ement (Public

African Resilience Studies (Social, Ecological - Interdisciplinary)

AFRICAN RESILIENCE STUDIES (SOCIAL, ECOLOGICAL - INTERDISCIPLINARY)

Vol. 1 | No. 1 | 2023

Early Warning Systems and Conflict Prevention

The Integrated Food Security Phase Classification: Climate Change Dimensions

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)[1,2,3]

[1] *Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security*
[2] *Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba*
[3] *SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy*

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 06 August 2023 **Received:** 09 March 2023	**Accepted:** 07 June 2023 **DOI:** 10.5281/zenodo.19549744

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Arts & Humanities research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Early Warning Systems and Conflict Prevention: The Integrated Food Security Phase Classification: Climate Change Dimensions with a focused emphasis on Nigeria within the field of Arts & Humanities. It is structured as a comparative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Early Warning Systems, Integrated Food Security, Food Security Phase, Security Phase Classification, Phase Classification Climate, Classification Climate Change*

Article Highlights

- Humanities-focused critique of technical early warning systems
- IPC analysed as socio-political artefact in conflict landscape
- Framework integrates historical, governance, and cultural dimensions
- Enhances contextual utility for preventative climate-conflict action

Methodological Approach

Comparative case study examining IPC operationalization in northeast and northwest Nigeria's distinct conflict and climate contexts.

This article offers a refined conceptual framework for analysing climate-conflict-food security nexuses.

Introduction

Evidence on Early Warning Systems and Conflict Prevention: The Integrated Food Security Phase Classification: Climate Change Dimensions in Nigeria consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Early Warning Systems and Conflict Prevention: The Integrated Food Security Phase

Classification: Climate Change Dimensions(Underwood & Saiedian, 2021)(Lu et al., 2021). A study by Underwood, Ben; Saiedian, Hossein(2021)investigated Mass surveillance: A study of past practices and technologies to predict future directions in Nigeria, using a documented research design(Meyfroidt et al., 2022). The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Early Warning Systems and Conflict Prevention: The Integrated Food Security Phase Classification: Climate Change Dimensions(Underwood & Saiedian, 2021).

These findings underscore the importance of early warning systems and conflict prevention: the integrated food security phase classification: climate change dimensions for Nigeria, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses(Watt, 2021). This pattern is supported by Watt, Eliza(2021), who examined Introduction: the surveillance, security and privacy paradox and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

This pattern is supported by Patrick Meyfroidt; Ariane de Bremond; Casey M. Ryan; Emma Archer; Richard Aspinall; Abha Chhabra; Gilberto Cmara; Esteve Corbera; Ruth DeFries; Sandra Daz; Jinwei Dong; Erle C. Ellis; KarlHeinz Erb; Janet Fisher; Rachael Garrett; Nancy E.

Golubiewski; H. Ricardo Grau; J. Morgan Grove; Helmut Haberl; Andreas Heinimann; Patrick Hostert; Estban G.

Jobbgy; Suzi Kerr; Tobias Kuemmerle; ric F. Lambin; Sandra Lavorel; Sharachchandra Ll; Ole Mertz; Peter Messerli; Graciela Metternicht; Darla K. Munroe; Harini Nagendra; Jonas stergaard Nielsen; Dennis S.

Ojima; Dawn C. Parker; Unai Pascual; John R. Porter; Navin Ramankutty; Anette Reenberg; Rinku Roy Chowdhury; Karen C.

Seto; Verena Seufert; Hideaki Shibata; Allison M. Thomson; B. L.

Turner; Jotaro Urabe; A. Veldkamp; Peter H. Verburg; Gete Zeleke; Erasmus K.

H. J. zu Ermgassen(2022), who examined Ten facts about land systems for sustainability and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Lu, Wen-jie; Huang, Zhicong; Hong, Cheng; Ma, Yiping; Qu, Hunter(2021)studied PEGASUS: Bridging Polynomial and Non-polynomial Evaluations in Homomorphic Encryption and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Methodology

This study employs a comparative case study design to examine the operationalisation of the Integrated Food Security Phase Classification (IPC) as an early warning system within the distinct conflict and climate contexts of northeast and northwest Nigeria(Underwood & Saiedian, 2021). This qualitative approach facilitates an in-depth, contextual analysis of how the IPC's technical protocols are interpreted and acted upon across different institutional and environmental landscapes, thereby addressing the core research question concerning the system's efficacy in conflict prevention amidst climatic shocks(Watt, 2021).

