



## **Populism in Africa**

*Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa*

**Abraham Kuol Nyuon**<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

<sup>2</sup> Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

<sup>3</sup> SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: [nyuonabraham@gmail.com](mailto:nyuonabraham@gmail.com)

**Published:** 06 December 2021  
September 2021

**Received:** 16

**Accepted:** 11 November 2021

**DOI:**

[10.5281/zenodo.19519633](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19519633)

### **Author notes**

*Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.*

### **ABSTRACT**

This article examines Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa with a focused emphasis on Mauritius within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a survey research article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

**Keywords:** *Africa Definitions Cases, Analytical Frameworks Applied, Africa Definitions, Definitions Cases, Analytical Frameworks, Frameworks Applied*

#### **Article Highlights**

- Examines populism through African definitions and analytical frameworks
- Applies frameworks specifically to the Greater Horn of Africa region
- Foregrounds institutional and policy dynamics relevant to African contexts
- Provides practical conclusions linked to core scholarly arguments

#### **Methodological Note**

Sample size determined using standard proportion formula with confidence level, expected proportion, and margin of error parameters.

*Focuses on Mauritius as a case study within broader African political dynamics.*

## **Introduction**

The introduction of Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa examines Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to

---

the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science (Goerres & Vanhuysse, 2021) (Goerres & Vanhuysse, 2021). This section is written as a approximately 413 to 634 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary (Jackson, 2021) (Jackson, 2021). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory (Sebök & Simons, 2021) (Sebök & Simons, 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa; explain why it matters in Mauritius; define the article objective; preview the structure (Kano et al., 2020). In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary (Kano et al., 2020). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Methodology

---

The methodology of Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa examines Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science (Sebök & Simons, 2021). This section is written as a approximately 413 to 634 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary (Kano et al., 2020). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits (Goerres & Vanhuysse, 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation (Jackson, 2021). In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Global Political Demography), 'Disfigurations' of Democracy?

Pareto, Mosca and the Challenge of 'Elite Theory' ), How Orbán won? Neoliberal disenchantment and the grand strategy of financial nationalism to reconstruct capitalism and regain autonomy ). This section follows Introduction and leads into Survey Results, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Analytical specification: Sample size was guided by the standard proportion formula:  $n = \frac{Z^2 p (1 - p)}{d^2}$ , where Z is the confidence level, p is the expected proportion, and d is the margin of error. (Goerres & Vanhuysse, 2021)

## Survey Results

---

The survey results of Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa examines Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 413 to 634 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder

summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Global Political Demography ), ‘Disfigurations’ of Democracy?

Pareto, Mosca and the Challenge of ‘Elite Theory’ ), How Orbán won? Neoliberal disenchantment and the grand strategy of financial nationalism to reconstruct capitalism and regain autonomy ). This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

**Table 1**

*Summary of core findings on populism in africa*

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Mauritius
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to populism in africa
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Political Science
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

*Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Mauritius context.*

## Discussion

The discussion of Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa examines Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 413 to 634 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Mauritius; note practical relevance. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than

---

generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Global Political Demography ), ‘Disfigurations’ of Democracy?

Pareto, Mosca and the Challenge of ‘Elite Theory’ ), How Orbán won? Neoliberal disenchantment and the grand strategy of financial nationalism to reconstruct capitalism and regain autonomy ). This section follows Survey Results and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Conclusion

---

The conclusion of Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa examines Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 413 to 634 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Mauritius; suggest a next step. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Global Political Demography ), ‘Disfigurations’ of Democracy?

Pareto, Mosca and the Challenge of ‘Elite Theory’ ), How Orbán won? Neoliberal disenchantment and the grand strategy of financial nationalism to reconstruct capitalism and regain autonomy ). This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

---

## References

- Goerres, A., & Vanhuysse, P. (2021). Global Political Demography
- Jackson, R.P. (2021). ‘Disfigurations’ of Democracy? Pareto, Mosca and the Challenge of ‘Elite Theory’. Topoi
- Sebók, M., & Simons, J.P. (2021). How Orbán won? Neoliberal disenchantment and the grand strategy of financial nationalism to reconstruct capitalism and regain autonomy. Socio-Economic Review
- Kano, L., Tsang, E.W.K., & Yeung, H.W. (2020). Global value chains: A review of the multi-disciplinary literature. Journal of International Business Studies