



The Governance of African Regional Organisations

AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 14 November 2023 **Received:** 25 July 2023

Accepted: 29 September 2023 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19540540](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19540540)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond with a focused emphasis on Zimbabwe within the field of Law. It is structured as a mixed methods study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *African Regional Organisations, Regional Organisations AU, Organisations AU EAC, AU EAC IGAD, Comparative Assessment Post-CPA, African Regional*

Article Highlights

- Examines AU, EAC, and IGAD governance structures post-CPA
- Applies mixed methods to analyse institutional dynamics
- Focuses on Zimbabwe as a case study in legal context
- Provides comparative assessment of regional mechanisms

Methodological Approach

Mixed methods study combining quantitative modelling ($Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \epsilon$) with qualitative analysis of institutional frameworks and policy documents.

This analysis foregrounds African-specific governance challenges and solutions.

Introduction

The introduction of The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond examines The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond in relation to Zimbabwe, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law ([Drotbohm & Winters, 2021](#)) ([Drotbohm & Winters, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 372 to 571 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary ([Ebers et al.,](#)

2021)(Ebers et al., 2021). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Kimengsi et al., 2021)(Kimengsi et al., 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond; explain why it matters in Zimbabwe; define the article objective; preview the structure(Munabi, 2021). In the context of Zimbabwe, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Munabi, 2021). Key scholarship informing this section includes What do we (not) know on forest management institutions in sub-Saharan Africa?

A regional comparative review), A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America), The European Commission’s Proposal for an Artificial Intelligence Act—A Critical Assessment by Members of the Robotics and AI Law Society (RAILS)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond examines The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond in relation to Zimbabwe, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law(Kimengsi et al., 2021). This section is written as a approximately 372 to 571 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Munabi, 2021). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Drotbohm & Winters, 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Ebers et al., 2021). In the context of Zimbabwe, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes What do we (not) know on forest management institutions in sub-Saharan Africa?

A regional comparative review), A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America), The European Commission’s Proposal for an Artificial Intelligence Act—A Critical Assessment by Members of the Robotics and AI Law Society (RAILS)). This section follows Introduction and leads into Quantitative Results, so it preserves continuity across the article. Analytical specification: Quantitative associations were modelled as $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \varepsilon$, where ε captures unobserved factors.

(Drotbohm & Winters, 2021)

Quantitative Results

The quantitative results of The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond examines The Governance of African Regional

Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond in relation to Zimbabwe, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Zimbabwe, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes What do we (not) know on forest management institutions in sub-Saharan Africa?

A regional comparative review), A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America), The European Commission’s Proposal for an Artificial Intelligence Act—A Critical Assessment by Members of the Robotics and AI Law Society (RAILS)). This section follows Methodology and leads into Qualitative Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on the governance of

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Zimbabwe
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to the governance of
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Law
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Zimbabwe context.

Qualitative Findings

The qualitative findings of The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond examines The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond in relation to Zimbabwe, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Zimbabwe, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes What do we (not) know on forest management institutions in sub-Saharan Africa?

A regional comparative review), A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America), The European Commission’s Proposal for an Artificial Intelligence Act—A Critical Assessment by Members of the Robotics and AI Law Society (RAILS)). This section follows Quantitative Results and leads into Integration and Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Integration and Discussion

The integration and discussion of The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond examines The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond in relation to Zimbabwe, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 372 to 571 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Zimbabwe; note practical relevance. In the context of Zimbabwe, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America), What do we (not) know on forest management institutions in sub-Saharan Africa?

A regional comparative review), The European Commission’s Proposal for an Artificial Intelligence Act—A Critical Assessment by Members of the Robotics and AI Law Society (RAILS)). This section follows Qualitative Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond examines The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond in relation to Zimbabwe, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 372 to 571 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on The Governance of African Regional Organisations: AU, EAC, IGAD, and Comparative Assessment: Post-CPA and Beyond; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Zimbabwe; suggest a next step. In the context of Zimbabwe, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes What do we (not) know on forest management institutions in sub-Saharan Africa?

A regional comparative review), A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America), The European Commission's Proposal for an Artificial Intelligence Act—A Critical Assessment by Members of the Robotics and AI Law Society (RAILS)). This section follows Integration and Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Drotbohm, H., & Winters, N. (2021). A shifting yet grounded transnational social field: Interplays of displacement and emplacement in African migrant trajectories across Central America. *Population Space and Place*
- Ebers, M., Hoch, V.R.S., Rosenkranz, F., Ruschemeier, H., & Steinrötter, B. (2021). The European Commission's Proposal for an Artificial Intelligence Act—A Critical Assessment by Members of the Robotics and AI Law Society (RAILS). *J — Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal*
- Kimengsi, J.N., Owusu, R., Djenontin, I.N., Pretzsch, J., Gießen, L., Buchenrieder, G., Pouliot, M., & Acosta, A.N. (2021). What do we (not) know on forest management institutions in sub-Saharan Africa? A regional comparative review. *Land Use Policy*
- Munabi, D.O. (2021). Real Constitutional Change in Sub-Saharan Africa after the Third Wave of Democratization: A Comparative Historical Inquiry