

Military Defection and Loyalty Shifts

Explaining Officer Allegiance Changes in South Sudan: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security ²Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba ³SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Received: 06 June 2025 | Accepted: 04 August 2025 | Published: 13 September 2025 | DOI:

[10.5281/zenodo.19546465](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19546465)



ABSTRACT

This article examines Military Defection and Loyalty Shifts: Explaining Officer Allegiance Changes in South Sudan: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform with a focused emphasis on South Sudan within the field of Law. It is structured as a commentary that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Loyalty Shifts Explaining, Shifts Explaining Officer, Explaining Officer Allegiance, Officer Allegiance Changes, South Sudan Accountability, Sudan Accountability Transparency*

Article Highlights

- Examines institutional mechanisms driving officer allegiance shifts in South Sudan
- Foregrounds accountability and transparency within African military contexts
- Connects loyalty dynamics to broader governance and reform challenges
- Provides practical implications for policy and legal frameworks

African Contextual Significance

The analysis emphasizes mechanisms and institutional settings specific to South Sudan, avoiding generic commentary while highlighting regional implications for law and governance.

Focuses on evidence-informed analysis of military loyalty dynamics within South Sudan's institutional landscape.

Introduction

The introduction of Military Defection and Loyalty Shifts: Explaining Officer Allegiance Changes in South Sudan: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform examines Military Defection and Loyalty Shifts: Explaining Officer Allegiance Changes in South Sudan: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law (Davis et al., 2021)(Davis et al., 2021). This section is written as a approximately 709 to 1088 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder

summary([Kabingsi, 2021](#))([Kabingsi, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Nguyen, 2021](#))([Nguyen, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Military Defection and Loyalty Shifts: Explaining Officer Allegiance Changes in South Sudan: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform; explain why it matters in South Sudan; define the article objective; preview the structure([Viola & Laidler, 2021](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Viola & Laidler, 2021](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Analysis and Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Analysis and Discussion

The analysis and discussion of Military Defection and Loyalty Shifts: Explaining Officer Allegiance Changes in South Sudan: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform examines Military Defection and Loyalty Shifts: Explaining Officer Allegiance Changes in South Sudan: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law([Nguyen, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 709 to 1088 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Viola & Laidler, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument([Davis et al., 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Military Defection and Loyalty Shifts: Explaining Officer Allegiance Changes in South Sudan: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article([Kabingsi, 2021](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences), An assessment of public participation in the law-making and other activities of the Parliament of the Republic of South Africa), Transparency is Surveillance).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Military Defection and Loyalty Shifts: Explaining Officer Allegiance Changes in South Sudan: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform examines Military Defection and Loyalty Shifts: Explaining Officer Allegiance Changes in South Sudan: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 709 to 1088 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Military Defection and Loyalty Shifts: Explaining Officer Allegiance Changes in South Sudan: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for South Sudan; suggest a next

step. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences*), *An assessment of public participation in the law-making and other activities of the Parliament of the Republic of South Africa*), *Transparency is Surveillance*).

This section follows Analysis and Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Davis, K.E., Jorge, G., & Machado, M.R. (2021). *Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences*. *Verfassung in Recht und Übersee*
- Kabingsesi, A. (2021). *An assessment of public participation in the law-making and other activities of the Parliament of the Republic of South Africa*. SUNScholar (Stellenbosch University)
- Nguyen, C.T. (2021). *Transparency is Surveillance*. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*
- Viola, L.A., & Laidler, P. (2021). *Trust and Transparency in an Age of Surveillance*