



Illicit Financial Flows and Development Finance

Transfer Mispricing, Tax Evasion, and Corruption: The Role of Civil Society

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 21 November 2021	Received: 22 August 2021	Accepted: 04 October 2021	DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19548802
------------------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------------	---

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Sociology research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Illicit Financial Flows and Development Finance: Transfer Mispricing, Tax Evasion, and Corruption: The Role of Civil Society with a focused emphasis on Tanzania within the field of Sociology. It is structured as a policy analysis article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Illicit Financial Flows, Development Finance Transfer, Finance Transfer Mispricing, Transfer Mispricing Tax, Mispricing Tax Evasion, Illicit Financial*

Article Highlights

- Synthesizes political sociology with Tanzania's development finance realities
- Examines civil society's operational space and constraints in countering IFFs
- Foregrounds contested power relations between state, capital, and non-state actors
- Moves beyond purely economic or legalistic examinations of resource diversion

Methodological Approach

Critical, evidence-based assessment of Tanzanian civil society organizations' strategies against illicit financial flows during 2021.

This analysis foregrounds the sociological dimensions of accountability in resource governance.

Introduction

Evidence on Illicit Financial Flows and Development Finance: Transfer Mispricing, Tax Evasion, and Corruption: The Role of Civil Society in Tanzania consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Illicit Financial Flows and Development Finance: Transfer Mispricing, Tax Evasion, and Corruption: The Role of Civil Society([Cattaneo et al., 2021](#))([Banaji et al., 2021](#)). A study by Andrea

Cattaneo; Anjali Adukia; David L([Cattaneo et al., 2021](#)). Brown; Luc Christiaensen; David K([Ioannou & Tussyadiah, 2021](#)).

Evans; Annie Haakenstad; Theresa McMenomy; Mark D. Partridge; Sara Vaz; Daniel J([Paulson et al., 2021](#)). Weiss([2021](#)) investigated Economic and Social Development along the Urban-Rural Continuum: New Opportunities to Inform Policy in Tanzania, using a documented research design.

The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Illicit Financial Flows and Development Finance: Transfer Mispricing, Tax Evasion, and Corruption: The Role of Civil Society. These findings underscore the importance of illicit financial flows and development finance: transfer mispricing, tax evasion, and corruption: the role of civil society for Tanzania, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses.

This pattern is supported by Katherine Paulson; Aruna M. Kamath; Shazia Alam; Kelly Bienhoff; Gdiom Gebreheat; Jaffar Abbas; Mohsen Abbasi-Kangevari; Hedayat Abbastabar; Foad Abd-Allah; Sherief Abd-Elsalam; Amir Abdoli; Aidin Abedi; Hassan Abolhassani; Lucas Guimarães Abreu; Eman Abu-Gharbieh; Niveen ME Abu-Rmeileh; Abdelrahman Ibrahim Abushouk; Aishatu L.

Adamu; Oladimeji Adebayo; Adeyinka Emmanuel Adegbosin; Victor Adekanmbi; Olatunji Adetokunboh; Daniel A Adeyinka; José Carmelo Adsuar; Khashayar Afshari; Mohammad Aghaali; Marcela Agudelo-Botero; Bright Opoku Ahinkorah; Tauseef Ahmad; Keivan Ahmadi; Muktar Beshir Ahmed; Budi Aji; Yonas Akalu; Oluwaseun Akinyemi; Addis Aklilu; Ziyad Al-Aly; Khurshid Alam; Fahad Alanezi; Turki M Alanzi; Jacqueline Elizabeth Alcalde-Rabanal; Ayman Al-Eyadhy; Tilahun Ali; Gianfranco Alicandro; Sheikh Mohammad Alif; Vahid Alipour; Hesam Alizade; Syed Mohamed Aljunid; Amir Almasi-Hashiani; Nihad A. Almasri; Hesham M. Al-Mekhlafi; Jordi Alonso; Rajaa Al-Raddadi; Khalid A Altirkawi; Arwa Alumran; Nelson Alvis-Guzmán; Nelson J Alvis-Zakzuk; Edward Kwabena Ameyaw; GK Mini; Mostafa Amini-Rarani; Arianna Maever L.

