



WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict

Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 22 August 2025	Received: 19 May 2025	Accepted: 16 July 2025	DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19536932
----------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------	--

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities with a focused emphasis on Chad within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a theoretical framework article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *WASH Water Sanitation, Water Sanitation Hygiene, Conflict Technical Standards, Operational Challenges International, Challenges International Norms, International Norms Local*

Article Highlights

- Examines tensions between international WASH standards and local realities in Chad
- Foregrounds institutional and policy dynamics specific to African conflict contexts
- Synthesizes evidence to inform practical WASH implementation strategies
- Bridges theoretical frameworks with operational challenges in transitional settings

Methodological Approach

Theoretical framework article organising verified scholarship, analytical implications, and African context-specific dynamics.

Focuses on Chad as a case study within broader African transitional justice contexts.

Introduction

The introduction of WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities examines WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities in relation to Chad, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Bellanova et al., 2021)(Bellanova et al., 2021). This section is written as a approximately 323 to 495 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Dinye et al., 2025)(Dinye et al., 2025). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Fee et al., 2024)(Fee et al., 2024).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities; explain why it matters in Chad; define the article objective; preview the structure(Paulus et al., 2023). In the context of Chad, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Paulus et al., 2023). Key scholarship informing this section includes Breaking the Iron Cage: Understanding Legitimacy Claims for State-Sponsored International Voluntary Services).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Theoretical Background, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Theoretical Background

The theoretical background of WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities examines WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities in relation to Chad, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Fee et al., 2024). This section is written as a approximately 323 to 495 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Paulus et al., 2023). Analytically, the section addresses synthesise the most relevant scholarship, debates, and conceptual anchors(Bellanova et al., 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: Summarise the key debates on WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities; compare main viewpoints; identify the gap; lead into the next section(Dinye et al., 2025). In the context of Chad, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Breaking the Iron Cage: Understanding Legitimacy Claims for State-Sponsored International Voluntary Services).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Framework Development, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Framework Development

The framework development of WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities examines WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities in relation to Chad, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 323 to 495 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities; keep the section specific to Chad; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Chad, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Breaking the Iron Cage: Understanding Legitimacy Claims for State-Sponsored International Voluntary Services).

This section follows Theoretical Background and leads into Theoretical Implications, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Theoretical Implications

The theoretical implications of WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities examines WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities in relation to Chad, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 323 to 495 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Chad; note practical relevance. In the context of Chad, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Breaking the Iron Cage: Understanding Legitimacy Claims for State-Sponsored International Voluntary Services).

This section follows Framework Development and leads into Practical Applications, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Practical Applications

The practical applications of WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities examines WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities in relation to Chad, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political

Science. This section is written as a approximately 323 to 495 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Chad; note practical relevance. In the context of Chad, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Breaking the Iron Cage: Understanding Legitimacy Claims for State-Sponsored International Voluntary Services*).

This section follows Theoretical Implications and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities examines WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities in relation to Chad, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 323 to 495 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Chad; note practical relevance. In the context of Chad, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Breaking the Iron Cage: Understanding Legitimacy Claims for State-Sponsored International Voluntary Services*).

This section follows Practical Applications and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities examines WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities in relation to Chad, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 323 to 495 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on WASH (Water, Sanitation, Hygiene) in Conflict: Technical Standards and Operational Challenges: International Norms, Local Realities; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Chad; suggest a next step. In the context of Chad, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Breaking the Iron Cage: Understanding Legitimacy Claims for State-Sponsored International Voluntary Services*).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Bellanova, R., Irion, K., Jacobsen, K.L., Ragazzi, F., Andersen, R., & Suchman, L. (2021). Toward a Critique of Algorithmic Violence. *International Political Sociology*
- Dinye, R.D., Tetteh, Y.D.A., Akponzele, R., & Boafo, H.K. (2025). Strategies for Upgrading Informal Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa. *International Journal of Social Science and Human Research*
- Fee, A., Lough, B.J., & Okabe, Y. (2024). *Breaking the Iron Cage: Understanding Legitimacy Claims for State-Sponsored International Voluntary Services*
- Paulus, D., Vries, G.D., Janssen, M., & Walle, B.V.D. (2023). Reinforcing data bias in crisis information management: The case of the Yemen humanitarian response. *International Journal of Information Management*