

# Evaluating Emergency Care Systems in Kenya

A Difference-in-Differences Analysis of Clinical Outcomes

Wanjiku Mwangi<sup>1</sup>

University of Nairobi

Correspondence: [wmwangi@hotmail.com](mailto:wmwangi@hotmail.com)

Received: 05 April 2026 | Accepted: 23 July 2026 | Published: 23 August 2026 | DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.18950828](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18950828)

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Emergency care systems in sub-Saharan Africa are underdeveloped, with limited evidence on the impact of formalising these services on patient outcomes. Robust evaluations of health system interventions in low-resource settings are methodologically challenging.

**Purpose and objectives:** This study aimed to quantify the causal effect of implementing standardised emergency care units (ECUs) on clinical outcomes in a low-resource health system, using a quasi-experimental design.

**Methodology:** We employed a difference-in-differences (DiD) model, analysing longitudinal patient-level data from intervention and control hospitals. The primary specification was  $Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta (Treatment \times Postt) + \gamma_i + \delta_t + \epsilon_{it}$ , where  $Y_{it}$  is the mortality outcome. Inference was based on cluster-robust standard errors at the hospital level.

**Findings:** ECU implementation was associated with a statistically significant 4.8 percentage point reduction in all-cause 24-hour mortality (95% CI: -7.1 to -2.5). The reduction was concentrated among non-trauma cases, with no significant effect observed for trauma-related admissions.

**Recommendations:** Health policy should prioritise investment in standardised emergency care systems, with initial focus on medical (non-trauma) pathways. Future scale-up should be accompanied by embedded longitudinal evaluation.

**Key words:** Emergency medical services, health systems evaluation, quasi-experimental design, sub-Saharan Africa, clinical outcomes, health policy

**Contribution statement:** This study provides the first application of a difference-in-differences framework to evaluate a national emergency care system rollout in Africa, generating causal evidence for health system planning.

**Keywords:** *Emergency care systems, sub-Saharan Africa, Kenya, difference-in-differences, clinical outcomes, health systems evaluation, health services research*

### Article Highlights

- Difference-in-differences analysis reveals causal impact of emergency care unit implementation.
- Significant 4.8 pp mortality reduction observed, concentrated in non-trauma patients.
- First application of DiD framework to evaluate national emergency care rollout in Africa.
- Findings support targeted investment in medical emergency pathways for system strengthening.

### Methodological Note

The study employs a rigorous difference-in-differences model with cluster-robust standard errors, providing causal evidence for health system intervention in a low-resource setting.

*This analysis offers policy-relevant evidence for emergency care system design in sub-Saharan Africa.*

## ABSTRACT-ONLY PUBLICATION

This is an abstract-only publication. The complete research paper with full methodology, results, discussion, and references is available upon request.

## REQUEST FULL PAPER

 **Email:** [info@parj.africa](mailto:info@parj.africa)

Request your copy of the full paper today!

## SUBMIT YOUR RESEARCH

**Are you a researcher in Africa? We welcome your submissions!**

Join our community of African scholars and share your groundbreaking work.

 **Submit at:** [app.parj.africa](http://app.parj.africa)



Scan to visit [app.parj.africa](http://app.parj.africa)

### **Open Access Scholarship from PARJ**

Empowering African Research | Advancing Global Knowledge