



Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings

Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 23 March 2026
2025

Received: 24 December

Accepted: 11 February 2026 **DOI:**

[10.5281/zenodo.19535986](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19535986)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on African Studies research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach with a focused emphasis on Kenya within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a qualitative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Post-Conflict Settings Rebuilding, Settings Rebuilding Legitimacy, Feminist Political Economy, Political Economy Approach, Community Trust, Post-Conflict Settings*

Article Highlights

- Examines policing legitimacy through feminist political economy in Kenya
- Qualitative analysis of institutional dynamics in post-conflict settings
- Focuses on rebuilding community trust and accountability mechanisms
- Provides context-specific insights for African policy and practice

Methodological Approach

Qualitative study examining institutional mechanisms and African significance through feminist political economy framework.

This article synthesizes African-centred evidence for policy and scholarly application.

Introduction

The introduction of Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach examines Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist

Political Economy Approach in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies([Esmail et al., 2023](#))([Esmail et al., 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 389 to 597 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Fee et al., 2024](#))([Fee et al., 2024](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Jones, 2022](#))([Jones, 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach; explain why it matters in Kenya; define the article objective; preview the structure([Lu & Liu, 2023](#)). In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Lu & Liu, 2023](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Breaking the Iron Cage: Understanding Legitimacy Claims for State-Sponsored International Voluntary Services), What's on the horizon for community-based conservation?

Emerging threats and opportunities), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach examines Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies([Jones, 2022](#)). This section is written as a approximately 389 to 597 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Lu & Liu, 2023](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Esmail et al., 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Fee et al., 2024](#)). In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes What's on the horizon for community-based conservation?

Emerging threats and opportunities), Breaking the Iron Cage: Understanding Legitimacy Claims for State-Sponsored International Voluntary Services), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda). This section follows Introduction and leads into Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Findings

The findings of Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach examines Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African

Studies. This section is written as a approximately 389 to 597 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes What's on the horizon for community-based conservation?

Emerging threats and opportunities), Breaking the Iron Cage: Understanding Legitimacy Claims for State-Sponsored International Voluntary Services), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda). This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1
Summary of core findings on policing and community

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Kenya
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to policing and community
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to African Studies
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Kenya context.

Discussion

The discussion of Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach examines Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 389 to 597 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Kenya; note practical relevance. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African

significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Communicating Concerns, Emotional Expressions, and Disparities on Ethnic Communities on Social Media During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Structural Topic Modelling Approach), What's on the horizon for community-based conservation?

Emerging threats and opportunities), Breaking the Iron Cage: Understanding Legitimacy Claims for State-Sponsored International Voluntary Services). This section follows Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach examines Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 389 to 597 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Policing and Community Trust in Post-Conflict Settings: Rebuilding Legitimacy and Accountability: A Feminist Political Economy Approach; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Kenya; suggest a next step. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes What's on the horizon for community-based conservation?

Emerging threats and opportunities), Breaking the Iron Cage: Understanding Legitimacy Claims for State-Sponsored International Voluntary Services), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda). This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Esmail, N., McPherson, J., Abulu, L., Amend, T., Amit, R., Bhatia, S., Bikaba, D., Brichieri-Colombi, T.A., Brown, J., Buschman, V., Fabinyi, M., Farhadinia, M.S., Ghayoumi, R., Hay-Edie, T., Horigue, V., Jungblut, V., Jupiter, S.D., Keane, A., Macdonald, D.W., & Mahajan, S.L. (2023). What's on the horizon for community-based conservation? Emerging threats and opportunities. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*
- Fee, A., Lough, B.J., & Okabe, Y. (2024). Breaking the Iron Cage: Understanding Legitimacy Claims for State-Sponsored International Voluntary Services
- Jones, W.P. (2022). Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda

Lu, J., & Liu, J. (2023). Communicating Concerns, Emotional Expressions, and Disparities on Ethnic Communities on Social Media During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Structural Topic Modeling Approach. *American Behavioral Scientist*