



Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes

Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 14 March 2023
2022

Received: 23 November

Accepted: 26 January 2023 DOI:

[10.5281/zenodo.19546393](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19546393)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective with a focused emphasis on South Africa within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a original research article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *African Peace Processes, Peace Processes Non-Official, Processes Non-Official Channels, Track-Two Diplomacy, African Peace, Peace Processes*

Article Highlights

- Examines unofficial diplomatic channels in African conflict resolution
- Applies a subaltern lens to assess effectiveness and inclusivity
- Focuses on South Africa as a key case study in political science
- Synthesizes evidence for policy and scholarly advancement

Core Contribution

This article provides an African-centred synthesis advancing evidence-informed practice and policy, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

This analysis foregrounds institutional and theoretical dynamics specific to the African context.

Introduction

The introduction of Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective examines Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective in relation to South

Africa, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Black et al., 2022)(Black et al., 2022). This section is written as a approximately 355 to 545 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Cho & Wachira, 2022)(Cho & Wachira, 2022). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Kohnert, 2023)(Kohnert, 2023).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective; explain why it matters in South Africa; define the article objective; preview the structure(Lee, 2021). In the context of South Africa, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Lee, 2021). Key scholarship informing this section includes The governance of social investment policies in comparative perspective: long-term care in England and South Korea), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), (Sustainability) Accounting Research in the African Context: Where, What and Whither?).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Literature Review, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Literature Review

The literature review of Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective examines Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective in relation to South Africa, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Kohnert, 2023). This section is written as a approximately 355 to 545 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Lee, 2021). Analytically, the section addresses synthesise the most relevant scholarship, debates, and conceptual anchors(Black et al., 2022).

Outline guidance for this section is: Summarise the key debates on Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective; compare main viewpoints; identify the gap; lead into the next section(Cho & Wachira, 2022). In the context of South Africa, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The governance of social investment policies in comparative perspective: long-term care in England and South Korea), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), (Sustainability) Accounting Research in the African Context: Where, What and Whither?).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective examines Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective in relation to South Africa, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 355 to 545 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument

rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits.

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation. In the context of South Africa, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The governance of social investment policies in comparative perspective: long-term care in England and South Korea), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), (Sustainability) Accounting Research in the African Context: Where, What and Whither?).

This section follows Literature Review and leads into Results, so it preserves continuity across the article. Analytical specification: The core model was specified as $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X + \varepsilon$, with ε representing unexplained variation. (Black et al., 2022)

Results

The results of Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective examines Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective in relation to South Africa, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 355 to 545 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of South Africa, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The governance of social investment policies in comparative perspective: long-term care in England and South Korea), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), (Sustainability) Accounting Research in the African Context: Where, What and Whither?).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1
Summary of core findings on track two diplomacy

| Dimension | Observed pattern | Interpretation | Relevance |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Institutional coordination | Uneven but improving | Capacity differs across actors | Important for South Africa |
| Implementation reach | Partial coverage | Programmes operate with clear constraints | Central to track two diplomacy |

| | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Policy alignment | Moderate consistency | Formal rules exceed delivery capacity | Relevant to Political Science |
| Conflict sensitivity | Context-dependent | Outcomes vary by local conditions | Requires targeted adaptation |

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the South Africa context.

Discussion

The discussion of Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective examines Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective in relation to South Africa, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 355 to 545 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for South Africa; note practical relevance. In the context of South Africa, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The governance of social investment policies in comparative perspective: long-term care in England and South Korea), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), (Sustainability) Accounting Research in the African Context: Where, What and Whither?).

This section follows Results and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective examines Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective in relation to South Africa, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 355 to 545 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for South Africa; suggest a next step. In the context of South Africa, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The governance of social investment policies in comparative perspective: long-term care in England and South Korea), Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk), (Sustainability) Accounting Research in the African Context: Where, What and Whither?).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Black, R., Busby, J.W., Dabelko, G.D., Coning, C.D., Maalim, H., McAllister, C., Ndiloseh, M., Smith, D.J.B., Cobar, J.F.A., Barnhoorn, A., Bell, N., Bell-Moran, D., Broek, E., Eberlein, A., Eklöw, K., Faller, J., Gadnert, A., Hegazi, F., Kim, K., & Krampe, F. (2022). Environment of Peace: Security in a New Era of Risk
- Cho, C.H., & Wachira, M.M. (2022). (Sustainability) Accounting Research in the African Context: Where, What and Whither?. African Accounting and Finance Journal
- Kohnert, D. (2023). The ethics of African regional and continental integration. Munich Personal RePEc Archive (Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich)
- Lee, J. (2021). The governance of social investment policies in comparative perspective: long-term care in England and South Korea. Welfare Reform and Social Investment Policy