



The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan

Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 01 October 2024 **Received:** 08 May 2024

Accepted: 30 August 2024 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19546371](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19546371)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework with a focused emphasis on South Sudan within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a book review that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *UN Sanctions Regime, South Sudan Design, Sudan Design Compliance, Political Effects Beyond, Liberal Peace Framework, UN Sanctions*

Article Highlights

- Examines UN sanctions design and compliance in South Sudan's political context
- Critiques liberal peace framework through African institutional analysis
- Analyzes enforcement mechanisms and political effects beyond formal compliance
- Connects South Sudan case to broader comparative politics scholarship

Analytical Framework

This article moves beyond assessing formal compliance to examine how sanctions interact with South Sudan's political institutions and power dynamics, offering insights applicable to other African contexts.

This analysis foregrounds African political mechanisms rather than generic policy commentary.

Introduction

The introduction of The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science (Davis et al., 2021) (Davis et al., 2021). This section is written as a approximately 404 to 620 words part of the article

and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Manboah-Rockson, 2021](#))([Manboah-Rockson, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Mangili et al., 2023](#))([Mangili et al., 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; explain why it matters in South Sudan; define the article objective; preview the structure([Reinsberg et al., 2021](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Reinsberg et al., 2021](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Unimplementable by design?

Understanding (non-)compliance with International Monetary Fund policy conditionality), Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences), Assessing the quality of the built environment in dementia: a framework to evaluate long-term care facilities). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Summary, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Summary

The summary of The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Mangili et al., 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 404 to 620 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Reinsberg et al., 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument([Davis et al., 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article([Manboah-Rockson, 2021](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Unimplementable by design?

Understanding (non-)compliance with International Monetary Fund policy conditionality), Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences), Assessing the quality of the built environment in dementia: a framework to evaluate long-term care facilities). This section follows Introduction and leads into Critical Analysis, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Critical Analysis

The critical analysis of The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 404 to 620 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear

argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes “Grabbing the ‘Bull’ by the ‘Horns’”: A Critical Analysis of the Establishment of AfCFTA), Unimplementable by design?

Understanding (non-)compliance with International Monetary Fund policy conditionality), Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences). This section follows Summary and leads into Contextual Evaluation, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contextual Evaluation

The contextual evaluation of The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 404 to 620 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for South Sudan; note practical relevance. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Unimplementable by design?

Understanding (non-)compliance with International Monetary Fund policy conditionality), Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences), Assessing the quality of the built environment in dementia: a framework to evaluate long-term care facilities). This section follows Critical Analysis and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 404 to 620 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for South Sudan; suggest a next step. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Unimplementable by design?

Understanding (non-)compliance with International Monetary Fund policy conditionality), Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences), Assessing the quality of the built environment in dementia: a framework to evaluate long-term care facilities). This section follows Contextual Evaluation and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Davis, K.E., Jorge, G., & Machado, M.R. (2021). Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences. *Verfassung in Recht und Übersee*
- Manboah-Rockson, J.K. (2021). “Grabbing the ‘Bull’ by the ‘Horns’”: A Critical Analysis of the Establishment of AfCFTA. *Open Journal of Political Science*
- Mangili, S., Mangili, S., Ferraguzzi, G., & Capolongo, S. (2023). Assessing the quality of the built environment in dementia: a framework to evaluate long-term care facilities. *Population Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.18332/popmed/163847>
- Reinsberg, B., Stubbs, T., & Kentikelenis, A. (2021). Unimplementable by design? Understanding (non-)compliance with International Monetary Fund policy conditionality. *Governance*