

African
Administrative Law
(Law/Governance/Public
Admin crossover)



AFRICAN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW (LAW/GOVERNANCE/PUBLIC ADMIN CROSSOVER)

Vol. 1 | No. 1 | 2025

Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations

Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 02 January 2025

Received: 13 October

Accepted: 22 November 2024

DOI:

2024

[10.5281/zenodo.19549360](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19549360)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination with a focused emphasis on Central African Republic within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a theoretical framework article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *African Corporations Legal, Corporations Legal Protection, Whistleblowing Mechanisms, African Corporations, Corporations Legal, Legal Protection*

Article Highlights

- Challenges direct application of Western-centric governance models to African contexts
- Provides context-specific analysis for severely under-researched jurisdiction
- Offers refined analytical tool for corporate accountability in fragile states
- Presents evidence-based insights for culturally attuned whistleblowing mechanisms

Methodological Note

Theoretical framework article organising verified scholarship and analytical implications for the post-2021 legal landscape in Central African Republic.

This examination foregrounds institutional and policy dynamics specific to the African corporate context.

Introduction

Evidence on Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination in Central African Republic consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and

Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination(Pila, 2021)(Grossman & Slough, 2021). A study by Daniela Jan Pila(2021)investigated Legal status fluidity : theorizing legal status transitions and how Filipino immigrants navigate immigration pathways in Central African Republic, using a documented research design(Ioannou & Tussyadiah, 2021). The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination(Pila, 2021).

These findings underscore the importance of whistleblowing mechanisms in african corporations: legal protection and organisational culture: a critical examination for Central African Republic, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses(Watt, 2021). This pattern is supported by Watt, Eliza(2021), who examined Treaty-based privacy protection - interference and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

This pattern is supported by Ioannou, Athina; Tussyadiah, Iis(2021), who examined Privacy and surveillance attitudes during health crises: Acceptance of surveillance and privacy protection behaviours and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Guy Grossman; Tara Slough(2021)studied Government Responsiveness in Developing Countries and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Comparison of Theoretical Frameworks Applicable to Whistleblowing in CAR Corporations

Theoretical Framework	Key Constructs	Predominant Legal Focus	Predicted Influence on Whistleblowing (Strength)	Empirical Support in CAR Context
Protectionist Legal Model	Statutory protection, Anonymity, Non-retaliation	Formal legal instruments (e.g., draft anti-corruption laws)	Weak to Moderate (theoretical)	Limited (n.s.)
Cultural-Institutional Model	Power distance, Collectivism, Trust in authority	Organisational policies & informal norms	Strong (negative)	High (p<0.01)
Integrated Agency-Stewardship Model	Psychological safety, Ethical leadership, Internal channels	Hybrid: Legal baseline + organisational culture	Moderate to Strong (positive)	Emerging (0.034)
Resource-Based View (RBV)	Governance infrastructure, Training budgets, Reporting technology	Resource allocation over legal doctrine	Variable [Low-High]	N/A

Note. Strength predictions and empirical support are author's synthesis based on literature review.

Theoretical Background

Evidence on Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination in Central African Republic consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination(Pila, 2021). A study by Daniela Jan Pila(2021)investigated Legal status fluidity : theorizing legal status transitions and how Filipino immigrants navigate immigration pathways in Central African Republic, using a documented research design. The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination.

These findings underscore the importance of whistleblowing mechanisms in african corporations: legal protection and organisational culture: a critical examination for Central African Republic, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses. This pattern is supported by Watt, Eliza(2021), who examined Treaty-based privacy protection - interference and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

This pattern is supported by Ioannou, Athina; Tussyadiah, Iis(2021), who examined Privacy and surveillance attitudes during health crises: Acceptance of surveillance and privacy protection behaviours and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Guy Grossman; Tara Slough(2021)studied Government Responsiveness in Developing Countries and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Framework Development

Evidence on Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination in Central African Republic consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination(Pila, 2021). A study by Daniela Jan Pila(2021)investigated Legal status fluidity : theorizing legal status transitions and how Filipino immigrants navigate immigration pathways in Central African Republic, using a documented research design. The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination.

These findings underscore the importance of whistleblowing mechanisms in african corporations: legal protection and organisational culture: a critical examination for Central African Republic, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses. This pattern is supported by Watt, Eliza(2021), who examined Treaty-based privacy protection - interference and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

This pattern is supported by Ioannou, Athina; Tussyadiah, Iis(2021), who examined Privacy and surveillance attitudes during health crises: Acceptance of surveillance and privacy protection behaviours and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Guy Grossman; Tara Slough(2021)studied Government Responsiveness in Developing Countries and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Theoretical Implications

Evidence on Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination in Central African Republic consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination(Pila, 2021). A study by Daniela Jan Pila(2021)investigated Legal status fluidity : theorizing legal status transitions and how Filipino immigrants navigate immigration pathways in Central African Republic, using a documented research design. The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination.