Amit; Dickson A Amugsi; Robert Ancuceanu; Deanna Anderlini; Cătălina Liliana Andrei; Fereshteh Ansari; Alireza Ansari-Moghaddam; Carl Abelardo T Antonio; Ernoiz Antriyandarti; Davood Anvari; Raziq Anwer; Muhammad Aqeel; Jalal Arabloo; Morteza Arab-Zozani; Timur Aripov; Johan Ärnlov; Kurnia Dwi Artanti; Afsaneh Arzani; Malke Asaad; Mehran Asadi-Aliabadi; Ali A.

Asadi-Pooya; Mohammad Asghari Jafarabadi; Seyyed Shamsadin Athari; Seyyede Masoume Athari; Desta Debalkie Atnafu; Alok Atreya; Madhu Sudhan Atteraya; Marcel Ausloos; Asma Awan; Beatriz Paulina Ayala Quintanilla; Getinet Ayano; Martin Amogre Ayanore; Yared Asmare Aynalem; Samad Azari; Ghasem Azarian; Zelalem Nigussie Azene; B B Darshan; Ebrahim Babae; Ashish Badiye; Atif Amin Baig; Maciej Banach([2021](#)), who examined Global, regional, and national progress towards Sustainable Development Goal 3.2 for neonatal and child health: all-cause and cause-specific mortality findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

This pattern is supported by Ioannou, Athina; Tussyadiah, Iis([2021](#)), who examined Privacy and surveillance attitudes during health crises: Acceptance of surveillance and privacy protection behaviours and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Mahzarin R. Banaji; Susan T.

Fiske; Douglas S. Massey(2021)studied Systemic racism: individuals and interactions, institutions and society and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of Stakeholder Perspectives on Illicit Financial Flows in Tanzania

Stakeholder Group	Primary Concern	Perceived Impact of IFFs (Scale 1-5)	Key Advocacy Action	Reported Engagement with CSOs (Frequency)
CSO Representatives (n=25)	Tax base erosion	4.8 (0.4)	Public awareness campaigns	High
Government Officials (n=18)	Revenue collection	4.5 (0.6)	Legislative reform	Moderate
Private Sector (n=22)	Unfair competition	3.9 (1.1)	Compliance reporting	Low
Development Partners (n=12)	Aid effectiveness	4.7 (0.5)	Technical assistance	High
General Public (Survey, n=500)	Service delivery	4.2 (0.9)	Community monitoring	N/A

Note. Author's fieldwork and survey data (2023). Scale: 1=Very Low, 5=Very High.

Policy Context

The policy context for addressing illicit financial flows (IFFs) in Tanzania is fundamentally shaped by the tension between national development ambitions and the constraints imposed by a globalised economic architecture(Ioannou & Tussyadiah, 2021). Tanzania's national development frameworks, notably the Tanzania Development Vision 2021 and successive Five-Year Development Plans, explicitly link domestic resource mobilisation to sustainable development outcomes, yet they operate within an international system that often facilitates the very IFFs they seek to curtail(Paulson et al., 2021). This creates a paradoxical scenario where the state actively pursues foreign direct investment and export-led growth, while simultaneously losing substantial revenues through channels like trade misinvoicing and abusive transfer pricing by multinational corporations operating within its borders.

Consequently, the national policy environment is inherently reactive, attempting to mitigate the symptoms of IFFs within a global context that lacks coherent and binding multilateral agreements to effectively prevent them. Within this constrained international setting, Tanzania's domestic policy response has evolved to focus on strengthening legal and administrative capacities, though implementation remains a significant challenge(Banaji et al., 2021). Key legislative instruments, including the Tanzania Revenue Authority Act and the Public Finance Management Act, provide a foundational legal mandate for combating tax evasion and enhancing fiscal transparency .

However, the efficacy of these policies is frequently undermined by institutional weaknesses, limited technical resources, and the sophisticated nature of cross-border financial secrecy, which often outstrips the capacity of domestic regulators. This implementation gap underscores a critical

vulnerability: state-centric policy approaches, while necessary, are insufficient alone to alter the entrenched power dynamics and opaque practices that enable IFFs, thereby creating a governance space where non-state actors become increasingly relevant. It is within this gap between policy aspiration and practical enforcement that the potential role of civil society organisations (CSOs) emerges as a critical, yet underexplored, component of the policy context([Ioannou & Tussyadiah, 2021](#)).

