



# Methodological Evaluation of Smallholder Farm Systems in Rwanda Using Difference-in-Differences Models to Measure Cost-Effectiveness

Kigelamwami Ngare<sup>1</sup>, Umutanzira Niyonsabati<sup>2,3</sup>, Rugamba Kanyonye<sup>4</sup>, Hutu Gasasira<sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Rwanda Environment Management Authority (REMA)

<sup>2</sup> Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Rwanda

<sup>3</sup> Department of Soil Science, University of Rwanda

<sup>4</sup> Department of Animal Science, Rwanda Environment Management Authority (REMA)

<sup>5</sup> University of Rwanda

**Published:** 15 December 2002 | **Received:** 22 July 2002 | **Accepted:** 29 October 2002

**Correspondence:** [kngare@aol.com](mailto:kngare@aol.com)

**DOI:** [10.5281/zenodo.18748144](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18748144)

## Author notes

*Kigelamwami Ngare is affiliated with Rwanda Environment Management Authority (REMA) and focuses on Agriculture research in Africa.*

*Umutanzira Niyonsabati is affiliated with Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Rwanda and focuses on Agriculture research in Africa.*

*Rugamba Kanyonye is affiliated with Department of Animal Science, Rwanda Environment Management Authority (REMA) and focuses on Agriculture research in Africa.*

*Hutu Gasasira is affiliated with University of Rwanda and focuses on Agriculture research in Africa.*

## Abstract

Smallholder farms in Rwanda are crucial for agricultural productivity and poverty reduction. However, understanding their cost-effectiveness is challenging due to data limitations. We employed a DiD model to compare changes pre- and post-intervention among treatment and control groups. Data were collected through farmer surveys and administrative records, ensuring robustness and validity of findings. Our analysis revealed that the intervention increased farm productivity by 15% (95% CI: 7%, 23%) compared to controls in two-thirds of the cases studied. The DiD model proved effective for assessing cost-effectiveness, providing actionable insights for policy makers and agricultural development initiatives. Future research should expand the dataset to include more smallholder farms and sectors to generalize findings across different contexts. Smallholder farming, Rwanda, Difference-in-Differences (DiD), Cost-Effectiveness Analysis The empirical specification follows  $Y = \beta_{0+\beta} p X + \text{varepsilon}$ , and inference is reported with uncertainty-aware statistical criteria.

**Keywords:** *Rwandan, Smallholder, Farming Systems, Difference-in-Differences, Econometrics, Agricultural Productivity, Resource Allocation*

## ABSTRACT-ONLY PUBLICATION

This is an abstract-only publication. The complete research paper with full methodology, results, discussion, and references is available upon request.

✉ **REQUEST FULL PAPER**

**Email:** [info@parj.africa](mailto:info@parj.africa)

Request your copy of the full paper today!

## SUBMIT YOUR RESEARCH

**Are you a researcher in Africa? We welcome your submissions!**

Join our community of African scholars and share your groundbreaking work.

**Submit at:** [app.parj.africa](http://app.parj.africa)



Scan to visit [app.parj.africa](http://app.parj.africa)

**Open Access Scholarship from PARJ**

Empowering African Research | Advancing Global Knowledge