



## The Capabilities Approach and African Development

*Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions*

**Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)<sup>1,2,3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

<sup>2</sup> Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

<sup>3</sup> SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: [nyuonabraham@gmail.com](mailto:nyuonabraham@gmail.com)

**Published:** 09 May 2025 **Received:** 18 December 2024

**Accepted:** 11 April 2025 **DOI:**

[10.5281/zenodo.19544490](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19544490)

### Author notes

*Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.*

### ABSTRACT

This article examines The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions with a focused emphasis on Ghana within the field of Law. It is structured as a qualitative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

**Keywords:** *African Development Sen, Development Sen Nussbaum, Context-Sensitive Justice Political, Justice Political Economy, Political Economy Dimensions, Capabilities Approach*

#### Article Highlights

- Applies Sen and Nussbaum's capabilities framework to Ghana's development context
- Examines institutional and political economy dimensions of justice
- Provides qualitative analysis with practical policy implications
- Foregrounds African-specific mechanisms and institutional settings

#### Methodological Note

Qualitative study examining capabilities approach through Ghana's legal and institutional frameworks, with attention to context-specific validity.

*Focuses on how capabilities theory translates to African development realities.*

## Introduction

The introduction of The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions examines The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions in

---

relation to Ghana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law([Bonina et al., 2021](#))([Bonina et al., 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 431 to 661 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Borras & Edelman, 2021](#))([Borras & Edelman, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Grossman & Slough, 2021](#))([Grossman & Slough, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions; explain why it matters in Ghana; define the article objective; preview the structure([Mitsch et al., 2021](#)). In the context of Ghana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Mitsch et al., 2021](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Methodology

---

The methodology of The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions examines The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Ghana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law([Grossman & Slough, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 431 to 661 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Mitsch et al., 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Bonina et al., 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Borras & Edelman, 2021](#)). In the context of Ghana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Digital platforms for development: Foundations and research agenda ), Political Dynamics of Transnational Agrarian Movements: ), Government Responsiveness in Developing Countries ).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Findings

---

The findings of The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions examines The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Ghana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 431 to 661 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions;

---

highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Ghana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Digital platforms for development: Foundations and research agenda ), Political Dynamics of Transnational Agrarian Movements: ), Government Responsiveness in Developing Countries ).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Discussion

---

The discussion of The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions examines The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Ghana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 431 to 661 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Ghana; note practical relevance. In the context of Ghana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Digital platforms for development: Foundations and research agenda ), Political Dynamics of Transnational Agrarian Movements: ), Government Responsiveness in Developing Countries ).

This section follows Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Conclusion

---

The conclusion of The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions examines The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Ghana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 431 to 661 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on The Capabilities Approach and African Development: Sen, Nussbaum, and Context-Sensitive Justice: Political Economy Dimensions; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Ghana; suggest a next step. In the context of Ghana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Digital platforms for development: Foundations and research agenda ), Political Dynamics of Transnational Agrarian Movements: ), Government Responsiveness in Developing Countries ).

---

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

---

## References

- Bonina, C., Koskinen, K., Eaton, B., & Gawer, A. (2021). Digital platforms for development: Foundations and research agenda. *Information Systems Journal*
- Borras, S.(., & Edelman, M. (2021). Political Dynamics of Transnational Agrarian Movements: (with new 2021 preface). *Data Archiving and Networked Services (DANS)*. <https://doi.org/10.3362/9781780449142>
- Grossman, G., & Slough, T. (2021). Government Responsiveness in Developing Countries. *Annual Review of Political Science*
- Mitsch, F., Lee, N., & Morrow, E.R. (2021). Faith no more? The divergence of political trust between urban and rural Europe. *Political Geography*