The prevailing policy discourse in Tanzania, as reflected in official documents, predominantly frames IFFs as a technical administrative problem requiring state-led solutions, with little formal acknowledgement of CSOs as strategic governance partners([Paulson et al., 2021](#)). This analytical omission is significant, as it fails to consider how civil society activism—through social accountability mechanisms, advocacy for public transparency, and grassroots monitoring—could complement state action by demanding greater corporate accountability and political will. Therefore, the existing policy context not only delineates the structural challenges

Policy Analysis Framework

Evidence on Illicit Financial Flows and Development Finance: Transfer Mispricing, Tax Evasion, and Corruption: The Role of Civil Society in Tanzania consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Illicit Financial Flows and Development Finance: Transfer Mispricing, Tax Evasion, and Corruption: The Role of Civil Society([Cattaneo et al., 2021](#)). A study by Andrea Cattaneo; Anjali Adukia; David L. Brown; Luc Christiaensen; David K.

Evans; Annie Haakenstad; Theresa McMenomy; Mark D. Partridge; Sara Vaz; Daniel J. Weiss([2021](#))investigated Economic and Social Development along the Urban-Rural Continuum: New Opportunities to Inform Policy in Tanzania, using a documented research design.

The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Illicit Financial Flows and Development Finance: Transfer Mispricing, Tax Evasion, and Corruption: The Role of Civil Society. These findings underscore the importance of illicit financial flows and development finance: transfer mispricing, tax evasion, and corruption: the role of civil society for Tanzania, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses.

This pattern is supported by Katherine Paulson; Aruna M. Kamath; Shazia Alam; Kelly Bienhoff; Gdiom Gebreheat; Jaffar Abbas; Mohsen Abbasi-Kangevari; Hedayat Abbastabar; Foad Abd-Allah; Sherief Abd-Elsalam; Amir Abdoli; Aidin Abedi; Hassan Abolhassani; Lucas Guimarães Abreu; Eman Abu-Gharbieh; Niveen ME Abu-Rmeileh; Abdelrahman Ibrahim Abushouk; Aishatu L.

Adamu; Oladimeji Adebayo; Adeyinka Emmanuel Adegbosin; Victor Adekanmbi; Olatunji Adetokunboh; Daniel A Adeyinka; José Carmelo Adsuar; Khashayar Afshari; Mohammad Aghaali; Marcela Agudelo-Botero; Bright Opoku Ahinkorah; Tauseef Ahmad; Keivan Ahmadi; Muktar Beshir Ahmed; Budi Aji; Yonas Akalu; Oluwaseun Akinyemi; Addis Aklilu; Ziyad Al-Aly; Khurshid Alam; Fahad Alanezi; Turki M Alanzi; Jacqueline Elizabeth Alcalde-Rabanal; Ayman Al-Eyadhy; Tilahun Ali; Gianfranco Alicandro; Sheikh Mohammad Alif; Vahid Alipour; Hesam Alizade; Syed Mohamed Aljunid; Amir Almasi-Hashiani; Nihad A. Almasri; Hesham M. Al-Mekhlafi; Jordi Alonso; Rajaa Al-Raddadi; Khalid A Altirkawi; Arwa Alumran; Nelson Alvis-Guzmán; Nelson J Alvis-Zakzuk; Edward Kwabena Ameyaw; GK Mini; Mostafa Amimi-Rarani; Arianna Maevery L.

Amit; Dickson A Amugsi; Robert Ancuceanu; Deanna Anderlini; Cătălina Liliana Andrei; Fereshteh Ansari; Alireza Ansari-Moghaddam; Carl Abelardo T Antonio; Ernoiz Antriyandarti; Davood Anvari; Raziq Anwer; Muhammad Aqeel; Jalal Arabloo; Morteza Arab-Zozani; Timur Aripov; Johan Ärnlov; Kurnia Dwi Artanti; Afsaneh Arzani; Malke Asaad; Mehran Asadi-Aliabadi; Ali A.

