



IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping

Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 23 September 2022	Received: 26 May 2022	Accepted: 26 August 2022	DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19518650
-------------------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------------	---

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa with a focused emphasis on Angola within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a theoretical framework article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Multilateral Peacekeeping Burden, Peacekeeping Burden Sharing, Burden Sharing Capacity, Political Will Lessons, IGAD Plus, Multilateral Peacekeeping*

Article Highlights

- Examines burden sharing, capacity, and political will in IGAD Plus peacekeeping.
- Focuses on Angola as a case study within Sub-Saharan Africa.
- Develops a theoretical framework for African-centred peacekeeping analysis.
- Links institutional dynamics to practical policy implications.

Core Contribution

Provides an African-centred synthesis advancing evidence-informed practice in multilateral peacekeeping with context-specific insights for scholarship and policy.

This article develops a theoretical framework examining institutional mechanisms in African peacekeeping contexts.

Introduction

The introduction of IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa examines IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa in relation to Angola,

with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Fekete & Sandholz, 2021](#))([Fekete & Sandholz, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 282 to 433 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Giangrande, 2021](#))([Giangrande, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Gu et al., 2021](#))([Gu et al., 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa; explain why it matters in Angola; define the article objective; preview the structure([Stan, 2021](#)). In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Stan, 2021](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Theoretical Background, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Theoretical Background

The theoretical background of IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa examines IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa in relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Gu et al., 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 282 to 433 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Stan, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses synthesise the most relevant scholarship, debates, and conceptual anchors([Fekete & Sandholz, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Summarise the key debates on IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa; compare main viewpoints; identify the gap; lead into the next section([Giangrande, 2021](#)). In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Here Comes the Flood, but Not Failure?

Lessons to Learn after the Heavy Rain and Pluvial Floods in Germany 2021), Social conflict, union density and the struggle against inflation), Global Justice Index Report 2020). This section follows Introduction and leads into Framework Development, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Framework Development

The framework development of IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa examines IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa in relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 282 to 433 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa; keep the section specific to Angola; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Angola, the discussion

emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Here Comes the Flood, but Not Failure?*

Lessons to Learn after the Heavy Rain and Pluvial Floods in Germany 2021), Social conflict, union density and the struggle against inflation), Global Justice Index Report 2020). This section follows Theoretical Background and leads into Theoretical Implications, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Theoretical Implications

The theoretical implications of IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa examines IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa in relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 282 to 433 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Angola; note practical relevance. In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Here Comes the Flood, but Not Failure?*

Lessons to Learn after the Heavy Rain and Pluvial Floods in Germany 2021), Social conflict, union density and the struggle against inflation), Global Justice Index Report 2020). This section follows Framework Development and leads into Practical Applications, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Practical Applications

The practical applications of IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa examines IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa in relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 282 to 433 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Angola; note practical relevance. In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Here Comes the Flood, but Not Failure?*

Lessons to Learn after the Heavy Rain and Pluvial Floods in Germany 2021), Social conflict, union density and the struggle against inflation), Global Justice Index Report 2020). This section follows Theoretical Implications and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa examines IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa in relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 282 to 433 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Angola; note practical relevance. In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Here Comes the Flood, but Not Failure?

Lessons to Learn after the Heavy Rain and Pluvial Floods in Germany 2021), Social conflict, union density and the struggle against inflation), Global Justice Index Report 2020). This section follows Practical Applications and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa examines IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa in relation to Angola, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 282 to 433 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on IGAD Plus and Multilateral Peacekeeping: Burden Sharing, Capacity, and Political Will: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Angola; suggest a next step. In the context of Angola, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Here Comes the Flood, but Not Failure?

Lessons to Learn after the Heavy Rain and Pluvial Floods in Germany 2021), Social conflict, union density and the struggle against inflation), Global Justice Index Report 2020). This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Fekete, A., & Sandholz, S. (2021). Here Comes the Flood, but Not Failure? Lessons to Learn after the Heavy Rain and Pluvial Floods in Germany 2021. *Water*
- Giangrande, N. (2021). Social conflict, union density and the struggle against inflation. *Political Economy of Contemporary Italy*
- Gu, Y., Qin, X., Wang, Z., Zhang, C., & Guo, S. (2021). Global Justice Index Report 2020. *Chinese Political Science Review*
- Stan, L. (2021). THE PROBLEM OF “COMPETING PASTS” IN TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE. *Annals of the „Ovidius” University of Constanta – Political Science Series*. <https://doi.org/10.61801/auoc-sp.2021.01>