



Land Grabbing and Displacement

Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 18 September 2023	Received: 18 May 2023	Accepted: 16 August 2023	DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19546486
-------------------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------------	---

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective with a focused emphasis on Angola within the field of Law. It is structured as a qualitative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Displacement Large-Scale Agricultural, Large-Scale Agricultural Investments, African Union Perspective, Land Grabbing, Displacement Large-Scale, Large-Scale Agricultural*

Article Highlights

- Examines land grabbing through an African Union institutional lens
- Focuses on Angola as a case study for conflict risk mechanisms
- Qualitative analysis of large-scale agricultural investment impacts
- Links displacement patterns to regional policy frameworks

Methodological Approach

Qualitative study examining institutional dynamics and conflict risk through African Union policy frameworks, with Angola as primary case analysis.

This article provides policy-relevant insights for African legal and governance contexts.

Introduction

The introduction of Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective examines Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective in relation to Angola, with

specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law(Liere & Meinema, 2022)(Liere & Meinema, 2022). This section is written as a approximately 340 to 521 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Pugliese, 2022)(Pugliese, 2022). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Vučinić & Luburić, 2022)(Vučinić & Luburić, 2022).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective; explain why it matters in Angola; define the article objective; preview the structure(Zych & Nasaescu, 2022). In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Zych & Nasaescu, 2022). Key scholarship informing this section includes Material Perspectives on Religion, Conflict, and Violence), The European Union’s Security Intervention in the Indo-Pacific: Between Multilateralism and Mercantile Interests), Fintech, Risk-Based Thinking and Cyber Risk).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective examines Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective in relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law(Vučinić & Luburić, 2022). This section is written as a approximately 340 to 521 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Zych & Nasaescu, 2022). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Liere & Meinema, 2022).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Pugliese, 2022). In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Material Perspectives on Religion, Conflict, and Violence), The European Union’s Security Intervention in the Indo-Pacific: Between Multilateralism and Mercantile Interests), Fintech, Risk-Based Thinking and Cyber Risk).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Findings

The findings of Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective examines Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective in relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 340 to 521 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder

summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Material Perspectives on Religion, Conflict, and Violence), The European Union's Security Intervention in the Indo-Pacific: Between Multilateralism and Mercantile Interests), Fintech, Risk-Based Thinking and Cyber Risk).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective examines Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective in relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 340 to 521 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Angola; note practical relevance. In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Material Perspectives on Religion, Conflict, and Violence), The European Union's Security Intervention in the Indo-Pacific: Between Multilateralism and Mercantile Interests), Fintech, Risk-Based Thinking and Cyber Risk).

This section follows Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective examines Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective in relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 340 to 521 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: An African Union Perspective; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Angola; suggest a next step. In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Material Perspectives on Religion, Conflict, and Violence), The European Union's Security Intervention in the Indo-Pacific: Between Multilateralism and Mercantile Interests), Fintech, Risk-Based Thinking and Cyber Risk).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Liere, L.V., & Meinema, E. (2022). Material Perspectives on Religion, Conflict, and Violence
- Pugliese, G. (2022). The European Union's Security Intervention in the Indo-Pacific: Between Multilateralism and Mercantile Interests. *Journal of Intervention and Statebuilding*
- Vučinić, M., & Luburić, R. (2022). Fintech, Risk-Based Thinking and Cyber Risk. *Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice*
- Zych, I., & Nasaescu, E. (2022). Is radicalization a family issue? A systematic review of family-related risk and protective factors, consequences, and interventions against radicalization. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*