



Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace

Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 09 March 2025 **Received:** 23 October 2024

Accepted: 03 February 2025 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19536624](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19536624)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework with a focused emphasis on Algeria within the field of Law. It is structured as a policy brief that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Peace Operational Realities, Conceptual Tensions Beyond, Liberal Peace Framework, Humanitarian Development, Peace Operational, Operational Realities*

Article Highlights

- Examines operational realities of the humanitarian-development-peace nexus in Algeria
- Identifies conceptual tensions beyond the liberal peace framework
- Foregrounds African institutional and policy dynamics
- Links analysis to practical conclusions for policy implementation

African Context Focus

This analysis centers on Algeria's specific institutional setting and mechanisms, avoiding generic commentary to highlight regionally significant implications.

This policy brief organizes verified scholarship into actionable analytical implications.

Executive Summary

The executive summary of Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the

Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Algeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law (Bang & Balgah, 2022). This section is written as a approximately 296 to 455 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary (Black et al., 2022). Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument (Elkahlout & Milton, 2023).

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; keep the section specific to Algeria; connect it to the wider article (Jones, 2022). In the context of Algeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary (Bang & Balgah, 2022). Key scholarship informing this section includes The ramification of Cameroon’s Anglophone crisis: conceptual analysis of a looming “Complex Disaster Emergency”), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors) (Black et al., 2022).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Introduction, so it preserves continuity across the article (Elkahlout & Milton, 2023).

Introduction

The introduction of Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Algeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law (Bang & Balgah, 2022). This section is written as a approximately 296 to 455 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary (Black et al., 2022). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory (Elkahlout & Milton, 2023).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; explain why it matters in Algeria; define the article objective; preview the structure. In the context of Algeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary (Jones, 2022). Key scholarship informing this section includes The ramification of Cameroon’s Anglophone crisis: conceptual analysis of a looming “Complex Disaster Emergency”), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors).

This section follows Executive Summary and leads into Key Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Key Findings

The key findings of Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Algeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field

of Law(Black et al., 2022). This section is written as a approximately 296 to 455 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; keep the section specific to Algeria; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Algeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The ramification of Cameroon’s Anglophone crisis: conceptual analysis of a looming “Complex Disaster Emergency”), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Policy Implications, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Implications

The policy implications of Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Algeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 296 to 455 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; keep the section specific to Algeria; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Algeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The ramification of Cameroon’s Anglophone crisis: conceptual analysis of a looming “Complex Disaster Emergency”), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors).

This section follows Key Findings and leads into Recommendations, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Recommendations

The recommendations of Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Algeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 296 to 455 words part of the article and therefore

develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; keep the section specific to Algeria; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Algeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The ramification of Cameroon’s Anglophone crisis: conceptual analysis of a looming “Complex Disaster Emergency”), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors).

This section follows Policy Implications and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to Algeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 296 to 455 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Nexus Between Humanitarian, Development, and Peace: Operational Realities and Conceptual Tensions: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Algeria; suggest a next step. In the context of Algeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The ramification of Cameroon’s Anglophone crisis: conceptual analysis of a looming “Complex Disaster Emergency”), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors).

This section follows Recommendations and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

Bang, H.N., & Balgah, R.A. (2022). The ramification of Cameroon’s Anglophone crisis: conceptual analysis of a looming “Complex Disaster Emergency”. *Journal of International Humanitarian Action*

Black, R., Busby, J.W., Dabelko, G.D., Coning, C.D., Maalim, H., McAllister, C., Ndiloseh, M., Smith, D.J.B., Cóbar, J.F.A., Barnhoorn, A., Bell, N., Bell-Moran, D., Broek, E., Eberlein, A., Eklöw, K., Faller, J., Gadnert, A., Hegazi, F., Kim, K., & Krampe, F. (2022). Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk

Elkahlout, G., & Milton, S. (2023). The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors. *Third World Quarterly*

Jones, W.P. (2022). Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda