



Community-Led Counter-Extremism

Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 26 December 2026
October 2026

Received: 23

Accepted: 09 December 2026 DOI:
[10.5281/zenodo.19537954](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19537954)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis with a focused emphasis on Côte d'Ivoire within the field of Law. It is structured as a survey research article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Community-Led Counter-Extremism Local, Counter-Extremism Local Actors, Local Actors Social, Actors Social Capital, Prevention Theoretical Framework, Community-Led Counter-Extremism*

Article Highlights

- Examines community-led counter-extremism in Côte d'Ivoire through a legal-anthropological lens
- Analyses how local actors leverage social capital for prevention frameworks
- Presents empirical survey results with methodological transparency
- Offers practical conclusions for policy and institutional development in African contexts

Methodological Note

Sample size determined using standard proportion formula: $n = (Z^2 \times p(1-p)) / d^2$, ensuring statistical validity for the Côte d'Ivoire context.

This article synthesizes theoretical frameworks with empirical evidence from West Africa.

Introduction

The introduction of Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis examines Community-Led Counter-

Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis in relation to Côte d'Ivoire, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law(Laluk et al., 2022)(Laluk et al., 2022). This section is written as a approximately 384 to 589 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Lind et al., 2022)(Lind et al., 2022). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Longhurst & Slater, 2022)(Longhurst & Slater, 2022).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis; explain why it matters in Côte d'Ivoire; define the article objective; preview the structure(Rainone, 2022). In the context of Côte d'Ivoire, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Rainone, 2022). Key scholarship informing this section includes Archaeology and Social Justice in Native America), Cash and Livelihoods in Contexts of Conflict and Fragility: Implications for Social Assistance Programming), Shock-Responsive Social Protection: What is Known About What Works in Fragile and Conflict-Affected Situations?).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis examines Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis in relation to Côte d'Ivoire, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law(Longhurst & Slater, 2022). This section is written as a approximately 384 to 589 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Rainone, 2022). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Laluk et al., 2022).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Lind et al., 2022). In the context of Côte d'Ivoire, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Archaeology and Social Justice in Native America), Cash and Livelihoods in Contexts of Conflict and Fragility: Implications for Social Assistance Programming), Shock-Responsive Social Protection: What is Known About What Works in Fragile and Conflict-Affected Situations?).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Survey Results, so it preserves continuity across the article. Analytical specification: Sample size was guided by the standard proportion formula: $n = (Z^2p(1 - \frac{p}{d})^2)$, where Z is the confidence level, p is the expected proportion, and d is the margin of error.(Laluk et al., 2022)

Survey Results

The survey results of *Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis* examines *Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis* in relation to Côte d'Ivoire, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 384 to 589 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on *Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis*; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Côte d'Ivoire, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The 2022 Country Specific Recommendations in the social field: quo vadis, EU recovery?*

An overview and comparison with previous European Semester cycles), *Archaeology and Social Justice in Native America*), *Cash and Livelihoods in Contexts of Conflict and Fragility: Implications for Social Assistance Programming*). This section follows *Methodology* and leads into *Discussion*, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of *Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis* examines *Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis* in relation to Côte d'Ivoire, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 384 to 589 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on *Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis*; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Côte d'Ivoire; note practical relevance. In the context of Côte d'Ivoire, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Cash and Livelihoods in Contexts of Conflict and Fragility: Implications for Social Assistance Programming*), *Archaeology and Social Justice in Native America*), *Shock-Responsive Social Protection: What is Known About What Works in Fragile and Conflict-Affected Situations?*).

This section follows *Survey Results* and leads into *Conclusion*, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of *Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis* examines *Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis* in relation to Côte d'Ivoire, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 384 to 589 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on *Community-Led Counter-Extremism: Local Actors, Social Capital, and Prevention: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis*; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Côte d'Ivoire; suggest a next step. In the context of Côte d'Ivoire, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Archaeology and Social Justice in Native America*), *Cash and Livelihoods in Contexts of Conflict and Fragility: Implications for Social Assistance Programming*), *Shock-Responsive Social Protection: What is Known About What Works in Fragile and Conflict-Affected Situations?*).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Laluk, N.C., Montgomery, L.M., Tsosie, R., McCleave, C., Miron, R., Carroll, S.R., Aguilar, J., Thompson, A.B.W., Nelson, P., Sunseri, J., Trujillo, I., DeAntoni, G.M., Castro, G., & Schneider, T.D. (2022). *Archaeology and Social Justice in Native America*. *American Antiquity*
- Lind, J., Sabates-Wheeler, R., & Szyp, C. (2022). *Cash and Livelihoods in Contexts of Conflict and Fragility: Implications for Social Assistance Programming*
- Longhurst, D., & Slater, R. (2022). *Shock-Responsive Social Protection: What is Known About What Works in Fragile and Conflict-Affected Situations?*
- Rainone, S. (2022). *The 2022 Country Specific Recommendations in the social field: quo vadis, EU recovery? An overview and comparison with previous European Semester cycles*. *SSRN Electronic Journal*