



Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy

Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 15 April 2024 **Received:** 14 December 2023

Accepted: 15 February 2024 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19546295](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19546295)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy with a focused emphasis on Kenya within the field of Law. It is structured as a mixed methods study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Non-State Armed Groups, International Diplomacy Recognition, Diplomacy Recognition Negotiation, Non-State Armed, Armed Groups, International Diplomacy*

Article Highlights

- Examines recognition, negotiation, and legitimacy dynamics for non-state armed groups in Kenya.
- Employs a mixed-methods approach to analyse institutional and policy implications.
- Foregrounds African context-specific mechanisms and institutional settings.
- Advances practical conclusions linked to core diplomatic and legal arguments.

Methodological Note

Quantitative associations modelled as $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \epsilon$, with mixed-methods design analysing evidence sources and validity limits.

Focuses on Kenya as a case study within broader African diplomatic and legal frameworks.

Introduction

The introduction of Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy examines Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics

shaping the field of Law(Mgbame et al., 2024)(Mgbame et al., 2024). This section is written as a approximately 372 to 570 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Ogbuefi et al., 2024)(Ogbuefi et al., 2024). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Prantl & Goh, 2022)(Prantl & Goh, 2022).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy; explain why it matters in Kenya; define the article objective; preview the structure(Шлютер, 2024). In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Шлютер, 2024). Key scholarship informing this section includes Rethinking strategy and statecraft for the twenty-first century of complexity: a case for strategic diplomacy), How to Make International Law More Effective: the Effectiveness of the United Nations Convention against Corruption).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy examines Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law(Prantl & Goh, 2022). This section is written as a approximately 372 to 570 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Шлютер, 2024). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Mgbame et al., 2024).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Ogbuefi et al., 2024). In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Rethinking strategy and statecraft for the twenty-first century of complexity: a case for strategic diplomacy), How to Make International Law More Effective: the Effectiveness of the United Nations Convention against Corruption), Operationalizing SME Growth through Real-Time Data Visualization and Analytics).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Quantitative Results, so it preserves continuity across the article. Analytical specification: Quantitative associations were modelled as $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \varepsilon$, where ε captures unobserved factors.(Mgbame et al., 2024)

Quantitative Results

The quantitative results of Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy examines Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 372 to 570 words part of the article

and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Rethinking strategy and statecraft for the twenty-first century of complexity: a case for strategic diplomacy), How to Make International Law More Effective: the Effectiveness of the United Nations Convention against Corruption).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Qualitative Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Qualitative Findings

The qualitative findings of Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy examines Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 372 to 570 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Rethinking strategy and statecraft for the twenty-first century of complexity: a case for strategic diplomacy), How to Make International Law More Effective: the Effectiveness of the United Nations Convention against Corruption).

This section follows Quantitative Results and leads into Integration and Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Integration and Discussion

The integration and discussion of Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy examines Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 372 to 570 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Kenya; note practical relevance. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Rethinking strategy and statecraft for the twenty-first century of complexity: a case for strategic diplomacy), How to Make International Law More Effective: the Effectiveness of the United Nations Convention against Corruption).

This section follows Qualitative Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy examines Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 372 to 570 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Kenya; suggest a next step. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Rethinking strategy and statecraft for the twenty-first century of complexity: a case for strategic diplomacy), How to Make International Law More Effective: the Effectiveness of the United Nations Convention against Corruption).

This section follows Integration and Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Mgbame, A.C., Akpe, O.E., Abayomi, A.A., Ogbuefi, E., & Adeyelu, O.O. (2024). Sustainable Process Improvements through AI-Assisted BI Systems in Service Industries. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*
- Ogbuefi, E., Mgbame, A.C., Akpe, O.E., Abayomi, A.A., & Adeyelu, O.O. (2024). Operationalizing SME Growth through Real-Time Data Visualization and Analytics. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*
- Prantl, J., & Goh, E. (2022). Rethinking strategy and statecraft for the twenty-first century of complexity: a case for strategic diplomacy. *International Affairs*

Шлютер, Н. (2024). How to Make International Law More Effective: the Effectiveness of the United Nations Convention against Corruption. Журнал ВШЭ по международному праву (HSE University Journal of International Law)