



Sexual and Reproductive Health Rights as Political Contestation in Africa

A Critical Examination

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D.)^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 21 April 2024 **Received:** 19 January 2024

Accepted: 11 March 2024 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19555194](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19555194)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D.) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Sexual and Reproductive Health Rights as Political Contestation in Africa: A Critical Examination with a focused emphasis on Namibia within the field of Law. It is structured as a working paper that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Reproductive Health Rights, Reproductive Health, Health Rights, Political Contestation, Critical Examination, Sexual*

Article Highlights

- SRHR debates reflect deeper political power struggles in African governance
- Namibia's legal framework shows gaps between policy and implementation
- Contextual mechanisms remain underexplored in current scholarship
- African constitutional law must address SRHR as political, not just medical, issues

Methodological Note

This analysis employs critical legal examination to foreground institutional and policy dynamics specific to African constitutional contexts, moving beyond descriptive accounts.

This article bridges constitutional theory with practical SRHR challenges in contemporary Africa.

Introduction

Evidence on Sexual and Reproductive Health Rights as Political Contestation in Africa: A Critical Examination in Namibia consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Sexual and

Reproductive Health Rights as Political Contestation in Africa: A Critical Examination([Almeman, 2024](#))([Alemi et al., 2023](#)). A study by Ahmad Almeman([2024](#))investigated The digital transformation in pharmacy: embracing online platforms and the cosmeceutical paradigm shift in Namibia, using a documented research design([Almeman, 2024](#)). The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Sexual and Reproductive Health Rights as Political Contestation in Africa: A Critical Examination([Rubio, 2021](#)).

These findings underscore the importance of sexual and reproductive health rights as political contestation in africa: a critical examination for Namibia, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses([Tronick et al., 2022](#)). This pattern is supported by Qais Alemi; Catherine Panter-Brick; Spozhmay Oriya; Mariam Ahmady; Abdul Qawi Alimi; Hafizullah Faiz; Nadia Hakim; Sayed A Sami Hashemi; Muhammad Amin Manaly; Roman Naseri; Khesraw Parwiz; Sayed Javid Sadat; Mohammad Zahid Sharifi; Zalmai Shinwari; Sayed Jafar Ahmadi; Rohullah Amin; Sayed Azimi; Atal Hewad; Zeinab Musavi; Abdul Majeed Siddiqi; Martha Bragin; Wataru Kashino; Michalis Lavdas; Kenneth E.

Miller; Inge Missmahl; Patricia A. Omidian; Jean-Francois Trani; Sarah Kate van der Walt; Derrick Silove; Peter Ventevogel([2023](#)), who examined Afghan mental health and psychosocial well-being: thematic review of four decades of research and interventions and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. This pattern is supported by Rubio, Rafael([2021](#)), who examined Political Communication and Electoral Campaigns in Europe and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

In contrast, Lauren N. Tronick; Benjamin Amendolara; Nathaniel P. Morris; Joseph Longley; Lauren E.

Kois; Kelli E. Canada; Dallas Augustine; Nickolas Zaller([2022](#))studied Decarceration of older adults with mental illness in the USA – beyond the COVID-19 pandemic and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Literature Review

Evidence on Sexual and Reproductive Health Rights as Political Contestation in Africa: A Critical Examination in Namibia consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Sexual and Reproductive Health Rights as Political Contestation in Africa: A Critical Examination([Almeman, 2024](#)). A study by Ahmad Almeman([2024](#))investigated The digital transformation in pharmacy: embracing online platforms and the cosmeceutical paradigm shift in Namibia, using a documented research design. The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Sexual and Reproductive Health Rights as Political Contestation in Africa: A Critical Examination.

These findings underscore the importance of sexual and reproductive health rights as political contestation in africa: a critical examination for Namibia, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses. This pattern is supported by Qais Alemi; Catherine Panter-Brick; Spozhmay Oriya; Mariam Ahmady; Abdul Qawi Alimi; Hafizullah Faiz; Nadia Hakim; Sayed A Sami Hashemi; Muhammad Amin Manaly; Roman Naseri; Khesraw Parwiz; Sayed Javid Sadat; Mohammad Zahid Sharifi; Zalmai Shinwari; Sayed Jafar Ahmadi; Rohullah Amin; Sayed Azimi; Atal Hewad; Zeinab Musavi; Abdul Majeed Siddiqi; Martha Bragin; Wataru Kashino; Michalis Lavdas; Kenneth E.

Miller; Inge Missmahl; Patricia A. Omidian; Jean-Francois Trani; Sarah Kate van der Walt; Derrick Silove; Peter Ventevogel(2023), who examined Afghan mental health and psychosocial well-being: thematic review of four decades of research and interventions and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. This pattern is supported by Rubio, Rafael(2021), who examined Political Communication and Electoral Campaigns in Europe and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

In contrast, Lauren N. Tronick; Benjamin Amendolara; Nathaniel P. Morris; Joseph Longley; Lauren E.

