

Comparative Evaluation of Quasi-Experimental Designs for Measuring Efficiency Gains in South African Transport Depot Maintenance Systems

Thandiwe van der Merwe¹

Department of Electrical Engineering, SA Medical Research Council (SAMRC)

Correspondence: tmerwe@aol.com

Received: 09 February 2010 | Accepted: 27 May 2010 | Published: 19 June 2010 | DOI:
[10.5281/zenodo.18967621](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18967621)

ABSTRACT

The optimisation of maintenance systems in transport depots is critical for infrastructure sustainability, yet robust methodological frameworks for quantifying efficiency gains in operational settings are lacking, particularly within the African context. This study provides a methodological evaluation of quasi-experimental designs to determine the most robust approach for measuring the causal impact of maintenance interventions on depot efficiency. A comparative analysis of three quasi-experimental designs—difference-in-differences, regression discontinuity, and interrupted time series—was conducted using simulated data calibrated to real-world depot operational parameters. The core statistical model was a difference-in-differences specification: $Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{treat}_i + \beta_2 \text{post}_t + \delta (\text{treat}_i \times \text{post}_t) + \varepsilon_{it}$, where inference relied on cluster-robust standard errors. The regression discontinuity design was found to be most robust to unobserved confounders in this application, yielding a precise estimate of a 17.5% efficiency gain (95% CI: 12.2% to 22.8%) for the intervention. The difference-in-differences approach proved sensitive to violations of the parallel trends assumption common in depot data. The selection of a quasi-experimental design is not methodologically neutral; it significantly influences the validity of estimated efficiency gains in maintenance systems. Practitioners should prioritise regression discontinuity designs where a clear eligibility threshold exists, and rigorously test the parallel trends assumption when employing difference-in-differences. This paper provides the first formal comparison of causal inference methods for depot maintenance systems, introducing a simulation-based validation framework tailored to engineering operational data.

Keywords: *Quasi-experimental design, Efficiency measurement, Transport depot maintenance, South African infrastructure, Maintenance optimisation, Operational research*

Article Highlights

- Regression discontinuity design yielded a precise 17.5% efficiency gain estimate.
- Difference-in-differences proved sensitive to violations of parallel trends.
- Design selection significantly influences validity of estimated efficiency gains.
- Simulation framework validates causal methods for engineering operational data.

Methodological Recommendation

Practitioners should prioritise regression discontinuity designs where clear eligibility thresholds exist and rigorously test parallel trends assumptions when employing difference-in-differences.

This study provides the first formal comparison of causal inference methods for depot maintenance systems.

ABSTRACT-ONLY PUBLICATION

This is an abstract-only publication. The complete research paper with full methodology, results, discussion, and references is available upon request.

REQUEST FULL PAPER

 **Email:** info@parj.africa

Request your copy of the full paper today!

SUBMIT YOUR RESEARCH

**Are you a researcher in Africa? We
welcome your submissions!**

Join our community of African scholars and share
your groundbreaking work.

 **Submit at:** app.parj.africa



Scan to visit app.parj.africa

Open Access Scholarship from PARJ

Empowering African Research | Advancing Global
Knowledge