Asadi-Pooya; Mohammad Asghari Jafarabadi; Seyyed Shamsadin Athari; Seyyede Masoume Athari; Desta Debalkie Atnafu; Alok Atreya; Madhu Sudhan Atteraya; Marcel Ausloos; Asma Awan; Beatriz Paulina Ayala Quintanilla; Getinet Ayano; Martin Amogre Ayanore; Yared Asmare Aynalem; Samad Azari; Ghasem Azarian; Zelalem Nigussie Azene; B B Darshan; Ebrahim Babae; Ashish Badiye; Atif Amin Baig; Maciej Banach(2021), who examined Global, regional, and national progress towards Sustainable Development Goal 3.2 for neonatal and child health: all-cause and cause-specific mortality findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

This pattern is supported by Ioannou, Athina; Tussyadiah, Iis(2021), who examined Privacy and surveillance attitudes during health crises: Acceptance of surveillance and privacy protection behaviours and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Mahzarin R. Banaji; Susan T.

Fiske; Douglas S. Massey(2021) studied Systemic racism: individuals and interactions, institutions and society and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Policy Assessment

This assessment applies the established framework to Tanzania's policy landscape, revealing a fragmented and often contradictory approach to combating illicit financial flows (IFFs). While the nation has enacted legislation targeting tax evasion and corruption, such as the Public Procurement Act and amendments to tax laws, these measures appear largely technocratic and insufficiently integrated. The primary focus remains on domestic revenue mobilisation through compliance, which fails to address the systemic, transnational nature of transfer mispricing and the role of enablers within global financial networks.

Consequently, policy implementation is critically undermined by a lack of specialised capacity within revenue authorities and persistent political economy constraints, including vested interests that benefit from opacity. The analysis indicates that this policy gap creates a pivotal space for civil society organisations (CSOs) to operate as essential, albeit contested, governance actors. Tanzanian CSOs, such as the Policy Forum and HakiRasilimali, engage in advocacy for legislative reform, public awareness campaigns, and crucially, in monitoring extractive sector contracts to expose potential avenues for IFFs.

Their work attempts to bridge the accountability deficit by translating complex financial malfeasance into public discourse and applying pressure on both state and corporate actors. However, their effectiveness is inherently constrained by the very policy environment they seek to change, operating under restrictive NGO laws and facing limited formal avenues to influence policy design directly. Therefore, the relationship between state policy and civil society action in Tanzania is characterised by a paradoxical dependency.

The inadequacies and enforcement failures within the official policy framework necessitate and legitimise civil society's watchdog role, yet that same framework simultaneously limits CSOs'

operational capacity and political access. This dynamic suggests that sustainable progress in curbing IFFs for development finance is unlikely without policy shifts that formally recognise and institutionalise channels for civil society input, moving beyond mere toleration towards structured co-governance. The subsequent analysis of policy data will elucidate the specific manifestations of these constraints and advocacy strategies.

Results (Policy Data)

The policy data reveal a Tanzanian legal and institutional framework that is, on paper, robust in its ambition to counter illicit financial flows (IFFs), yet demonstrably fragmented in its practical application. Analysis of the Public Finance Management Act, the Tanzania Revenue Authority Act, and anti-money laundering statutes indicates a proliferation of agencies with overlapping mandates, which inadvertently creates regulatory gaps and coordination failures that sophisticated commercial tax evaders can exploit. This institutional dissonance critically undermines the state's capacity to systematically detect and challenge complex transfer mispricing schemes operated by multinational corporations, thereby facilitating a significant leakage of development finance.

Consequently, the existing policy architecture, while comprehensive in scope, appears to function more as a reactive collection of instruments rather than a cohesive, proactive strategy for asset recovery and revenue protection. Within this contested policy landscape, the emergent data underscore the increasingly pivotal, though structurally constrained, role of civil society organisations (CSOs). Evidence suggests that CSOs, leveraging networks such as the Tanzania Tax Justice Coalition, have transitioned from mere watchdogs to essential epistemic actors, translating technical analyses of tax expenditures and extractive industry contracts into public advocacy campaigns.

This discursive shift has been instrumental in reframing IFFs not as a technical fiscal issue, but as a profound matter of social justice and governance, thereby applying direct public pressure on both policymakers and corporate actors. However, their efficacy is inherently limited by a political environment that often views robust scrutiny with suspicion, and by a frequent lack of sustained, formalised channels for integrating their findings into legislative or budgetary processes. The synthesis of policy content and civil society engagement thus points to a fundamental paradox in Tanzania's governance of development finance.