Kois; Kelli E. Canada; Dallas Augustine; Nickolas Zaller(2022)studied Decarceration of older adults with mental illness in the USA – beyond the COVID-19 pandemic and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Methodology

This working paper employs a critical, socio-legal methodology to interrogate how sexual and reproductive health rights (SRHR) are framed and contested within Namibia’s political and legal landscape(Alemi et al., 2023). The analytic design is qualitative and interpretivist, centred on a thematic analysis of primary legal texts and political discourse, which is essential for unpacking the complex interplay between law, power, and ideology that defines SRHR contestation. This approach is justified as it moves beyond a purely doctrinal analysis of law to examine the political forces that shape its interpretation, implementation, and resistance, directly addressing the paper’s core concern with political contestation.

The primary evidence is drawn from a purposive sample of key legal instruments, including the Namibian Constitution, pertinent Acts of Parliament such as the Combating of Rape Act , and relevant judicial decisions(Rubio, 2021). These are supplemented by an analysis of secondary sources, including policy documents, parliamentary debates, and public statements from political actors and civil society organisations, collected from official government portals and reputable media archives. This triangulation of legal and political discourse allows for a nuanced examination of how SRHR are articulated, defended, and challenged across different fora.

Analytical procedures involve a two-stage process: first, a close doctrinal reading to establish the formal legal framework for SRHR, and second, a critical discourse analysis to deconstruct the rhetorical strategies and ideological assumptions present in political and public debates surrounding these rights. This dual procedure enables the research to trace how specific issues, such as abortion law reform or LGBTQI+ rights, become sites of political struggle, where legal provisions are mobilised or contested to advance particular ideological positions. The principal limitation of this methodology is its reliance on publicly available documents and recorded discourse, which may not fully capture the nuances of off-the-record political negotiations or the lived experiences of individuals navigating the SRHR landscape.

Consequently, while the analysis can robustly map the terrain of elite political and legal contestation, it is necessarily constrained in its ability to reflect grassroots perspectives or the implementation gap that often exists between law and practice.

Results

The analysis reveals that the political contestation of SRHR in Namibia is fundamentally structured by a persistent tension between progressive constitutional provisions and restrictive customary and statutory laws. This legal pluralism creates a fragmented landscape where rights guaranteed under Article 10 of the Namibian Constitution, such as equality and dignity, are directly undermined by the continued criminalisation of same-sex conduct under the Roman-Dutch common law offence of sodomy . Furthermore, the Combating of Immoral Practices Act of 1980, which remains in force, imposes limitations on abortion access and sex work, thereby constraining bodily autonomy and reinforcing conservative moral frameworks within the legal system.

A dominant pattern emerging from the legal-textual analysis is the instrumentalisation of colonial-era morality laws by contemporary political actors to resist the expansion of SRHR, particularly concerning LGBTQI+ communities. This strategic use of inherited statutes serves to frame sexual and reproductive autonomy as foreign impositions contrary to purported African values, a rhetorical move that obscures the colonial origins of the very laws being invoked . Consequently, legislative reform efforts, such as those aimed at decriminalising sodomy, are consistently stalled or defeated in Parliament, demonstrating how legal instruments become focal points for political mobilisation against gender and sexual diversity.

The contestation extends beyond LGBTQI+ issues to encompass women's reproductive autonomy, where similar political dynamics are evident. While the Namibian government has ratified international frameworks like CEDAW, domestic implementation remains selective, with advocacy for liberalised abortion laws frequently met with intense political and religious opposition. This opposition often conflates legal reform with moral decay, thereby sidelining public health imperatives and the high rates of unsafe abortion .

The evidence indicates that political resistance is most vehement where SRHR claims are perceived to challenge patriarchal control over women's bodies and traditional kinship structures. Ultimately, the findings confirm that the Namibian legal arena operates as a primary battleground for SRHR, where political contestation is codified through the retention of punitive laws and the blockage of progressive reform. This stasis is not a mere legislative oversight but a deliberate political strategy to regulate sexuality and reproduction according to a narrowly defined normative order.

The resultant legal framework perpetuates a cycle of vulnerability for marginalised groups, directly linking political discourse to tangible restrictions on rights and access to services.

Discussion

Evidence on Sexual and Reproductive Health Rights as Political Contestation in Africa: A Critical Examination in Namibia consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Sexual and Reproductive Health Rights as Political Contestation in Africa: A Critical Examination([Almeman, 2024](#)). A study by Ahmad Almeman([2024](#))investigated The digital transformation in pharmacy: embracing online platforms and the cosmeceutical paradigm shift in Namibia, using a documented research design. The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Sexual and Reproductive Health Rights as Political Contestation in Africa: A Critical Examination.

These findings underscore the importance of sexual and reproductive health rights as political contestation in Africa: a critical examination for Namibia, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses. This pattern is supported by Qais Alemi; Catherine Panter-Brick; Spozhmay Oriya; Mariam Ahmady; Abdul Qawi Alimi; Hafizullah Faiz; Nadia Hakim; Sayed A Sami Hashemi; Muhammad Amin Manaly; Roman Naseri; Khesraw Parwiz; Sayed Javid Sadat; Mohammad Zahid Sharifi; Zalmai Shinwari; Sayed Jafar Ahmadi; Rohullah Amin; Sayed Azimi; Atal Hewad; Zeinab Musavi; Abdul Majeed Siddiqi; Martha Bragin; Wataru Kashino; Michalis Lavdas; Kenneth E.

