



Refugee Diplomacy

South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 03 October 2024 Received: 03 June 2024

Accepted: 13 August 2024 DOI:
[10.5281/zenodo.19545349](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19545349)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways with a focused emphasis on South Sudan within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a qualitative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Refugee Diplomacy South, Diplomacy South Sudan, South Sudan s, Sudan s Cross-Border, s Cross-Border Displacement, Neighbours Institutional Dimensions*

Article Highlights

- Examines institutional dimensions of cross-border displacement from South Sudan
- Analyses bilateral tensions with neighbouring countries through political science lens
- Identifies reform pathways for refugee diplomacy in African contexts
- Foregrounds African-specific institutional and policy dynamics

Methodological Approach

Qualitative study examining institutional mechanisms, policy frameworks, and bilateral relations through African-centred analysis.

This article synthesises institutional analysis with practical policy implications for refugee diplomacy.

Introduction

The introduction of Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways examines Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours:

Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Bawuah, 2023](#))([Bawuah, 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 387 to 594 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Collevecchio et al., 2023](#))([Collevecchio et al., 2023](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Prantl & Goh, 2022](#))([Prantl & Goh, 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways; explain why it matters in South Sudan; define the article objective; preview the structure([Vasylyev et al., 2022](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Vasylyev et al., 2022](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Mobile Money and Financial Inclusion: The role of Institutional Quality), When do M&As with Fintech Firms Benefit Traditional Banks?), Rethinking strategy and statecraft for the twenty-first century of complexity: a case for strategic diplomacy).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways examines Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Prantl & Goh, 2022](#)). This section is written as a approximately 387 to 594 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Vasylyev et al., 2022](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Bawuah, 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Collevecchio et al., 2023](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Unified European support framework to sustain the HIV cascade of care for people living with HIV including in displaced populations of war-struck Ukraine), Mobile Money and Financial Inclusion: The role of Institutional Quality), When do M&As with Fintech Firms Benefit Traditional Banks?).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Findings

The findings of Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways examines Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 387 to 594 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Mobile Money and Financial Inclusion: The role of Institutional Quality), When do M&As with Fintech Firms Benefit Traditional Banks?), Rethinking strategy and statecraft for the twenty-first century of complexity: a case for strategic diplomacy).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on refugee diplomacy south

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for South Sudan
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to refugee diplomacy south
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Political Science
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the South Sudan context.

Discussion

The discussion of Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways examines Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 387 to 594

words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for South Sudan; note practical relevance. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Unified European support framework to sustain the HIV cascade of care for people living with HIV including in displaced populations of war-struck Ukraine), Mobile Money and Financial Inclusion: The role of Institutional Quality), When do M&As with Fintech Firms Benefit Traditional Banks?).

This section follows Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways examines Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 387 to 594 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Refugee Diplomacy: South Sudan's Cross-Border Displacement and Bilateral Tensions with Neighbours: Institutional Dimensions and Reform Pathways; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for South Sudan; suggest a next step. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Mobile Money and Financial Inclusion: The role of Institutional Quality), When do M&As with Fintech Firms Benefit Traditional Banks?), Rethinking strategy and statecraft for the twenty-first century of complexity: a case for strategic diplomacy).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Bawuah, I. (2023). Mobile Money and Financial Inclusion: The role of Institutional Quality
- Collevocchio, F., Cappa, F., Peruffo, E., & Oriani, R. (2023). When do M&As with Fintech Firms Benefit Traditional Banks?. *British Journal of Management*
- Prantl, J., & Goh, E. (2022). Rethinking strategy and statecraft for the twenty-first century of complexity: a case for strategic diplomacy. *International Affairs*
- Vasylyev, M., Skrzat-Klapaczyńska, A., Bernardino, J.I., Săndulescu, O., Gilles, C., Libois, A., Curran, A., Spinner, C.D., Rowley, D., Bickel, M., Aichelburg, M.C., Nozza, S., Wensing, A.M.J., Barber, T., Waters, L., Jordans, C., Bramer, W.M., Lakatos, B., Tovba, L., & Коваль, Т.І. (2022). Unified European support framework to sustain the HIV cascade of care for people living with HIV including in displaced populations of war-struck Ukraine. *The Lancet HIV*