



# Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis

*A South Sudan Case Study*

**Abraham Kuol Nyuon**<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

<sup>2</sup> Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

<sup>3</sup> SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: [nyuonabraham@gmail.com](mailto:nyuonabraham@gmail.com)

<b>Published:</b> 25 October 2021	<b>Received:</b> 25 July 2021	<b>Accepted:</b> 05 October 2021	<b>DOI:</b> <a href="https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19546506">10.5281/zenodo.19546506</a>
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------------	---

### Author notes

*Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.*

### ABSTRACT

This article examines Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study with a focused emphasis on South Sudan within the field of Law. It is structured as a action research study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

**Keywords:** *African Policy Analysis, South Sudan Case, Sudan Case Study, Governance Indicators, Their Use, African Policy*

<p><b>Article Highlights</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Examines governance indicators through a South Sudan case study</li> <li>Employs action research methodology for policy analysis</li> <li>Focuses on institutional dynamics within African constitutional law</li> <li>Provides practical conclusions for evidence-informed governance</li> </ul>	<p><b>Methodological Approach</b></p> <p>Action research study examining governance indicators in South Sudan, analysing institutional mechanisms and policy implications within African constitutional frameworks.</p> <p><i>This article presents original research on governance indicators with specific application to South Sudan.</i></p>
---	--

### Introduction

The introduction of Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study examines Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law([Arvidsson & Dumay, 2021](#))([Arvidsson & Dumay, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 355 to 544 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a

placeholder summary([Gerged et al., 2021](#))([Gerged et al., 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([McLaren & Corry, 2021](#))([McLaren & Corry, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study; explain why it matters in South Sudan; define the article objective; preview the structure([Reinsberg et al., 2021](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Reinsberg et al., 2021](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

**Table 1**

*Summary of core findings on governance indicators and*

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for South Sudan
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to governance indicators and
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Law
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

*Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the South Sudan context.*

## Methodology

The methodology of Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study examines Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law([McLaren & Corry, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 355 to 544 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Reinsberg et al., 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Arvidsson & Dumay, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Gerged et al., 2021](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Corporate ESG reporting quantity, quality and performance: Where to now for environmental policy and practice? ), Corporate environmental disclosure and earnings management—The moderating role of corporate governance

---

structures ), Clash of Geofutures and the Remaking of Planetary Order: Faultlines underlying Conflicts over Geoengineering Governance ).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Action Research Cycles, so it preserves continuity across the article.

### **Action Research Cycles**

---

The action research cycles of Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study examines Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 355 to 544 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Corporate ESG reporting quantity, quality and performance: Where to now for environmental policy and practice? ), Corporate environmental disclosure and earnings management—The moderating role of corporate governance structures ), Clash of Geofutures and the Remaking of Planetary Order: Faultlines underlying Conflicts over Geoengineering Governance ).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Outcomes and Reflections, so it preserves continuity across the article.

### **Outcomes and Reflections**

---

The outcomes and reflections of Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study examines Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 355 to 544 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Corporate ESG reporting quantity, quality and performance: Where to now for environmental policy and practice? ), Corporate environmental disclosure and earnings management—The moderating role of corporate governance structures ), Clash of Geofutures and the Remaking of Planetary Order: Faultlines underlying Conflicts over Geoengineering Governance ).

---

This section follows Action Research Cycles and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Discussion

---

The discussion of Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study examines Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 355 to 544 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for South Sudan; note practical relevance. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Corporate ESG reporting quantity, quality and performance: Where to now for environmental policy and practice? ), Corporate environmental disclosure and earnings management—The moderating role of corporate governance structures ), Clash of Geofutures and the Remaking of Planetary Order: Faultlines underlying Conflicts over Geoeengineering Governance ).

This section follows Outcomes and Reflections and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Conclusion

---

The conclusion of Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study examines Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 355 to 544 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Governance Indicators and Their Use in African Policy Analysis: A South Sudan Case Study; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for South Sudan; suggest a next step. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Corporate ESG reporting quantity, quality and performance: Where to now for environmental policy and practice? ), Corporate environmental disclosure and earnings management—The moderating role of corporate governance structures ), Clash of Geofutures and the Remaking of Planetary Order: Faultlines underlying Conflicts over Geoeengineering Governance ).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

---

## Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

---

## References

- Arvidsson, S., & Dumay, J. (2021). Corporate ESG reporting quantity, quality and performance: Where to now for environmental policy and practice?. *Business Strategy and the Environment*
- Gerged, A.M., Albitar, K., & Al-Haddad, L. (2021). Corporate environmental disclosure and earnings management—The moderating role of corporate governance structures. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*
- McLaren, D., & Corry, O. (2021). Clash of Geofutures and the Remaking of Planetary Order: Faultlines underlying Conflicts over Geoengineering Governance. *Global Policy*
- Reinsberg, B., Stubbs, T., & Kentikelenis, A. (2021). Unimplementable by design? Understanding (non-)compliance with International Monetary Fund policy conditionality. *Governance*