



A Systematic Review of Quasi-Experimental Methodologies for Assessing Operational Efficiency in Ethiopian District Hospitals (2000–2026)

Selamawit Tesfaye¹, Yonas Tadesse², Meklit Gebrehiwot^{2,3},
Tewodros Abebe^{2,4}

¹ Bahir Dar University

² Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI)

³ Adama Science and Technology University (ASTU)

⁴ Department of Pediatrics, Adama Science and Technology University (ASTU)

Correspondence: stesfaye@hotmail.com

Published: 19 January 2026
Received: 06 November 2025

Accepted: 20 December 2025
DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.18951992](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18951992)

Author notes

Selamawit Tesfaye is affiliated with Bahir Dar University and focuses on Medicine research in Africa. Yonas Tadesse is affiliated with Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI) and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.

Meklit Gebrehiwot is affiliated with Adama Science and Technology University (ASTU) and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.

Tewodros Abebe is affiliated with Department of Pediatrics, Adama Science and Technology University (ASTU) and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

Background: District hospitals are critical nodes in Ethiopia's healthcare system, yet persistent operational inefficiencies undermine service delivery. While quasi-experimental designs are increasingly employed to evaluate interventions aimed at improving efficiency, a systematic assessment of their methodological rigour and application in this specific context is lacking.

Purpose and objectives: This systematic review aims to critically appraise the application of quasi-experimental methodologies in studies measuring operational efficiency gains within Ethiopian district hospitals, evaluating their design validity, analytical approaches, and the robustness of causal inferences drawn.

Keywords: *quasi-experimental design, operational efficiency, district hospitals, Sub-Saharan Africa, health systems research, Ethiopia, healthcare management*

Article Highlights

- 63% of reviewed studies relied on uncontrolled before-and-after designs.
- Causal estimates often compromised by significant confounding bias.
- Minority employed robust designs like interrupted time

Analytical Note

A common model was the difference-in-differences specification, with inference relying on cluster-robust standard errors to account for hospital-level correlations.

This review critically appraises the methodological rigour of

<p>series.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Highlights need for more sophisticated evaluation frameworks.	<p><i>efficiency studies in a key healthcare setting.</i></p>
--	---

ABSTRACT-ONLY PUBLICATION

This is an abstract-only publication. The complete research paper with full methodology, results, discussion, and references is available upon request.

REQUEST FULL PAPER

 **Email:** info@parj.africa

Request your copy of the full paper today!

SUBMIT YOUR RESEARCH

Are you a researcher in Africa? We welcome your submissions!

Join our community of African scholars and share your groundbreaking work.

 **Submit at:** app.parj.africa



Scan to visit app.parj.africa

Open Access Scholarship from PARJ

Empowering African Research | Advancing Global Knowledge