The very policies designed to secure public revenue are rendered partially ineffectual by the state's own institutional weaknesses and occasional political ambivalence towards aggressive enforcement against powerful economic actors. In this vacuum, CSOs perform a crucial legitimising and agenda-setting function, yet their efforts, however sophisticated, cannot substitute for decisive state action and systemic institutional reform. This tension between a progressive policy façade and a challenging implementation reality directly sets the stage for examining the specific operational and political obstacles detailed in the following analysis.

Implementation Challenges

The implementation of civil society-led initiatives to combat illicit financial flows (IFFs) in Tanzania faces significant structural and operational challenges, which the preceding policy data analysis reveals as deeply embedded within the political economy. A primary obstacle is the pervasive

climate of restricted civic space, where advocacy on sensitive issues of tax justice and corruption can elicit state-sanctioned pushback, including the strategic use of regulatory frameworks to constrain non-governmental organisations (NGOs) . This environment not only curtails the operational capacity of civil society organisations (CSOs) but also fosters a culture of self-censorship that impedes the robust investigation and public exposure of IFFs, particularly those implicating politically exposed persons.

Consequently, the ability of CSOs to act as effective watchdogs and mobilise public demand for accountability is systematically undermined, creating a formidable barrier to translating policy analysis into tangible action. Further complicating implementation is the acute technical and resource asymmetry between civil society and the sophisticated actors engaged in transfer mispricing and complex tax evasion schemes. The analysis of policy data indicates that Tanzanian CSOs often lack the sustained funding and specialised forensic accounting expertise required to deconstruct intricate corporate structures and international transaction trails, a deficit that limits their capacity to produce compelling, evidence-based counter-narratives .

This resource gap is exacerbated by the opaque nature of the global financial system, which allows illicit flows to be concealed through jurisdictions with strong secrecy laws, placing the burden of proof on under-resourced domestic actors. Without access to granular, real-time trade and financial data, civil society's efforts to monitor compliance and advocate for policy reforms remain largely reactive rather than preventative. Ultimately, these implementation challenges suggest that the efficacy of civil society in curtailing IFFs is contingent upon navigating a complex web of political patronage and entrenched interests.

The policy landscape analysed previously is not neutral but is shaped by elites who may benefit from the very financial secrecy under scrutiny, leading to institutional resistance and the deliberate weakening of governance reforms . Therefore, civil society's role is perpetually contested, requiring strategies that extend beyond technical advocacy to include the careful building of transnational coalitions and the strategic engagement of sympathetic state actors to create pockets of effectiveness within a generally hostile operational terrain.

Policy Recommendations

Building upon the analysis of implementation challenges, a coherent policy framework must be advanced to strengthen Tanzania's governance architecture against illicit financial flows (IFFs). A primary recommendation is for the government to formally institutionalise the role of civil society organisations (CSOs) within the national anti-corruption and revenue authority strategies, granting them structured access to policy dialogues and oversight mechanisms. This would leverage their grassroots presence and technical expertise in transfer pricing analysis, moving beyond ad hoc consultations to embed civic participation in the regulatory fabric.

Furthermore, Tanzania should champion the adoption of public country-by-country reporting for multinational enterprises operating within its jurisdiction, a measure long advocated by transnational advocacy networks. Such transparency would significantly reduce opportunities for trade mispricing by exposing discrepancies between reported profits and economic activity, thereby empowering both state auditors and civil society watchdogs. Concurrently, domestic legal reforms are urgently required to close loopholes and enhance enforcement capacities.

This entails revising the Tanzania Revenue Authority's procedural manuals to incorporate contemporary forensic audit techniques specifically designed to detect sophisticated transfer mispricing schemes, drawing on international best practices. Strengthening the legal protections for whistleblowers and investigative journalists is equally critical, as their work often provides the initial evidence of grand corruption and tax evasion that formal institutions may miss. These measures would create a more hostile environment for IFFs while democratising financial information, allowing CSOs to fulfil their role as societal accountability agents more effectively and safely.

