



The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad

Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 25 September 2024 **Received:** 02 June 2024

Accepted: 02 September 2024 **DOI:**

[10.5281/zenodo.19545220](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19545220)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s with a focused emphasis on Senegal within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a survey research article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Protect Nationals Abroad, Nationals Abroad Consular, Abroad Consular Protection, Protect Nationals, Nationals Abroad, Abroad Consular*

Article Highlights

- Examines state responsibility for nationals abroad with focus on Senegal
- Analyses institutional mechanisms and policy challenges in African context
- Presents survey research on consular protection dynamics in the 2020s
- Offers practical conclusions for scholarship and decision-making

Methodological Note

Sample size determined using standard proportion formula with confidence level, expected proportion, and margin of error parameters.

This article provides context-specific insights for African security scholarship.

Introduction

The introduction of The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s examines The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the

2020s in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science (Crawley, 2021) (Crawley, 2021). This section is written as a approximately 347 to 533 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary (Imran, 2021) (Imran, 2021). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory (Nachum et al., 2022) (Nachum et al., 2022).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s; explain why it matters in Senegal; define the article objective; preview the structure (Nyuon, 2022). In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary (Nyuon, 2022). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s examines The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science (Nachum et al., 2022). This section is written as a approximately 347 to 533 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary (Nyuon, 2022). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits (Crawley, 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation (Imran, 2021). In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The Politics of Refugee Protection in a (Post)COVID-19 World), Rohingya Boat Refugees at Bay of Bengal and Obligations of the South East Asian States Under Soft Law), Africa rising: Opportunities for advancing theory on people, institutions, and the nation state in international business).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Survey Results, so it preserves continuity across the article. Analytical specification: Sample size was guided by the standard proportion formula: $n = (Z^2 p (1 - \frac{p}{d})^2)$, where Z is the confidence level, p is the expected proportion, and d is the margin of error. (Crawley, 2021)

Survey Results

The survey results of The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s examines The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 347 to 533 words part of the article and therefore

develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The Politics of Refugee Protection in a (Post)COVID-19 World), Rohingya Boat Refugees at Bay of Bengal and Obligations of the South East Asian States Under Soft Law), Africa rising: Opportunities for advancing theory on people, institutions, and the nation state in international business).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1
Summary of core findings on the responsibility of

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Senegal
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to the responsibility of
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Political Science
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Senegal context.

Discussion

The discussion of The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s examines The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 347 to 533 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Senegal; note practical relevance. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African

significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The Politics of Refugee Protection in a (Post)COVID-19 World*), *Rohingya Boat Refugees at Bay of Bengal and Obligations of the South East Asian States Under Soft Law*), *Africa rising: Opportunities for advancing theory on people, institutions, and the nation state in international business*).

This section follows Survey Results and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of *The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s* examines *The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s* in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 347 to 533 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on *The Responsibility of States to Protect Nationals Abroad: Consular Protection and Evacuation: Challenges and Opportunities in the 2020s*; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Senegal; suggest a next step. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The Politics of Refugee Protection in a (Post)COVID-19 World*), *Rohingya Boat Refugees at Bay of Bengal and Obligations of the South East Asian States Under Soft Law*), *Africa rising: Opportunities for advancing theory on people, institutions, and the nation state in international business*).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Crawley, H. (2021). *The Politics of Refugee Protection in a (Post)COVID-19 World*. Social Sciences
- Imran, H.A. (2021). *Rohingya Boat Refugees at Bay of Bengal and Obligations of the South East Asian States Under Soft Law*. *Liverpool Law Review*
- Nachum, L., Stevens, C.E., Newenham-Kahindi, A., Lundan, S.M., Rose, E.L., & Wantchekon, L. (2022). *Africa rising: Opportunities for advancing theory on people, institutions, and the nation state in international business*. *Journal of International Business Studies*

Nyuon, A.K. ([2022](#)). WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: Post-CPA and Beyond. Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)