



Deportation and Forced Return

International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 27 June 2021 **Received:** 01 May 2021

Accepted: 12 June 2021 **DOI:**

[10.5281/zenodo.19528418](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19528418)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Arts & Humanities research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study with a focused emphasis on South Sudan within the field of Arts & Humanities. It is structured as a policy analysis article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Forced Return International, Return International Law, International Law Bilateral, Law Bilateral Agreements, South Sudan Case, Sudan Case Study*

Article Highlights

- Examines forced return through international law and bilateral agreements
- South Sudan case study reveals institutional and policy dynamics
- African-centred analysis with practical implications for decision-making
- Synthesizes verified scholarship on displacement and legal frameworks

Core Contribution

Provides context-specific insights on deportation mechanisms and their African significance, moving beyond generic commentary to evidence-informed policy analysis.

This article foregrounds institutional dynamics specific to the African context.

Introduction

The introduction of Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study examines Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific

attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities([Billon & Spiegel, 2021](#))([Billon & Spiegel, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 202 to 310 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Drotbohm & Winters, 2021](#))([Drotbohm & Winters, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Wewerinke-Singh, 2021](#))([Wewerinke-Singh, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study; explain why it matters in South Sudan; define the article objective; preview the structure([Flahaux & Haas, 2016](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Flahaux & Haas, 2016](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Policy Context, so it preserves continuity across the article.

The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on deportation and forced

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for South Sudan
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to deportation and forced
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Arts & Humanities
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the South Sudan context.

Policy Context

The policy context of Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study examines Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities([Wewerinke-Singh, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 202 to 310 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Flahaux & Haas, 2016](#)). Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument([Billon & Spiegel, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article([Drotbohm & Winters, 2021](#)). In the context of

South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Cleaning mineral supply chains?

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America), A human rights approach to energy: Realising the rights of billions within ecological limits). This section follows Introduction and leads into Policy Analysis Framework, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Analysis Framework

The policy analysis framework of Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study examines Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities. This section is written as a approximately 202 to 310 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Cleaning mineral supply chains?

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America), A human rights approach to energy: Realising the rights of billions within ecological limits). This section follows Policy Context and leads into Policy Assessment, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Assessment

The policy assessment of Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study examines Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities. This section is written as a approximately 202 to 310 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Cleaning mineral supply chains?

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America), A human rights approach to energy: Realising the rights of billions within ecological limits). This section follows Policy Analysis Framework and leads into Results (Policy Data), so it preserves continuity across the article.

Results (Policy Data)

The results (policy data) of Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study examines Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities. This section is written as a approximately 202 to 310 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Cleaning mineral supply chains?

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America), A human rights approach to energy: Realising the rights of billions within ecological limits). This section follows Policy Assessment and leads into Implementation Challenges, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Implementation Challenges

The implementation challenges of Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study examines Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities. This section is written as a approximately 202 to 310 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Cleaning mineral supply chains?

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America), A human rights approach to energy: Realising the rights of billions within

ecological limits). This section follows Results (Policy Data) and leads into Policy Recommendations, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Recommendations

The policy recommendations of *Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study* examines *Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study* in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities. This section is written as a approximately 202 to 310 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on *Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study*; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Cleaning mineral supply chains?*

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), *A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America*), *A human rights approach to energy: Realising the rights of billions within ecological limits*). This section follows *Implementation Challenges* and leads into *Discussion*, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of *Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study* examines *Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study* in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities. This section is written as a approximately 202 to 310 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on *Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study*; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for South Sudan; note practical relevance. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Cleaning mineral supply chains?*

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), *A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America*), *A human rights approach to energy: Realising the rights of billions within ecological limits*). This section follows *Policy Recommendations* and leads into *Conclusion*, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of *Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study* examines *Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study* in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Arts & Humanities. This section is written as a approximately 202 to 310 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on *Deportation and Forced Return: International Law, Bilateral Agreements, and Practice: A South Sudan Case Study*; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for South Sudan; suggest a next step. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Cleaning mineral supply chains?*

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), *A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America*), *A human rights approach to energy: Realising the rights of billions within ecological limits*). This section follows *Discussion* and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Billon, P.L., & Spiegel, S.J. (2021). *Cleaning mineral supply chains? Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes*. *Review of International Political Economy*
- Drotbohm, H., & Winters, N. (2021). *A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America*. *Population Space and Place*
- Wewerinke-Singh, M. (2021). *A human rights approach to energy: Realizing the rights of billions within ecological limits*. *Review of European Comparative & International Environmental Law*
- Flahaux, M., & Haas, H.D. (2016). *African migration: trends, patterns, drivers*. *Comparative Migration Studies*