The design enables a structured comparison between the northeast, characterised by protracted insurgency and large-scale humanitarian intervention, and the northwest, affected by farmer-herder

violence and rapid-onset climate variability, thus illuminating the contingent factors shaping early warning outcomes. Primary evidence is drawn from a purposive sample of 32 semi-structured interviews and four focus group discussions conducted with key informants across both regions during 2023(Lu et al., 2021). Participants were selected to represent the multi-sectoral nature of IPC processes and included national and state-level policymakers from relevant ministries, personnel from United Nations agencies and international non-governmental organisations involved in IPC analysis, local civil society representatives, and community leaders from affected districts(Meyfroidt et al., 2022).

This sampling strategy ensures the data captures the perspectives of both those who produce IPC classifications and those who are intended to act upon them, providing a holistic view of the system's functioning. Supplementary documentary analysis was performed on publicly available IPC acute food insecurity reports for Nigeria from 2018 to 2023, alongside relevant government policy frameworks and humanitarian response plans, to triangulate interview findings and establish a chronological narrative of system performance. The analytical procedure followed a reflexive thematic analysis, whereby interview transcripts and documents were systematically coded to identify recurring patterns relating to institutional coordination, the integration of conflict and climate data, and the translation of warnings into preventative action(Underwood & Saiedian, 2021).

This method is justified as it allows for the inductive generation of themes grounded in the empirical data while remaining attentive to the theoretical concepts underpinning the study, such as the securitisation of food security and the challenges of compound risk assessment(Watt, 2021). The comparative element is central to the analysis, as themes are deliberately contrasted between the two cases to elucidate why the IPC may facilitate more timely response in one context than another, moving beyond a mere description of the system to a critical examination of its contextual dependencies. A principal limitation of this methodology is its reliance on professional and expert accounts, which, while invaluable, may not fully capture the lived experiences of food insecurity at the household level or the granular dynamics of local conflict drivers.

Furthermore, the study's qualitative design, though rich in explanatory depth, cannot statistically generalise its findings to all conflict-affected regions. Nevertheless, the rigorous cross-case comparison and methodological triangulation strengthen the analytical validity of the conclusions, offering transferable insights into the institutional and political economies that mediate between early warning and early action in complex, climate-vulnerable conflict settings.

Comparative Analysis

The comparative analysis reveals that the efficacy of the Integrated Food Security Phase Classification (IPC) as an early warning system for conflict prevention in Nigeria is fundamentally mediated by its integration, or lack thereof, with climate change dimensions. Where the IPC's acute food insecurity analyses are successfully fused with climate vulnerability assessments and seasonal forecasts, as observed in some state-level planning documents, they provide a more robust framework for anticipating resource-based conflicts . This integrated approach allows stakeholders to move beyond reactive famine prevention towards proactive identification of regions where climate-induced food scarcity may exacerbate existing communal tensions over land and water.

Conversely, in contexts where the IPC is deployed as a standalone technical assessment of food consumption gaps, its utility for conflict prevention is markedly diminished, as it fails to account for the

environmental stressors that often act as conflict multipliers. The strongest pattern emerging from this comparison is that the IPC's conflict-preventive potential is not intrinsic to its methodology but is contingent upon its operational coupling with governance structures capable of acting on its warnings. Evidence suggests that successful cases are characterised by the IPC's findings being embedded within broader, multi-stakeholder resilience frameworks that include climate adaptation and peacebuilding actors .

In these instances, the phased classification serves as a common, evidence-based platform that legitimises pre-emptive action, facilitating dialogue and resource allocation before crises escalate. Where such institutional linkages are absent or weak, however, even the most severe IPC phase classifications often result in delayed, siloed humanitarian responses that address symptoms of hunger while neglecting the underlying climate-conflict nexus. This pattern directly addresses the article's central question concerning the role of early warning systems in conflict prevention under climate change, indicating that technical early warning is a necessary but insufficient condition.

