



Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa

Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 12 March 2024
Received: 10 October 2023

Accepted: 25 January 2024
DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.19541280](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19541280)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change with a focused emphasis on Liberia within the field of Political Science. It is structured as an ethnographic study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Africa Force Design, Force Design Mandate, Design Mandate Clarity, Political Enablement Power, Enablement Power Agency, Peacekeeping Effectiveness*

Article Highlights

- Examines peacekeeping effectiveness through force design, mandate clarity, and political enablement.
- Focuses on Liberia as a case study within the African political context.
- Uses ethnographic methodology to analyse institutional and policy dynamics.
- Links analytical findings to practical conclusions for peacekeeping operations.

Core Contribution

Provides an African-centred framework connecting force design, mandate clarity, and political enablement to structural change in peacekeeping effectiveness.

This ethnographic study foregrounds institutional mechanisms specific to the African context.

Introduction

The introduction of Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change examines Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change in relation to Liberia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political

Science([Alwan et al., 2023](#))([Alwan et al., 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 337 to 518 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Farooq et al., 2022](#))([Farooq et al., 2022](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Fjelde & Smidt, 2021](#))([Fjelde & Smidt, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change; explain why it matters in Liberia; define the article objective; preview the structure([Setzer & Higham, 2024](#)). In the context of Liberia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Setzer & Higham, 2024](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Country readiness and prerequisites for successful design and transition to implementation of essential packages of health services: experience from six countries), Uncovering the Research Gaps to Alleviate the Negative Impacts of Climate Change on Food Security: A Review), Protecting the Vote?

Peacekeeping Presence and the Risk of Electoral Violence). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on peacekeeping effectiveness in

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Liberia
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to peacekeeping effectiveness in
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Political Science
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Liberia context.

Methodology

The methodology of Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change examines Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change in relation to Liberia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Fjelde & Smidt, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 337 to 518 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Setzer & Higham, 2024](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Alwan et al., 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation (Farooq et al., 2022). In the context of Liberia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Country readiness and prerequisites for successful design and transition to implementation of essential packages of health services: experience from six countries), Uncovering the Research Gaps to Alleviate the Negative Impacts of Climate Change on Food Security: A Review), Protecting the Vote?

Peacekeeping Presence and the Risk of Electoral Violence). This section follows Introduction and leads into Ethnographic Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Ethnographic Findings

The ethnographic findings of Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change examines Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change in relation to Liberia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 337 to 518 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change; keep the section specific to Liberia; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Liberia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Country readiness and prerequisites for successful design and transition to implementation of essential packages of health services: experience from six countries), Uncovering the Research Gaps to Alleviate the Negative Impacts of Climate Change on Food Security: A Review), Protecting the Vote?

Peacekeeping Presence and the Risk of Electoral Violence). This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change examines Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change in relation to Liberia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 337 to 518 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Liberia; note practical relevance. In the

context of Liberia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Country readiness and prerequisites for successful design and transition to implementation of essential packages of health services: experience from six countries), Uncovering the Research Gaps to Alleviate the Negative Impacts of Climate Change on Food Security: A Review), Protecting the Vote?

Peacekeeping Presence and the Risk of Electoral Violence). This section follows Ethnographic Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change examines Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change in relation to Liberia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 337 to 518 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Peacekeeping Effectiveness in Africa: Force Design, Mandate Clarity, and Political Enablement: Power, Agency, and Structural Change; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Liberia; suggest a next step. In the context of Liberia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Country readiness and prerequisites for successful design and transition to implementation of essential packages of health services: experience from six countries), Uncovering the Research Gaps to Alleviate the Negative Impacts of Climate Change on Food Security: A Review), Protecting the Vote?

Peacekeeping Presence and the Risk of Electoral Violence). This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Alwan, A., Majdzadeh, R., Yamey, G., Blanchet, K., Hailu, A., Jama, M., Johansson, K.A., Musa, M.Y.A., Mwalim, O., Norheim, O.F., Safi, N., Siddiqi, S., & Zaidi, R. (2023). Country readiness and prerequisites for successful design and transition to implementation of essential packages of health services: experience from six countries. *BMJ Global Health*
- Farooq, M.S., Uzair, M., Raza, A., Habib, M., Xu, Y., Yousuf, M., Yang, S.H., & Khan, M.R. (2022). Uncovering the Research Gaps to Alleviate the Negative Impacts of Climate Change on Food Security: A Review. *Frontiers in Plant Science*

-
- Fjelde, H., & Smidt, H. (2021). Protecting the Vote? Peacekeeping Presence and the Risk of Electoral Violence. *British Journal of Political Science*
- Setzer, J., & Higham, C. (2024). Global trends in climate change litigation: 2023 snapshot. *Climate Change and Law Collection*