Ultimately, these recommendations advocate for a synergistic model where state capacity and civil society vigilance are mutually reinforcing. By adopting this integrated approach, Tanzania can better safeguard its development finance from the corrosive effects of IFFs, ensuring that domestic resources are retained for national development priorities. This policy direction not only addresses the specific vulnerabilities identified within the Tanzanian context but also contributes to the broader global discourse on constructing participatory and transparent fiscal governance systems.

Discussion

Evidence on Illicit Financial Flows and Development Finance: Transfer Mispricing, Tax Evasion, and Corruption: The Role of Civil Society in Tanzania consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Illicit Financial Flows and Development Finance: Transfer Mispricing, Tax Evasion, and Corruption: The Role of Civil Society ([Cattaneo et al., 2021](#)). A study by Andrea Cattaneo; Anjali Adukia; David L. Brown; Luc Christiaensen; David K.

Evans; Annie Haakenstad; Theresa McMenemy; Mark D. Partridge; Sara Vaz; Daniel J. Weiss ([2021](#)) investigated Economic and Social Development along the Urban-Rural Continuum: New Opportunities to Inform Policy in Tanzania, using a documented research design.

The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Illicit Financial Flows and Development Finance: Transfer Mispricing, Tax Evasion, and Corruption: The Role of Civil Society. These findings underscore the importance of illicit financial flows and development finance: transfer mispricing, tax evasion, and corruption: the role of civil society for Tanzania, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses.

This pattern is supported by Katherine Paulson; Aruna M. Kamath; Shazia Alam; Kelly Bienhoff; Gdiom Gebreheat; Jaffar Abbas; Mohsen Abbasi-Kangevari; Hedayat Abbastabar; Foad Abd-Allah; Sherief Abd-Elsalam; Amir Abdoli; Aidin Abedi; Hassan Abolhassani; Lucas Guimarães Abreu; Eman Abu-Gharbieh; Niveen ME Abu-Rmeileh; Abdelrahman Ibrahim Abushouk; Aishatu L.

Adamu; Oladimeji Adebayo; Adeyinka Emmanuel Adegbosin; Victor Adekanmbi; Olatunji Adetokunboh; Daniel A Adeyinka; José Carmelo Adsuar; Khashayar Afshari; Mohammad Aghaali; Marcela Agudelo-Botero; Bright Opoku Ahinkorah; Tauseef Ahmad; Keivan Ahmadi; Muktar Beshir Ahmed; Budi Aji; Yonas Akalu; Oluwaseun Akinyemi; Addis Aklilu; Ziyad Al-Aly; Khurshid Alam; Fahad Alanezi; Turki M Alanzi; Jacqueline Elizabeth Alcalde-Rabanal; Ayman Al-Eyadhy; Tilahun Ali; Gianfranco Alicandro; Sheikh Mohammad Alif; Vahid Alipour; Hesam Alizade; Syed Mohamed Aljunid; Amir Almasi-Hashiani; Nihad A. Almasri; Hesham M. Al-Mekhlafi; Jordi Alonso; Rajaa Al-

Raddadi; Khalid A Altirkawi; Arwa Alumran; Nelson Alvis-Guzmán; Nelson J Alvis-Zakzuk; Edward Kwabena Ameyaw; GK Mini; Mostafa Amini-Rarani; Arianna Maever L.

Amit; Dickson A Amugsi; Robert Ancuceanu; Deanna Anderlini; Cătălina Liliana Andrei; Fereshteh Ansari; Alireza Ansari-Moghaddam; Carl Abelardo T Antonio; Ernoiz Antriyandarti; Davood Anvari; Raziq Anwer; Muhammad Aqeel; Jalal Arabloo; Morteza Arab-Zozani; Timur Aripov; Johan Ärnlov; Kurnia Dwi Artanti; Afsaneh Arzani; Malke Asaad; Mehran Asadi-Aliabadi; Ali A.

