



# Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes

*Policy Implications for Fragile States*

Abraham Kuol Nyuon<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

<sup>2</sup> Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

<sup>3</sup> SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: [nyuonabraham@gmail.com](mailto:nyuonabraham@gmail.com)

Published: 05 July 2024 Received: 12 February 2024

Accepted: 27 May 2024 DOI:  
[10.5281/zenodo.19536978](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19536978)

## Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

## ABSTRACT

This article examines Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States with a focused emphasis on Kenya within the field of Law. It is structured as a policy analysis article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

**Keywords:** *African Development Programmes, Development Programmes Policy, Programmes Policy Implications, Evaluation Systems, African Development, Development Programmes*

### Article Highlights

- Examines M&E systems in African development programmes with focus on Kenya
- Analyses policy implications specifically for fragile state contexts
- Foregrounds institutional dynamics and mechanisms within African settings
- Provides practical conclusions linked to evidence-informed policy

### Core Contribution

An African-centred synthesis advancing context-specific insights for development policy in fragile states.

*This analysis focuses on institutional mechanisms within Kenya's development landscape.*

## Introduction

The introduction of Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Kenya, with specific

---

attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law([Elkahlout & Milton, 2023](#))([Elkahlout & Milton, 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 200 to 300 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Kiendrébéogo et al., 2024](#))([Kiendrébéogo et al., 2024](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Orlove et al., 2023](#))([Orlove et al., 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States; explain why it matters in Kenya; define the article objective; preview the structure([Rolandsen et al., 2021](#)). In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Rolandsen et al., 2021](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Policy Context, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Policy Context

---

The policy context of Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law([Orlove et al., 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 200 to 300 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Rolandsen et al., 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument([Elkahlout & Milton, 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States; keep the section specific to Kenya; connect it to the wider article([Kiendrébéogo et al., 2024](#)). In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. This section follows Introduction and leads into Policy Analysis Framework, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Policy Analysis Framework

---

The policy analysis framework of Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 300 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States; keep the section specific to Kenya; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as

---

humanitarian donors ), Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso ), Placing diverse knowledge systems at the core of transformative climate research ).

This section follows Policy Context and leads into Policy Assessment, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Policy Assessment

---

The policy assessment of Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 300 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States; keep the section specific to Kenya; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors ), Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso ), Placing diverse knowledge systems at the core of transformative climate research ).

This section follows Policy Analysis Framework and leads into Results (Policy Data), so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Results (Policy Data)

---

The results (policy data) of Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 300 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States; keep the section specific to Kenya; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors ), Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso ), Placing diverse knowledge systems at the core of transformative climate research ).

---

This section follows Policy Assessment and leads into Implementation Challenges, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Implementation Challenges

---

The implementation challenges of Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 300 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States; keep the section specific to Kenya; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors ), Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso ), Placing diverse knowledge systems at the core of transformative climate research ).

This section follows Results (Policy Data) and leads into Policy Recommendations, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Policy Recommendations

---

The policy recommendations of Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 300 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States; keep the section specific to Kenya; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors ), Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso ), Placing diverse knowledge systems at the core of transformative climate research ).

This section follows Implementation Challenges and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

---

## Discussion

---

The discussion of Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 300 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Kenya; note practical relevance. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors ), Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso ), Placing diverse knowledge systems at the core of transformative climate research ).

This section follows Policy Recommendations and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

---

## Conclusion

---

The conclusion of Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Kenya, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 300 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Monitoring and Evaluation Systems in African Development Programmes: Policy Implications for Fragile States; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Kenya; suggest a next step. In the context of Kenya, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors ), Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso ), Placing diverse knowledge systems at the core of transformative climate research ).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

---

## Contributions

---

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

---

## References

- Elkahlout, G., & Milton, S. (2023). The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors. *Third World Quarterly*
- Kiendrébéogo, J.A., Sory, O., Kaboré, I., Kafando, Y., Kumar, M.B., & George, A. (2024). Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso. *Global Health Action*
- Orlove, B., Sherpa, P.Y., Dawson, N., Adelekan, I., Alangui, W.V., Carmona, R., Coen, D.R., Nelson, M.K., Reyes-García, V., Rubis, J., Sanago, G., & Wilson, A.J. (2023). Placing diverse knowledge systems at the core of transformative climate research. *AMBIO*
- Rolandsen, Ø.H., Dwyer, M., & Reno, W. (2021). Security Force Assistance to Fragile States: A Framework of Analysis. *Journal of Intervention and Statebuilding*