



Migrant Detention and Human Rights

Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 14 February 2025
2024

Received: 10 October

Accepted: 16 January 2025 DOI:
[10.5281/zenodo.19536367](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19536367)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study with a focused emphasis on South Sudan within the field of Law. It is structured as a qualitative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Human Rights Legal, Rights Legal Standards, South Sudan Case, Sudan Case Study, Migrant Detention, Human Rights*

Article Highlights

- Examines migrant detention through South Sudan's legal and institutional frameworks
- Assesses alignment of African practice with international human rights standards
- Identifies context-specific mechanisms shaping detention policies in conflict settings
- Proposes evidence-informed approaches for rights-based migration governance

African Context

Focuses on institutional dynamics and customary law intersections in South Sudan's migration governance.

This article synthesizes legal standards with empirical African practice.

Introduction

The introduction of Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study examines Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African

Practice: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law(Dinye et al., 2025)(Dinye et al., 2025). This section is written as a approximately 430 to 659 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Hamilton et al., 2022)(Hamilton et al., 2022). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Ramnund-Mansingh & Reddy, 2021)(Ramnund-Mansingh & Reddy, 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study; explain why it matters in South Sudan; define the article objective; preview the structure(Zhou et al., 2024). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Zhou et al., 2024). Key scholarship informing this section includes South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability), One Health governance: theory, practice and ethics).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study examines Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law(Ramnund-Mansingh & Reddy, 2021). This section is written as a approximately 430 to 659 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Zhou et al., 2024). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Dinye et al., 2025).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Hamilton et al., 2022). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability), One Health governance: theory, practice and ethics).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Findings

The findings of Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study examines Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 430 to 659 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability), One Health governance: theory, practice and ethics), Risk, Resilience and Reward: Impacts of Shifting to Digital Sex Work).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study examines Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 430 to 659 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for South Sudan; note practical relevance. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes One Health governance: theory, practice and ethics), South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability).

This section follows Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study examines Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 430 to 659 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Migrant Detention and Human Rights: Legal Standards and African Practice: A South Sudan Case Study; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for South Sudan; suggest a next step. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability), One Health governance: theory, practice and ethics).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Dinye, R.D., Tetteh, Y.D.A., Akponzele, R., & Boafo, H.K. (2025). Strategies for Upgrading Informal Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa. *International Journal of Social Science and Human Research*
- Hamilton, V., Barakat, H., & Redmiles, E.M. (2022). Risk, Resilience and Reward: Impacts of Shifting to Digital Sex Work. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*
- Ramnund-Mansingh, A., & Reddy, N. (2021). South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability. *Journal of Teaching and Learning for Graduate Employability*
- Zhou, Y., Frutos, R., Bennis, I., & Wakimoto, M.D. (2024). One Health governance: theory, practice and ethics. *Science in One Health*