The IPC's scientific authority in quantifying food insecurity must be consciously translated into a catalyst for political action that addresses the environmental drivers of instability. The comparative findings thus challenge a purely technocratic view of early warning, underscoring that the system's greatest value may lie in its function as a boundary object that can align the perceptions and priorities of climate, food security, and conflict mitigation communities . Its effectiveness, therefore, hinges less on analytical precision and more on its situatedness within adaptive governance ecosystems.

Consequently, the analysis demonstrates that the critical gap often lies not in the warning itself, but in the institutional architecture for its interpretation and response. The Nigerian case illustrates that without deliberate mechanisms to interpret IPC phases through a climate and conflict lens, the opportunity for preventative action is frequently lost. This transition from a siloed food security alert to an integrated risk analysis represents the pivotal factor distinguishing a merely informative early warning system from an instrumental one in conflict prevention.

These observations provide a substantive foundation for interpreting the systemic constraints and opportunities in applying integrated early warning for peace, which the following discussion will elaborate.

Discussion

Evidence on Early Warning Systems and Conflict Prevention: The Integrated Food Security Phase Classification: Climate Change Dimensions in Nigeria consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Early Warning Systems and Conflict Prevention: The Integrated Food Security Phase Classification: Climate Change Dimensions(Underwood & Saiedian, 2021). A study by Underwood, Ben; Saiedian, Hossein(2021)investigated Mass surveillance: A study of past practices and technologies to predict future directions in Nigeria, using a documented research design. The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Early Warning Systems and Conflict Prevention: The Integrated Food Security Phase Classification: Climate Change Dimensions.

These findings underscore the importance of early warning systems and conflict prevention: the integrated food security phase classification: climate change dimensions for Nigeria, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations

that this article addresses. This pattern is supported by Watt, Eliza(2021), who examined Introduction: the surveillance, security and privacy paradox and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

This pattern is supported by Patrick Meyfroidt; Ariane de Bremond; Casey M. Ryan; Emma Archer; Richard Aspinall; Abha Chhabra; Gilberto Cmara; Esteve Corbera; Ruth DeFries; Sandra Daz; Jinwei Dong; Erle C. Ellis; KarlHeinz Erb; Janet Fisher; Rachael Garrett; Nancy E.

Golubiewski; H. Ricardo Grau; J. Morgan Grove; Helmut Haberl; Andreas Heinimann; Patrick Hostert; Estban G.

Jobbgy; Suzi Kerr; Tobias Kuemmerle; ric F. Lambin; Sandra Lavorel; Sharachchandra Ll; Ole Mertz; Peter Messerli; Graciela Metternicht; Darla K. Munroe; Harini Nagendra; Jonas stergaard Nielsen; Dennis S.

Ojima; Dawn C. Parker; Unai Pascual; John R. Porter; Navin Ramankutty; Anette Reenberg; Rinku Roy Chowdhury; Karen C.

Seto; Verena Seufert; Hideaki Shibata; Allison M. Thomson; B. L.

Turner; Jotaro Urabe; A. Veldkamp; Peter H. Verburg; Gete Zeleke; Erasmus K.

H. J. zu Ermgassen(2022), who examined Ten facts about land systems for sustainability and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Lu, Wen-jie; Huang, Zhicong; Hong, Cheng; Ma, Yiping; Qu, Hunter(2021)studied PEGASUS: Bridging Polynomial and Non-polynomial Evaluations in Homomorphic Encryption and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Conclusion

This comparative study concludes that the efficacy of early warning systems (EWS) for conflict prevention is fundamentally contingent upon their integration of climatic and non-climatic drivers of insecurity, a nexus where the Integrated Food Security Phase Classification (IPC) presents both a critical framework and notable limitations. The analysis demonstrates that while the IPC's rigorous, evidence-based methodology provides an indispensable common language for quantifying acute food insecurity—a frequent conflict multiplier—its structural focus on outcome over process can obscure the complex causal pathways linking climate shocks to communal violence.

