



Migrant Detention and Human Rights

Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 19 April 2026 **Received:** 28 January 2026

Accepted: 23 March 2026 **DOI:**

[10.5281/zenodo.19519112](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19519112)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Computer Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions with a focused emphasis on Senegal within the field of Computer Science. It is structured as a systematic literature review that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Human Rights Legal, Rights Legal Standards, African Practice Political, Practice Political Economy, Political Economy Dimensions, Migrant Detention*

Article Highlights

- Examines migrant detention through legal standards and political economy lenses.
- Focuses on Senegal as a case study within the African context.
- Synthesizes evidence to inform policy and practice on human rights.
- Highlights institutional dynamics shaping detention practices in Africa.

Core Contribution

Provides an African-centred analysis of migrant detention, bridging legal standards with political economy realities to inform context-specific policy.

This review synthesizes key scholarship to advance understanding of migrant detention in Africa.

Introduction

The introduction of Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions examines Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the

dynamics shaping the field of Computer Science([Anam et al., 2021](#))([Anam et al., 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 441 to 676 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Fais-Leutskaja, 2025](#))([Fais-Leutskaja, 2025](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Geisemann et al., 2025](#))([Geisemann et al., 2025](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions; explain why it matters in Senegal; define the article objective; preview the structure([Vesco et al., 2024](#)). In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Vesco et al., 2024](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes COVID-19 and Decent Work: Online Media Coverage on Indonesian Female Migrant Domestic Workers in Malaysia and Taiwan), The Practice of Cattle Raiding in Sardinia: From the Past to the Present), Early Warnings, No Actions: A Practice Perspective on Barriers to Anticipatory Action Approaches).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Review Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Review Methodology

The review methodology of Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions examines Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Computer Science([Geisemann et al., 2025](#)). This section is written as a approximately 441 to 676 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Vesco et al., 2024](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Anam et al., 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Fais-Leutskaja, 2025](#)). In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The impacts of armed conflict on human development: A review of the literature), COVID-19 and Decent Work: Online Media Coverage on Indonesian Female Migrant Domestic Workers in Malaysia and Taiwan), The Practice of Cattle Raiding in Sardinia: From the Past to the Present).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Results (Review Findings), so it preserves continuity across the article.

Results (Review Findings)

The results (review findings) of Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions examines Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Computer Science. This section is written as a

approximately 441 to 676 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions; keep the section specific to Senegal; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The impacts of armed conflict on human development: A review of the literature), COVID-19 and Decent Work: Online Media Coverage on Indonesian Female Migrant Domestic Workers in Malaysia and Taiwan), The Practice of Cattle Raiding in Sardinia: From the Past to the Present).

This section follows Review Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on migrant detention and

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Senegal
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to migrant detention and
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Computer Science
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Senegal context.

Discussion

The discussion of Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions examines Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Computer Science. This section is written as a approximately 441 to 676 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Senegal; note practical relevance. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem

rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes COVID-19 and Decent Work: Online Media Coverage on Indonesian Female Migrant Domestic Workers in Malaysia and Taiwan), The Practice of Cattle Raiding in Sardinia: From the Past to the Present), Early Warnings, No Actions: A Practice Perspective on Barriers to Anticipatory Action Approaches).

This section follows Results (Review Findings) and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions examines Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Computer Science. This section is written as a approximately 441 to 676 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: Political Economy Dimensions; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Senegal; suggest a next step. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes COVID-19 and Decent Work: Online Media Coverage on Indonesian Female Migrant Domestic Workers in Malaysia and Taiwan), The Practice of Cattle Raiding in Sardinia: From the Past to the Present), Early Warnings, No Actions: A Practice Perspective on Barriers to Anticipatory Action Approaches).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Anam, M.Z., Warsito, T., Al-Fadhat, F., Pribadi, U., & Sugito, S. (2021). COVID-19 and Decent Work: Online Media Coverage on Indonesian Female Migrant Domestic Workers in Malaysia and Taiwan. UVaDOC UVaDOC University of Valladolid Documentary Repository (University of Valladolid). <https://doi.org/10.24197/st.2.2021.160-193>
- Fais-Leutskaia, O.D. (2025). The Practice of Cattle Raiding in Sardinia: From the Past to the Present. Этнографическое обозрение / Ethno review
- Geisemann, P., Seidemann, I., Olawuyi, D.A., & Geiger, D. (2025). Early Warnings, No Actions: A Practice Perspective on Barriers to Anticipatory Action Approaches. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management

Vesco, P., Baliki, G., Brück, T., Döring, S., Eriksson, A., Fjelde, H., Guha-Sapir, D., Hall, J., Knutsen, C.H., Leis, M., Mueller, H., Rauh, C., Rudolfsen, I., Swain, A., Timlick, A., Vassiliou, P., Schreeb, J.V., Uexkull, N.V., & Hegre, H. (2024). The impacts of armed conflict on human development: A review of the literature. *World Development*