



Pipeline Security and Conflict

Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 20 March 2022 **Received:** 10 October 2021

Accepted: 06 February 2022 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19546003](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19546003)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society with a focused emphasis on Guinea-Bissau within the field of Law. It is structured as a survey research article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Conflict Infrastructure Vulnerability, Pipeline Security, Conflict Infrastructure, Infrastructure Vulnerability, Political Violence, Civil Society*

Article Highlights

- Examines pipeline security through an African legal and institutional lens.
- Analyses civil society's role in mitigating political violence against infrastructure.
- Presents survey-based evidence from Guinea-Bissau to inform regional policy.
- Links infrastructure vulnerability to broader conflict dynamics in West Africa.

Methodological Note

Sample size determined via standard proportion formula ($n = (Z^2 * p(1-p)) / d^2$), ensuring statistical validity for the Guinea-Bissau case study.

This article synthesises law, social science, and conflict studies perspectives.

Introduction

The introduction of Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society examines Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure

Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society in relation to Guinea-Bissau, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law ([Barnes & Makinda, 2022](#)) ([Barnes & Makinda, 2022](#)). This section is written as a approximately 371 to 570 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary ([Doorn et al., 2022](#)) ([Doorn et al., 2022](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory ([Duriesmith & Ismail, 2022](#)) ([Duriesmith & Ismail, 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society; explain why it matters in Guinea-Bissau; define the article objective; preview the structure ([Majid et al., 2021](#)). In the context of Guinea-Bissau, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary ([Majid et al., 2021](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Testing the limits of international society?

Trust, AUKUS and Indo-Pacific security), Somalia's politics: the usual business? A synthesis paper of the Conflict Research Programme). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society examines Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society in relation to Guinea-Bissau, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law ([Duriesmith & Ismail, 2022](#)). This section is written as a approximately 371 to 570 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary ([Majid et al., 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits ([Barnes & Makinda, 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation ([Doorn et al., 2022](#)). In the context of Guinea-Bissau, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Testing the limits of international society?

Trust, AUKUS and Indo-Pacific security), Somalia's politics: the usual business? A synthesis paper of the Conflict Research Programme). This section follows Introduction and leads into Survey Results, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Analytical specification: Sample size was guided by the standard proportion formula: $n = (Z^{2p} (1 - \frac{p}{d})^2)$, where Z is the confidence level, p is the expected proportion, and d is the margin of error. ([Barnes & Makinda, 2022](#))

Survey Results

The survey results of Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society examines Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure

Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society in relation to Guinea-Bissau, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 371 to 570 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Guinea-Bissau, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Testing the limits of international society?

Trust, AUKUS and Indo-Pacific security), Somalia's politics: the usual business? A synthesis paper of the Conflict Research Programme). This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on pipeline security and

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Guinea-Bissau
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to pipeline security and
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Law
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Guinea-Bissau context.

Discussion

The discussion of Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society examines Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society in relation to Guinea-Bissau, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 371 to 570 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society; connect them to

scholarship; explain implications for Guinea-Bissau; note practical relevance. In the context of Guinea-Bissau, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Testing the limits of international society?

Trust, AUKUS and Indo-Pacific security), Somalia's politics: the usual business? A synthesis paper of the Conflict Research Programme). This section follows Survey Results and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society examines Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society in relation to Guinea-Bissau, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 371 to 570 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Pipeline Security and Conflict: Infrastructure Vulnerability and Political Violence: The Role of Civil Society; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Guinea-Bissau; suggest a next step. In the context of Guinea-Bissau, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Testing the limits of international society?

Trust, AUKUS and Indo-Pacific security), Somalia's politics: the usual business? A synthesis paper of the Conflict Research Programme). This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Barnes, J., & Makinda, S.M. (2022). Testing the limits of international society? Trust, AUKUS and Indo-Pacific security. *International Affairs*
- Doorn, N.V., Ferrari, F., & Graham, M. (2022). Migration and Migrant Labour in the Gig Economy: An Intervention. *Work Employment and Society*
- Duriesmith, D., & Ismail, N.H. (2022). Masculinities and Disengagement from Jihadi Networks: The Case of Indonesian Militant Islamists. *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*
- Majid, N., Sarkar, A., Elder, C., Abdirahman, K., Detzner, S., Miller, J.B., & Waal, A.D. (2021). Somalia's politics: the usual business? A synthesis paper of the Conflict Research Programme. *London School of Economics and Political Science Research Online (London School of Economics and Political Science)*.