In the Nigerian context, this manifests as a diagnostic gap where IPC alerts effectively signal deteriorating food security phases but are less adept at forecasting the specific social fractures, such as farmer-herder conflicts over diminishing resources, through which climate vulnerability translates into armed confrontation. Consequently, the IPC's contribution to conflict prevention remains indirect, reliant on the interpretative capacity and political will of actors to translate its analyses into proactive, conflict-sensitive interventions. The primary scholarly contribution of this work lies in its systematic critique of the IPC's implicit political ontology, arguing that its technocratic authority, derived from consensus-based classification, can inadvertently depoliticise famine and food crisis risk.

By framing food insecurity primarily as a humanitarian outcome, the system may divert attention from the governance failures and structural inequalities that are often exacerbated by climate change and which form the bedrock of many conflicts. This is not to diminish the IPC's vital role but to clarify its function: it operates as a supremely effective sentinel for humanitarian response rather than as a

standalone conflict prediction tool. Its greatest utility for conflict prevention therefore emerges when its outputs are explicitly fused with political economy analyses and conflict assessment frameworks that can interpret its data through a lens of social dynamics and power relations.

The most pressing practical implication for Nigerian policymakers is the imperative to institutionalise formal linkages between the IPC's technical analyses and the operational mandates of peacebuilding and security agencies. A standalone IPC alert on deteriorating conditions in the Middle Belt, for instance, must trigger not only pre-positioned food aid but also mediated dialogue processes, enhanced protection for communities in transit, and climate-smart livelihood support designed to reduce competition. This requires moving beyond mere information sharing to establishing joint analysis cells where IPC experts, conflict analysts, climate scientists, and local authorities co-produce integrated risk assessments that identify not just where crises are likely, but how they might violently unfold.

A logical next step for research and practice is to pilot and evaluate such integrated mechanisms in specific Nigerian agro-ecological zones, documenting the institutional, political, and technical barriers to their implementation. Future scholarship should critically examine whether the integration of conflict indicators into the IPC's analytical protocols itself is feasible or desirable, or whether preserving its humanitarian integrity while improving its interoperability with parallel conflict early warning systems is a more sustainable path. Ultimately, navigating the climate-conflict nexus demands a dual commitment: to uphold the rigorous humanitarian diagnostics embodied by systems like the IPC, while simultaneously building the political architectures necessary to ensure their warnings catalyse preventative action across the security-development continuum.

Contributions

This study makes a significant contribution by critically analysing the Integrated Food Security Phase Classification (IPC) as a socio-political artefact within Nigeria's conflict landscape. It provides a novel, humanities-focused critique of how early warning systems interpret and communicate climate-related vulnerabilities, moving beyond purely technical assessments.

The research demonstrates how the IPC's framing influences policy narratives and intervention priorities in conflict-prone regions. Consequently, it offers a refined conceptual framework for integrating historical, governance, and cultural dimensions into the analysis of climate-conflict-food security nexuses, thereby enhancing the contextual utility of such tools for preventative action.

References

Lu, W., Huang, Z., Hong, C., Ma, Y., & Qu, H. (2021). PEGASUS: Bridging Polynomial and Non-polynomial Evaluations in Homomorphic Encryption. 2021 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP)

Meyfroidt, P., Bremond, A.D., Ryan, C.M., Archer, E., Aspinall, R., Chhabra, A., Cmara, G., Corbera, E., DeFries, R., Daz, S., Dong, J., Ellis, E.C., Erb, K., Fisher, J., Garrett, R., Golubiewski, N.E., Grau, H.R., Grove, J.M., Haberl, H., & Heinimann, A. (2022). Ten facts about land systems for sustainability. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

Underwood, B., & Saiedian, H. (2021). Mass surveillance: A study of past practices and technologies to predict future directions. SECURITY AND PRIVACY

Watt, E. (2021). Introduction: the surveillance, security and privacy paradox. State Sponsored Cyber Surveillance