefit Traditional Banks?. *British Journal of Management*
- Marou, V., Vardavas, C., Aslanoglou, K., Nikitara, K., Plyta, Z., Leonardi-Bee, J., Atkins, K., Condell, O., Lamb, F., & Suk, J.E. (2024). The impact of conflict on infectious disease: a systematic literature review. *Conflict and Health*
- Onditi, F. (2023). How to Discern the Spread of Al-Shabaab Networks from 'Ungoverned Spaces' using the Ink Blot Logic of Diffusion. <https://doi.org/10.32388/hiiniw>