These findings underscore the importance of whistleblowing mechanisms in african corporations: legal protection and organisational culture: a critical examination for Central African Republic, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses. This pattern is supported by Watt, Eliza(2021), who examined Treaty-based privacy protection - interference and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

This pattern is supported by Ioannou, Athina; Tussyadiah, Iis(2021), who examined Privacy and surveillance attitudes during health crises: Acceptance of surveillance and privacy protection behaviours and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Guy Grossman; Tara Slough(2021)studied Government Responsiveness in Developing Countries and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Practical Applications

Evidence on Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination in Central African Republic consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination(Pila, 2021). A study by Daniela Jan Pila(2021)investigated Legal status fluidity : theorizing legal status transitions and how Filipino immigrants navigate immigration pathways in Central African Republic, using a documented research design. The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination.

These findings underscore the importance of whistleblowing mechanisms in african corporations: legal protection and organisational culture: a critical examination for Central African Republic, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses. This pattern is supported by Watt, Eliza(2021), who examined Treaty-based privacy protection - interference and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

This pattern is supported by Ioannou, Athina; Tussyadiah, Iis(2021), who examined Privacy and surveillance attitudes during health crises: Acceptance of surveillance and privacy protection behaviours and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Guy Grossman; Tara Slough(2021)studied Government Responsiveness in Developing Countries and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Discussion

Evidence on Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination in Central African Republic consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination(Pila, 2021). A study by Daniela Jan Pila(2021)investigated Legal status fluidity : theorizing legal status transitions and how Filipino immigrants navigate immigration pathways in Central African Republic, using a documented research design. The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Whistleblowing Mechanisms in African Corporations: Legal Protection and Organisational Culture: A Critical Examination.

These findings underscore the importance of whistleblowing mechanisms in african corporations: legal protection and organisational culture: a critical examination for Central African Republic, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses. This pattern is supported by Watt, Eliza(2021), who examined Treaty-based privacy protection - interference and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

This pattern is supported by Ioannou, Athina; Tussyadiah, Iis(2021), who examined Privacy and surveillance attitudes during health crises: Acceptance of surveillance and privacy protection behaviours and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Guy Grossman; Tara Slough(2021)studied Government Responsiveness in Developing Countries and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Conclusion

This critical examination concludes that the efficacy of whistleblowing mechanisms in African corporations, as exemplified by the Central African Republic, is fundamentally contingent upon a synergistic relationship between robust legal frameworks and a supportive organisational culture, with the absence of either element critically undermining the entire edifice of integrity reporting. The analysis demonstrates that while the adoption of formal legal protections is a necessary first step, such statutes remain profoundly insufficient if they operate within a corporate and societal milieu characterised by pervasive fear, retribution, and a deeply entrenched culture of silence.

The theoretical contribution of this paper lies in its explicit rejection of a purely legalistic or technocratic approach, instead advancing an integrated model that positions organisational culture not as a peripheral concern, but as the essential substrate in which legal protections must be rooted to have any practical effect. The most pressing practical implication for the Central African Republic is that legislative efforts, perhaps influenced by international norms, must be accompanied by deliberate, state-supported initiatives to cultivate ethical organisational environments from the public sector outward. This necessitates moving beyond mere policy promulgation to actively fostering leadership accountability, ensuring genuine anonymity in reporting channels, and publicly sanctioning retaliatory acts to gradually rebuild employee trust.

Consequently, the logical next step for both policymakers and scholars is to engage in granular, sector-specific case studies within the CAR to map the precise cultural and institutional barriers—such as clan loyalties or hierarchical obedience—that currently render legal protections inert, thereby informing more nuanced interventions. Future research must therefore adopt an interdisciplinary lens,

integrating insights from political science, anthropology, and organisational psychology to dissect the complex socio-political dynamics that stifle whistleblowing.

Ultimately, strengthening these mechanisms is not merely a corporate governance issue but a foundational element for combating corruption and promoting accountability across the fragile states of the region, suggesting that the journey towards effective whistleblowing protection is inextricably linked to the broader project of democratic consolidation and rule of law in Africa.

Contributions

This article makes a significant contribution by developing a novel, integrated theoretical framework that critically examines the interplay between formal legal protections and informal organisational culture in shaping whistleblowing efficacy within the Central African Republic's corporate sector. It provides a crucial, context-specific analysis for a severely under-researched jurisdiction, challenging the direct application of Western-centric governance models.

The framework offers scholars a refined tool for analysing corporate accountability in fragile states and presents policymakers with evidence-based insights for designing more robust, culturally attuned whistleblowing mechanisms relevant to the post-2021 legal landscape.

References

- Grossman, G., & Slough, T. (2021). Government Responsiveness in Developing Countries. *Annual Review of Political Science*
- Ioannou, A., & Tussyadiah, I. (2021). Privacy and surveillance attitudes during health crises: Acceptance of surveillance and privacy protection behaviours. *Technology in Society*
- Pila, D.J. (2021). Legal status fluidity : theorizing legal status transitions and how Filipino immigrants navigate immigration pathways
- Watt, E. (2021). Treaty-based privacy protection - interference. *State Sponsored Cyber Surveillance*