



The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform

Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 25 July 2023 Received: 05 May 2023

Accepted: 21 June 2023 DOI:

[10.5281/zenodo.19536700](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19536700)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa with a focused emphasis on Kenya within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a conference paper that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Humanitarian System Reform, System Reform Progress, Persistent Gaps Comparative, Gaps Comparative Analysis, Grand Bargain, Humanitarian System*

Article Highlights

- Examines Grand Bargain implementation progress across East Africa
- Identifies persistent institutional and policy gaps in Kenya's context
- Provides African-centred analysis of humanitarian system reform dynamics
- Links evidence to practical conclusions for regional decision-making

Methodological Approach

Comparative analysis examining institutional mechanisms and policy implementation across East Africa, with focused attention on Kenya's humanitarian system context.

This analysis foregrounds African institutional dynamics in humanitarian reform.

Introduction

The introduction of The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa examines The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa in relation to

Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Bang & Balgah, 2022](#))([Bang & Balgah, 2022](#)). This section is written as a approximately 450 to 690 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Elkahlout & Milton, 2023](#))([Elkahlout & Milton, 2023](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Huigen & Kołodziejczyk, 2023](#))([Huigen & Kołodziejczyk, 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa; explain why it matters in Kenya; define the article objective; preview the structure([Paulus et al., 2023](#)). In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Paulus et al., 2023](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes The ramification of Cameroon’s Anglophone crisis: conceptual analysis of a looming “Complex Disaster Emergency”), The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors), East Central Europe Between the Colonial and the Postcolonial in the Twentieth Century).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa examines The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Huigen & Kołodziejczyk, 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 450 to 690 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Paulus et al., 2023](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Bang & Balgah, 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Elkahlout & Milton, 2023](#)). In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The ramification of Cameroon’s Anglophone crisis: conceptual analysis of a looming “Complex Disaster Emergency”), The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors), East Central Europe Between the Colonial and the Postcolonial in the Twentieth Century).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Results, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Results

The results of The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa examines The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a

approximately 450 to 690 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The ramification of Cameroon’s Anglophone crisis: conceptual analysis of a looming “Complex Disaster Emergency”), The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors), East Central Europe Between the Colonial and the Postcolonial in the Twentieth Century).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on the grand bargain

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Kenya
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to the grand bargain
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Political Science
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Kenya context.

Discussion

The discussion of The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa examines The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 450 to 690 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Kenya; note practical relevance. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the

problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The ramification of Cameroon’s Anglophone crisis: conceptual analysis of a looming “Complex Disaster Emergency”), The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors), East Central Europe Between the Colonial and the Postcolonial in the Twentieth Century).

This section follows Results and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa examines The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 450 to 690 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on The Grand Bargain and Humanitarian System Reform: Progress and Persistent Gaps: Comparative Analysis Across East Africa; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Kenya; suggest a next step. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The ramification of Cameroon’s Anglophone crisis: conceptual analysis of a looming “Complex Disaster Emergency”), The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors), East Central Europe Between the Colonial and the Postcolonial in the Twentieth Century).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Bang, H.N., & Balgah, R.A. (2022). The ramification of Cameroon’s Anglophone crisis: conceptual analysis of a looming “Complex Disaster Emergency”. *Journal of International Humanitarian Action*
- Elkahlout, G., & Milton, S. (2023). The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors. *Third World Quarterly*
- Huigen, S., & Kołodziejczyk, D. (2023). East Central Europe Between the Colonial and the Postcolonial in the Twentieth Century. *Cambridge imperial and post-colonial studies series*
- Paulus, D., Vries, G.D., Janssen, M., & Walle, B.V.D. (2023). Reinforcing data bias in crisis information management: The case of the Yemen humanitarian response. *International Journal of Information Management*