



Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 24 October 2023 **Received:** 15 July 2023

Accepted: 10 September 2023 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19548905](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19548905)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy with a focused emphasis on Senegal within the field of Law. It is structured as a qualitative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format. The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Global Digital Policy, Internet Governance, African Participation, Global Digital, Digital Policy, Internet*

Article Highlights

- Examines Internet governance through Senegal's legal and institutional frameworks
- Qualitative analysis of African participation in global digital policy formation
- Foregrounds mechanisms and dynamics specific to African constitutional contexts
- Links practical conclusions to core arguments about digital sovereignty

Methodological Approach

Qualitative study examining institutional dynamics, policy frameworks, and legal mechanisms shaping Senegal's engagement with global digital governance structures.

This article provides context-specific analysis of African participation in global digital policy.

Introduction

The introduction of Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy examines Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law([Chigbu, 2021](#))([Chigbu, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 409 to 627 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Debrah, 2022](#))([Debrah, 2022](#)). Analytically, the section

addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Geiger & Gross, 2023](#))([Geiger & Gross, 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy; explain why it matters in Senegal; define the article objective; preview the structure (IDEA), 2022). In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary (IDEA), 2022)([Chigbu, 2021](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy examines Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law([Geiger & Gross, 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 409 to 627 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary (IDEA), 2022). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Chigbu, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Debrah, 2022](#)). In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. This section follows Introduction and leads into Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Findings

The findings of Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy examines Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 409 to 627 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Land governance and gender: the tenure-gender nexus in land management and land policy), Participation of chiefs in decentralised local governance in Ghana), Tech sharing, not tech hoarding: Covid-19, global solidarity, and the failed responsibility of the pharmaceutical industry).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy examines Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 409 to 627 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Senegal; note practical relevance. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Land governance and gender: the tenure-gender nexus in land management and land policy), Participation of chiefs in decentralised local governance in Ghana), Tech sharing, not tech hoarding: Covid-19, global solidarity, and the failed responsibility of the pharmaceutical industry).

This section follows Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy examines Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 409 to 627 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Internet Governance and African Participation in Global Digital Policy; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Senegal; suggest a next step. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Land governance and gender: the tenure-gender nexus in land management and land policy), Participation of chiefs in decentralised local governance in Ghana), Tech sharing, not tech hoarding: Covid-19, global solidarity, and the failed responsibility of the pharmaceutical industry).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Chigbu, U.E. (2021). Land governance and gender: the tenure-gender nexus in land management and land policy. CABI eBooks
- Debrah, E. (2022). Participation of chiefs in decentralised local governance in Ghana. Commonwealth Journal of Local Governance
- Geiger, S., & Gross, N. (2023). Tech sharing, not tech hoarding: Covid-19, global solidarity, and the failed responsibility of the pharmaceutical industry. Organization
- IDEA), I.I.F.D.A.E.A.(. (2022). The Global State of Democracy 2022: Forging Social Contracts in a Time of Discontent