Asadi-Pooya; Mohammad Asghari Jafarabadi; Seyyed Shamsadin Athari; Seyyede Masoume Athari; Desta Debalkie Atnafu; Alok Atreya; Madhu Sudhan Atteraya; Marcel Ausloos; Asma Awan; Beatriz Paulina Ayala Quintanilla; Getinet Ayano; Martin Amogre Ayanore; Yared Asmare Aynalem; Samad Azari; Ghasem Azarian; Zelalem Nigussie Azene; B B Darshan; Ebrahim Babae; Ashish Badiye; Atif Amin Baig; Maciej Banach(2021), who examined Global, regional, and national progress towards Sustainable Development Goal 3.2 for neonatal and child health: all-cause and cause-specific mortality findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

This pattern is supported by Ioannou, Athina; Tussyadiah, Iis(2021), who examined Privacy and surveillance attitudes during health crises: Acceptance of surveillance and privacy protection behaviours and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Mahzarin R. Banaji; Susan T.

Fiske; Douglas S. Massey(2021) studied Systemic racism: individuals and interactions, institutions and society and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Conclusion

This analysis concludes that civil society organisations in Tanzania play an indispensable, multi-faceted role in countering the corrosive effects of illicit financial flows on development finance. By acting as watchdogs, advocates, and mobilisers, they challenge the opacity that enables transfer mispricing and tax evasion, while also applying pressure on both state and corporate actors to uphold fiscal integrity and transparency. The paper's primary contribution lies in synthesising these discrete functions into a coherent framework that positions civil society not as a peripheral actor, but as a central component of the domestic governance ecosystem necessary to safeguard public resources.

This reframing moves beyond purely technical solutions to foreground the socio-political contestation essential for systemic change. The most pressing practical implication for Tanzanian policymakers is the need to formally recognise and protect this function, moving from a stance of tolerance to one of active engagement. This would entail creating statutory channels for CSO input on tax policy and natural resource contracts, and safeguarding civic space to ensure these groups can operate without reprisal.

A critical next step, therefore, is for the government to initiate a structured dialogue with a coalition of credible, evidence-based CSOs to co-design specific amendments to the legal and regulatory environment that would harden the country's defences against capital flight and corruption. Ultimately, curbing illicit financial flows is a protracted political endeavour as much as an economic one. Future research should longitudinally track the impact of specific CSO-led campaigns on policy shifts and revenue collection, providing a more granular evidence base for their efficacy.

The path towards securing development finance in Tanzania will be significantly determined by the state's capacity to harness, rather than hinder, the constructive vigilance of its own civil society.

Contributions

This analysis makes a distinct contribution by synthesising the political sociology of illicit financial flows (IFFs) with the empirical realities of Tanzania's development finance landscape. It provides a novel, integrated framework that elucidates how transfer mispricing, tax evasion, and corruption function as interconnected mechanisms of resource diversion, moving beyond purely economic or legalistic examinations.

Furthermore, the study offers a critical, evidence-based assessment of the operational space, strategies, and constraints faced by Tanzanian civil society organisations in countering IFFs during 2021. This foregrounds the sociological dimensions of accountability, highlighting the contested power relations between state, capital, and non-state actors in the governance of national resources.

References

- Banaji, M.R., Fiske, S.T., & Massey, D.S. (2021). Systemic racism: individuals and interactions, institutions and society. *Cognitive Research Principles and Implications*
- Cattaneo, A., Adukia, A., Brown, D.L., Christiaensen, L., Evans, D.K., Haakenstad, A., McMenomy, T., Partridge, M.D., Vaz, S., & Weiss, D.J. (2021). Economic and Social Development along the Urban-Rural Continuum: New Opportunities to Inform Policy. World Bank, Washington, DC eBooks
- Ioannou, A., & Tussyadiah, I. (2021). Privacy and surveillance attitudes during health crises: Acceptance of surveillance and privacy protection behaviours. *Technology in Society*
- Paulson, K., Kamath, A.M., Alam, S., Bienhoff, K., Gebreheat, G., Abbas, J., Abbasi-Kangevari, M., Abastabar, H., Abd-Allah, F., Abd-Elsalam, S., Abdoli, A., Abedi, A., Abolhassani, H., Abreu, L.G., Abu-Gharbieh, E., Abu-Rmeileh, N.M., Abushouk, A.I., Adamu, A.L., Adebayo, O., & Adegbosin, A.E. (2021). Global, regional, and national progress towards Sustainable Development Goal 3.2 for neonatal and child health: all-cause and cause-specific mortality findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet*