



Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts

Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 15 April 2026 **Received:** 04 February 2026

Accepted: 31 March 2026 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19518437](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19518437)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on African Studies research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework with a focused emphasis on Angola within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a qualitative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Displacement Contexts Standards, Contexts Standards Gaps, Liberal Peace Framework, Non-Food Items, Displacement Contexts, Contexts Standards*

Article Highlights

- Examines shelter and non-food item standards in Angolan displacement contexts
- Identifies critical gaps in current humanitarian frameworks and practices
- Proposes innovative approaches beyond the liberal peace framework
- Emphasizes African-centred mechanisms and institutional settings

Angola Case Focus

This qualitative study foregrounds institutional dynamics and context-specific mechanisms in Angola, moving beyond generic commentary to address African significance in displacement response.

This article synthesizes evidence to inform both scholarly discourse and practical decision-making in African displacement contexts.

Introduction

The introduction of Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in

relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies ([Braslins & Tisenkopfs, 2026](#)) ([Braslins & Tisenkopfs, 2026](#)). This section is written as a approximately 352 to 540 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary ([Geisemann et al., 2025](#)) ([Geisemann et al., 2025](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory ([Milton & Elkahlout, 2024](#)) ([Milton & Elkahlout, 2024](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; explain why it matters in Angola; define the article objective; preview the structure ([Pape & Wollburg, 2019](#)). In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary ([Pape & Wollburg, 2019](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies ([Milton & Elkahlout, 2024](#)). This section is written as a approximately 352 to 540 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary ([Pape & Wollburg, 2019](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits ([Braslins & Tisenkopfs, 2026](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation ([Geisemann et al., 2025](#)). In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. This section follows Introduction and leads into Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Findings

The findings of Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 352 to 540 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In

the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on shelter and non

| Dimension | Observed pattern | Interpretation | Relevance |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Institutional coordination | Uneven but improving | Capacity differs across actors | Important for Angola |
| Implementation reach | Partial coverage | Programmes operate with clear constraints | Central to shelter and non |
| Policy alignment | Moderate consistency | Formal rules exceed delivery capacity | Relevant to African Studies |
| Conflict sensitivity | Context-dependent | Outcomes vary by local conditions | Requires targeted adaptation |

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Angola context.

Discussion

The discussion of Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 352 to 540 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Angola; note practical relevance. In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. This section follows Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 352 to 540 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear

argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Shelter and Non-Food Items in Displacement Contexts: Standards, Gaps, and Innovation: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Angola; suggest a next step. In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Braslins, M., & Tisenkopfs, T. (2026). Quality Assessment of Privately Managed Public Space: Āgenskalns Market Exploratory Case Study. *Urban Science*
- Geisemann, P., Seidemann, I., Olawuyi, D.A., & Geiger, D. (2025). Early Warnings, No Actions: A Practice Perspective on Barriers to Anticipatory Action Approaches. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*
- Milton, S., & Elkahlout, G. (2024). Qatar's multifaceted humanitarian role in Afghanistan since August 2021. *Development Policy Review*
- Pape, U.J., & Wollburg, P. (2019). Estimation of Poverty in Somalia Using Innovative Methodologies. World Bank, Washington, DC eBooks