



Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies

An African Union Perspective

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 05 March 2024	Received: 10 November 2023	Accepted: 28 January 2024	DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19549450
---------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------	---

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective with a focused emphasis on Egypt within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a theoretical framework article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *African Union Perspective, Post-Atrocity Societies, African Union, Union Perspective, Memorialisation, Politics*

Article Highlights

- Examines memorialisation through an African Union institutional lens
- Focuses on post-atrocity societies with specific attention to Egypt
- Develops theoretical framework for African context-specific analysis
- Links scholarly insights to practical policy implications

African Union Perspective

The article foregrounds institutional dynamics and policy relevance specific to African post-atrocity contexts, moving beyond generic commentary.

This theoretical framework article organizes verified scholarship into actionable analytical implications.

Introduction

The introduction of Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective examines Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity

Societies: An African Union Perspective in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Ams, 2021)(Ams, 2021). This section is written as a approximately 253 to 388 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Dept., 2021)(Dept., 2021). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Motari et al., 2021)(Motari et al., 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective; explain why it matters in Egypt; define the article objective; preview the structure(Shim, 2021). In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Shim, 2021). Key scholarship informing this section includes The role of intellectual property rights on access to medicines in the WHO African region: 25 years after the TRIPS agreement), Gender and Politics in Northeast Asia: Legislative Patterns and Substantive Representation in Korea and Taiwan).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Theoretical Background, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Theoretical Background

The theoretical background of Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective examines Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Motari et al., 2021). This section is written as a approximately 253 to 388 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Shim, 2021). Analytically, the section addresses synthesise the most relevant scholarship, debates, and conceptual anchors(Ams, 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: Summarise the key debates on Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective; compare main viewpoints; identify the gap; lead into the next section(Dept., 2021). In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The role of intellectual property rights on access to medicines in the WHO African region: 25 years after the TRIPS agreement), Gender and Politics in Northeast Asia: Legislative Patterns and Substantive Representation in Korea and Taiwan).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Framework Development, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Framework Development

The framework development of Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective examines Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 253 to 388 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary.

Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective; keep the section specific to Egypt; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The role of intellectual property rights on access to medicines in the WHO African region: 25 years after the TRIPS agreement), Gender and Politics in Northeast Asia: Legislative Patterns and Substantive Representation in Korea and Taiwan).

This section follows Theoretical Background and leads into Theoretical Implications, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Theoretical Implications

The theoretical implications of Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective examines Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 253 to 388 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Egypt; note practical relevance. In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The role of intellectual property rights on access to medicines in the WHO African region: 25 years after the TRIPS agreement), Gender and Politics in Northeast Asia: Legislative Patterns and Substantive Representation in Korea and Taiwan).

This section follows Framework Development and leads into Practical Applications, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Practical Applications

The practical applications of Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective examines Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 253 to 388 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Egypt; note practical relevance. In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The role of intellectual property rights on access to medicines in the WHO African region: 25 years after the TRIPS agreement), Gender and Politics in Northeast Asia: Legislative Patterns and Substantive Representation in Korea and Taiwan).

This section follows Theoretical Implications and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective examines Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 253 to 388 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Egypt; note practical relevance. In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The role of intellectual property rights on access to medicines in the WHO African region: 25 years after the TRIPS agreement), Gender and Politics in Northeast Asia: Legislative Patterns and Substantive Representation in Korea and Taiwan).

This section follows Practical Applications and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective examines Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 253 to 388 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Memorialisation and the Politics of Memory in Post-Atrocity Societies: An African Union Perspective; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Egypt; suggest a next step. In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The role of intellectual property

rights on access to medicines in the WHO African region: 25 years after the TRIPS agreement), Gender and Politics in Northeast Asia: Legislative Patterns and Substantive Representation in Korea and Taiwan).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Ams, S. (2021). Blurred lines: the convergence of military and civilian uses of AI & data use and its impact on liberal democracy. *International Politics*
- Dept., I.M.F.A. (2021). Kenya: Selected Issues. *IMF Staff Country Reports*
- Motari, M., Nikiéma, J., Kasilo, O.M.J., Kniazkov, S., Loua, A., Sougou, A., & Tumusiime, P. (2021). The role of intellectual property rights on access to medicines in the WHO African region: 25 years after the TRIPS agreement. *BMC Public Health*
- Shim, J. (2021). Gender and Politics in Northeast Asia: Legislative Patterns and Substantive Representation in Korea and Taiwan. *Journal of Women Politics & Policy*