



Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response

CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 02 June 2026 **Received:** 03 January 2026

Accepted: 04 April 2026 **DOI:**

[10.5281/zenodo.19537026](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19537026)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective with a focused emphasis on Mauritius within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a policy analysis article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Humanitarian Response CERF, Response CERF Country-Based, CERF Country-Based Pooled, Country-Based Pooled Funds, African Union Perspective, Funding Mechanisms*

Article Highlights

- Examines CERF and Country-Based Pooled Funds through an African lens
- Analyzes donor trends with specific attention to Mauritius as a case study
- Foregrounds institutional and policy dynamics relevant to the African context
- Provides practical conclusions linked to core analytical arguments

Methodological Approach

Structured as a policy analysis article that organizes the problem, verified scholarship, and analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

This analysis emphasizes mechanisms, institutional settings, and African significance rather than generic commentary.

Introduction

The introduction of Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective examines Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union

Perspective in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Elkahlout & Milton, 2023)(Elkahlout & Milton, 2023). This section is written as a approximately 221 to 338 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Lynd & Loyd, 2022)(Lynd & Loyd, 2022). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Oweibia et al., 2025)(Oweibia et al., 2025).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective; explain why it matters in Mauritius; define the article objective; preview the structure(Setzer & Higham, 2024). In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Setzer & Higham, 2024). Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union), Maternal and Child Health Trends in Nigeria: A Scoping Review of NDHS 2018 vs.

NDHS 2023). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Policy Context, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Context

The policy context of Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective examines Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Oweibia et al., 2025). This section is written as a approximately 221 to 338 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Setzer & Higham, 2024). Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument(Elkahlout & Milton, 2023).

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective; keep the section specific to Mauritius; connect it to the wider article(Lynd & Loyd, 2022). In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union), Maternal and Child Health Trends in Nigeria: A Scoping Review of NDHS 2018 vs.

NDHS 2023). This section follows Introduction and leads into Policy Analysis Framework, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Analysis Framework

The policy analysis framework of Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective examines Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An

African Union Perspective in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 221 to 338 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective; keep the section specific to Mauritius; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union), Maternal and Child Health Trends in Nigeria: A Scoping Review of NDHS 2018 vs.

NDHS 2023). This section follows Policy Context and leads into Policy Assessment, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Assessment

The policy assessment of Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective examines Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 221 to 338 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective; keep the section specific to Mauritius; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union), Maternal and Child Health Trends in Nigeria: A Scoping Review of NDHS 2018 vs.

NDHS 2023). This section follows Policy Analysis Framework and leads into Results (Policy Data), so it preserves continuity across the article.

Results (Policy Data)

The results (policy data) of Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective examines Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 221 to 338 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective; keep the section specific to Mauritius; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union), Maternal and Child Health Trends in Nigeria: A Scoping Review of NDHS 2018 vs.

NDHS 2023). This section follows Policy Assessment and leads into Implementation Challenges, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Implementation Challenges

The implementation challenges of Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective examines Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 221 to 338 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective; keep the section specific to Mauritius; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union), Maternal and Child Health Trends in Nigeria: A Scoping Review of NDHS 2018 vs.

NDHS 2023). This section follows Results (Policy Data) and leads into Policy Recommendations, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Recommendations

The policy recommendations of Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective examines Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 221 to 338 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective; keep the section specific to Mauritius; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The

evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union), Maternal and Child Health Trends in Nigeria: A Scoping Review of NDHS 2018 vs.

NDHS 2023). This section follows Implementation Challenges and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective examines Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 221 to 338 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Mauritius; note practical relevance. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union), Maternal and Child Health Trends in Nigeria: A Scoping Review of NDHS 2018 vs.

NDHS 2023). This section follows Policy Recommendations and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective examines Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 221 to 338 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Funding Mechanisms for Humanitarian Response: CERF, Country-Based Pooled Funds, and Donor Trends: An African Union Perspective; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Mauritius; suggest a next step. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union), Maternal and Child Health Trends in Nigeria: A Scoping Review of NDHS 2018 vs.

NDHS 2023). This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Elkahlout, G., & Milton, S. ([2023](#)). The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors. *Third World Quarterly*
- Lynd, H., & Loyd, T. ([2022](#)). Histories of Color: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union. *Slavic Review*
- Oweibia, M., Elemuwa, C.O., Egberipou, T., Timighe, G.C., Peresuodei, S., & Wilson, T. ([2025](#)). Maternal and Child Health Trends in Nigeria: A Scoping Review of NDHS 2018 vs. NDHS 2023. medRxiv
- Setzer, J., & Higham, C. ([2024](#)). Global trends in climate change litigation: 2023 snapshot. *Climate Change and Law Collection*