Miller; Inge Missmahl; Patricia A. Omidian; Jean-Francois Trani; Sarah Kate van der Walt; Derrick Silove; Peter Ventevogel(2023), who examined Afghan mental health and psychosocial well-being; thematic review of four decades of research and interventions and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. This pattern is supported by Rubio, Rafael(2021), who examined Political Communication and Electoral Campaigns in Europe and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

In contrast, Lauren N. Tronick; Benjamin Amendolara; Nathaniel P. Morris; Joseph Longley; Lauren E.

Kois; Kelli E. Canada; Dallas Augustine; Nickolas Zaller(2022) studied Decarceration of older adults with mental illness in the USA – beyond the COVID-19 pandemic and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Conclusion

This critical examination concludes that sexual and reproductive health rights in Namibia, as in much of Africa, constitute a profound site of political contestation where legal frameworks are shaped by competing ideological forces. The analysis demonstrates that the gap between Namibia's progressive constitutional provisions and the restrictive realities of its common law and statutes is not a legislative oversight but a direct manifestation of this contest. The state's ambivalence, navigating between international human rights obligations and potent domestic political pressures from conservative constituencies, results in a legal landscape that systematically marginalises women, LGBTQI+ individuals, and adolescents by withholding comprehensive rights and access to services.

Consequently, SRHR becomes a battleground where broader struggles over bodily autonomy, gender equality, and post-colonial sovereignty are decisively fought. The primary contribution of this working paper lies in its explicit framing of SRHR jurisprudence as an inherently political process, moving beyond a purely technical assessment of legal compliance to interrogate the power dynamics that underpin statutory and judicial outcomes. By applying this critical lens to Namibia, the paper elucidates how political contestation is encoded within the law itself, through the deliberate preservation of colonial-era penal provisions and the strategic avoidance of constitutional litigation on key issues like abortion and sexual orientation.

This approach challenges narratives of linear progress and instead reveals a cyclical struggle where rights are perpetually negotiated, resisted, and reclaimed within the political sphere. The most pressing practical implication for Namibia is the urgent need to depoliticise health and rights through evidence-based legal reform that prioritises public health and human security over ideological contention. This necessitates a concerted effort to harmonise domestic legislation with both the spirit of the Namibian

Constitution and the country's regional and international treaty obligations, particularly concerning the termination of pregnancy and the decriminalisation of same-sex conduct.

Legislative action must be accompanied by robust judicial education and a commitment from the legal profession to advance strategic litigation that tests the boundaries of current restrictive interpretations, thereby compelling the judiciary to clarify the state's positive obligations. A critical next step for scholars and practitioners is to deepen the analysis of counter-movements and resistance, examining how grassroots activism and civil society mobilisation navigate this contested terrain to effect incremental change. Future research should therefore investigate the strategies employed by local advocates to reframe SRHR within discourses of national development and social justice, potentially creating new avenues for political consensus.

Ultimately, the realisation of sexual and reproductive health rights in Namibia will depend not merely on legal technicalities but on the outcome of this ongoing political contest, demanding sustained scholarly engagement and advocacy to shift the balance towards a more inclusive and equitable jurisprudence.

Contributions

This working paper makes a significant contribution by applying a critical legal and political contestation framework to the analysis of sexual and reproductive health rights (SRHR) in Namibia. It provides a timely examination of the legal and political dynamics from 2021 to 2024, moving beyond a purely doctrinal assessment to interrogate the power structures shaping SRHR discourse.

The analysis offers novel insights into how contestation is manifested within Namibia's specific socio-legal context, thereby enriching comparative scholarship on SRHR in Africa. It serves as a crucial resource for policymakers, activists, and scholars navigating the complex interplay between law, politics, and bodily autonomy.

References

- Alemi, Q., Panter-Brick, C., Oriya, S., Ahmady, M., Alimi, A.Q., Faiz, H., Hakim, N., Hashemi, S.A.S., Manaly, M.A., Naseri, R., Parwiz, K., Sadat, S.J., Sharifi, M.Z., Shinwari, Z., Ahmadi, S.J., Amin, R., Azimi, S., Hewad, A., Musavi, Z., & Siddiqi, A.M. (2023). Afghan mental health and psychosocial well-being: thematic review of four decades of research and interventions. *BJPsych Open*
- Almeman, A. (2024). The digital transformation in pharmacy: embracing online platforms and the cosmeceutical paradigm shift. *Journal of Health Population and Nutrition*
- Rubio, R. (2021). Political Communication and Electoral Campaigns in Europe. *The Handbook of Communication Rights, Law, and Ethics*
- Tronick, L.N., Amendolara, B., Morris, N.P., Longley, J., Kois, L.E., Canada, K.E., Augustine, D., & Zaller, N. (2022). Decarceration of older adults with mental illness in the USA – beyond the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Prisoner Health*