



Methodological Evaluation of Public Health Surveillance Systems in Uganda Using Difference-in-Differences Approach to Assess Risk Reduction Efforts

Semaire Namasole^{1,2}, Enyongira Musinguzi¹, Mwesiga Ssebulie³

¹ Kampala International University (KIU)

² Department of Surgery, Uganda Christian University, Mukono

³ Department of Internal Medicine, Kampala International University (KIU)

Published: 02 April 2004 | **Received:** 02 November 2003 | **Accepted:** 10 February 2004

Correspondence: snamasole@yahoo.com

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.18787886](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18787886)

Author notes

Semaire Namasole is affiliated with Kampala International University (KIU) and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.

Enyongira Musinguzi is affiliated with Kampala International University (KIU) and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.

Mwesiga Ssebulie is affiliated with Department of Internal Medicine, Kampala International University (KIU) and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.

Abstract

Public health surveillance systems in Uganda are essential for monitoring infectious diseases such as malaria and tuberculosis (TB). However, the effectiveness of these systems can vary over time due to changes in disease prevalence or intervention strategies. The study employed a difference-in-differences (DiD) model, which compares changes over time between an intervention group and a comparison group. Data from surveillance records were analysed using statistical software to evaluate the effectiveness of public health interventions. A significant reduction in TB case notifications was observed during the period when preventive measures were introduced, suggesting that DiD method can effectively highlight the impact of such efforts on disease reporting. The difference-in-differences approach demonstrated its utility for evaluating the effectiveness of public health interventions in Uganda. The findings support the implementation of targeted strategies to improve surveillance systems and enhance disease control outcomes. Public health officials should consider implementing DiD models as a standard methodological tool for assessing the impact of interventions on surveillance system performance. Treatment effect was estimated with $\text{text}\{logit\}(\pi) = \beta_0 + \beta^T p X_i$, and uncertainty reported using confidence-interval based inference.

Keywords: *Uganda, Geographic Information Systems, Public Health Surveillance, Difference-in-Differences, Randomized Controlled Trials, Spatial Analysis, Epidemiology*

ABSTRACT-ONLY PUBLICATION

This is an abstract-only publication. The complete research paper with full methodology, results, discussion, and references is available upon request.

✉ **REQUEST FULL PAPER**

Email: info@parj.africa

Request your copy of the full paper today!

SUBMIT YOUR RESEARCH

Are you a researcher in Africa? We welcome your submissions!

Join our community of African scholars and share your groundbreaking work.

Submit at: app.parj.africa



Scan to visit app.parj.africa

Open Access Scholarship from PARJ

Empowering African Research | Advancing Global Knowledge