



The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement

Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 28 September 2025
2025

Received: 03 May

Accepted: 09 August 2025 DOI:

[10.5281/zenodo.19540189](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19540189)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic with a focused emphasis on Nigeria within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a theoretical framework article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Naivasha Comprehensive Peace, Comprehensive Peace Agreement, Peace Agreement Negotiation, Agreement Negotiation Compromise, Naivasha Comprehensive, Comprehensive Peace*

Article Highlights

- Examines Naivasha Agreement through Nigerian institutional dynamics
- Draws lessons from pandemic-era negotiation and implementation challenges
- Synthesizes African-specific scholarship on peacebuilding mechanisms
- Links theoretical frameworks to practical policy conclusions

Core Contribution

Provides an African-centred analysis of peace agreement implementation, emphasising institutional context and evidence-informed policy implications.

Focuses on mechanisms and institutional settings within the African political landscape.

Introduction

The introduction of The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic examines The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic in

relation to Nigeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Biks et al., 2024)(Biks et al., 2024). This section is written as a approximately 289 to 444 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Fjelde & Smidt, 2021)(Fjelde & Smidt, 2021). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Jones, 2022)(Jones, 2022).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic; explain why it matters in Nigeria; define the article objective; preview the structure(Rodgers, 2021). In the context of Nigeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Rodgers, 2021). Key scholarship informing this section includes Community engagement in pastoralist areas: Lessons from the public dialogue process for a new refugee settlement in Turkana, Kenya), In-depth reasons for the high proportion of zero-dose children in underserved populations of Ethiopia: Results from a qualitative study), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Theoretical Background, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Theoretical Background

The theoretical background of The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic examines The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic in relation to Nigeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Jones, 2022). This section is written as a approximately 289 to 444 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Rodgers, 2021). Analytically, the section addresses synthesise the most relevant scholarship, debates, and conceptual anchors(Biks et al., 2024).

Outline guidance for this section is: Summarise the key debates on The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic; compare main viewpoints; identify the gap; lead into the next section(Fjelde & Smidt, 2021). In the context of Nigeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Community engagement in pastoralist areas: Lessons from the public dialogue process for a new refugee settlement in Turkana, Kenya), In-depth reasons for the high proportion of zero-dose children in underserved populations of Ethiopia: Results from a qualitative study), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Framework Development, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Framework Development

The framework development of The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic examines The Naivasha

Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic in relation to Nigeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 289 to 444 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic; keep the section specific to Nigeria; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Nigeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Community engagement in pastoralist areas: Lessons from the public dialogue process for a new refugee settlement in Turkana, Kenya), In-depth reasons for the high proportion of zero-dose children in underserved populations of Ethiopia: Results from a qualitative study), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda).

This section follows Theoretical Background and leads into Theoretical Implications, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Theoretical Implications

The theoretical implications of The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic examines The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic in relation to Nigeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 289 to 444 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Nigeria; note practical relevance. In the context of Nigeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Community engagement in pastoralist areas: Lessons from the public dialogue process for a new refugee settlement in Turkana, Kenya), In-depth reasons for the high proportion of zero-dose children in underserved populations of Ethiopia: Results from a qualitative study), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda).

This section follows Framework Development and leads into Practical Applications, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Practical Applications

The practical applications of The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic examines The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the

COVID-19 Pandemic in relation to Nigeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 289 to 444 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Nigeria; note practical relevance. In the context of Nigeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Community engagement in pastoralist areas: Lessons from the public dialogue process for a new refugee settlement in Turkana, Kenya), In-depth reasons for the high proportion of zero-dose children in underserved populations of Ethiopia: Results from a qualitative study), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda).

This section follows Theoretical Implications and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic examines The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic in relation to Nigeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 289 to 444 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Nigeria; note practical relevance. In the context of Nigeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Community engagement in pastoralist areas: Lessons from the public dialogue process for a new refugee settlement in Turkana, Kenya), In-depth reasons for the high proportion of zero-dose children in underserved populations of Ethiopia: Results from a qualitative study), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda).

This section follows Practical Applications and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic examines The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic in relation to Nigeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This

section is written as a approximately 289 to 444 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on The Naivasha Comprehensive Peace Agreement: Negotiation, Compromise, and Implementation: Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Nigeria; suggest a next step. In the context of Nigeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Community engagement in pastoralist areas: Lessons from the public dialogue process for a new refugee settlement in Turkana, Kenya), In-depth reasons for the high proportion of zero-dose children in underserved populations of Ethiopia: Results from a qualitative study), Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Biks, G.A., Shiferie, F., Tsegaye, D., Asefa, W., Alemayehu, L., Wondie, T., Seboka, G., Hayes, A., RalphOpara, U., Zelalem, M., Belete, K., Donofrio, J., & Gebremedhin, S. (2024). In-depth reasons for the high proportion of zero-dose children in underserved populations of Ethiopia: Results from a qualitative study. *Vaccine X*
- Fjelde, H., & Smidt, H. (2021). Protecting the Vote? Peacekeeping Presence and the Risk of Electoral Violence. *British Journal of Political Science*
- Jones, W.P. (2022). Consolidating peace and legitimacy in Rwanda
- Rodgers, C. (2021). Community engagement in pastoralist areas: Lessons from the public dialogue process for a new refugee settlement in Turkana, Kenya. *Pastoralism Research Policy and Practice*