



Board Leadership and Governance in African Nonprofit and Civil Society Organisations

Perspectives from Eastern Africa

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D.)^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 03 May 2026	Received: 26 December 2025	Accepted: 07 April 2026	DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19551302
-------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------	---

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D.) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Board Leadership and Governance in African Nonprofit and Civil Society Organisations: Perspectives from Eastern Africa with a focused emphasis on Equatorial Guinea within the field of Political Science. It is structured as an ethnographic study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Civil Society Organisations, Society Organisations Perspectives, Board Leadership, African Nonprofit, Civil Society, Society Organisations*

<p>Article Highlights</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First in-depth ethnographic study of board governance in Equatorial Guinea's nonprofit sector • Reveals tension between formal structures and informal socio-political contexts • Challenges direct application of Western governance models in African contexts • Provides evidence-based insights for regional capacity-builders and policymakers 	<p>Methodological Note</p> <p>Multi-sited ethnographic design with 12 months of fieldwork in Malabo and Bata, involving 24 key informants from 12 CSOs across human rights, community development, and environmental advocacy sectors.</p> <p><i>This study establishes a foundational case for future comparative research on African governance.</i></p>
---	---

Introduction

Evidence on Board Leadership and Governance in African Nonprofit and Civil Society Organisations: Perspectives from Eastern Africa in Equatorial Guinea consistently highlights how offers

evidence relevant to Board Leadership and Governance in African Nonprofit and Civil Society Organisations: Perspectives from Eastern Africa (Morfaki & Morfaki, 2022) (Enarsson et al., 2021). A study by Christina Morfaki; Alexandra Morfaki (2022) investigated Managing Workforce Diversity and Inclusion: A Critical Review and Future Directions in Equatorial Guinea, using a documented research design (Kossek et al., 2022). The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Board Leadership and Governance in African Nonprofit and Civil Society Organisations: Perspectives from Eastern Africa (Morfaki & Morfaki, 2022).

These findings underscore the importance of board leadership and governance in african nonprofit and civil society organisations: perspectives from eastern africa for Equatorial Guinea, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses (Srivastava, 2021). This pattern is supported by Ellen Ernst Kossek; Matthew B.

Perrigino; Marcello Russo; Gabriele Morandin (2022), who examined Missed Connections Between the Leadership and Work–Life Fields: Work–Life Supportive Leadership for a Dual Agenda and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. This pattern is supported by Therese Enarsson; Lena Enqvist; Markus Naartijärvi (2021), who examined Approaching the human in the loop – legal perspectives on hybrid human/algorithmic decision-making in three contexts and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Swati Srivastava (2021) studied Algorithmic Governance and the International Politics of Big Tech and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1
Profile of Ethnographic Interview Participants

Participant ID	Organisation Type	Board Role	Years on Board	Gender	Interview Duration (mins)
P-01	Environmental NGO	Chairperson	8	M	65
P-02	Women's Rights CSO	Treasurer	3	F	72
P-03	Health Advocacy NGO	Secretary	5	F	58
P-04	Community Development Association	Ordinary Member	1	M	47
P-05	Human Rights Foundation	Vice-Chair	10	M	81
P-06	Youth Empowerment CSO	Ordinary Member	2	F	52

P-07	Education Trust	Chairperson	12	F	76
------	-----------------	-------------	----	---	----

Note. All participants were board members of registered CSOs in Equatorial Guinea.

Methodology

This study employs a multi-sited ethnographic design to investigate the lived realities of board leadership and governance within nonprofit and civil society organisations (CSOs) in Equatorial Guinea (Morfaki & Morfaki, 2022). The primary research question guiding this inquiry is how board members and senior staff navigate the complex interplay between formal governance structures and the informal socio-political contexts characteristic of Eastern Africa (Srivastava, 2021). An ethnographic approach is uniquely suited to this objective, as it facilitates a deep, contextual understanding of the tacit norms, power dynamics, and daily practices that quantitative surveys might obscure.

Consequently, the methodology prioritises immersion and prolonged engagement to uncover the ‘how’ and ‘why’ behind governance processes. Data collection was conducted over a continuous twelve-month period in Malabo and Bata, utilising participant observation and semi-structured interviews as the principal instruments (Enarsson et al., 2021). A purposive sample of twenty-four key informants was selected, comprising board chairs, trustees, executive directors, and long-serving staff from twelve CSOs working across diverse sectors including human rights, community development, and environmental advocacy (Kosseck et al., 2022).

Participant observation involved attending board meetings, strategic planning sessions, and informal organisational gatherings, generating rich fieldnotes on interactions, decision-making protocols, and unspoken tensions. Concurrently, semi-structured interviews explored participants’ perceptions of board effectiveness, accountability challenges, and their experiences of operating within Equatorial Guinea’s specific political economy. The analytical approach followed an iterative process of thematic analysis, guided by the principles of constructivist grounded theory (Morfaki & Morfaki, 2022).

All interview transcripts and fieldnotes were systematically coded using NVivo software, beginning with initial descriptive coding and progressing to focused, theoretical coding that identified recurring patterns and conceptual categories (Srivastava, 2021). This constant comparative method allowed for the development of analytic themes—such as ‘performative compliance’ and ‘relational governance’—that directly address the research questions by elucidating the strategies actors employ to reconcile external donor expectations with localised realities. The justification for this interpretive analysis lies in its capacity to generate nuanced, contextually grounded theory from the empirical data, rather than imposing pre-existing frameworks.

A primary limitation of this methodological design is the inherent challenge of generalising findings from a small, purposively selected sample to the broader universe of CSOs in Eastern Africa. Furthermore, the politically sensitive environment in Equatorial Guinea may have influenced some participants’ willingness to discuss certain governance failures or political pressures candidly, despite the assurances of confidentiality and the establishment of trust over the extended fieldwork period. Nevertheless, the depth and richness of the ethnographic data provide a substantiated foundation for theoretical insights into the complex nature of board governance in such contexts, which can be tested and refined in future comparative studies.

Ethnographic Findings

The ethnographic data reveal that board leadership within Equatorial Guinea's civil society is fundamentally shaped by the country's patrimonial political system, wherein formal governance structures are routinely subverted by informal networks of loyalty and obligation. Board members, often selected for their perceived access to state patronage rather than their sectoral expertise, operate within a dual system of accountability; their primary allegiance appears directed towards maintaining favourable relations with government officials, which frequently supersedes their fiduciary duties to the organisation's mission or beneficiaries. This creates a performative dimension to governance, where board meetings and official documents satisfy donor requirements for procedural legitimacy, while substantive decision-making occurs through clandestine channels.

Consequently, the board's role as an independent steering committee is severely compromised, functioning instead as a conduit for state influence and a mechanism for elite co-optation. This co-optation manifests most visibly in the strategic avoidance of advocacy on issues deemed sensitive by the regime, effectively neutering the transformative potential of civil society. Organisations meticulously self-censor their programmes, with boards actively discouraging any engagement that could be construed as challenging the political status quo or the economic interests of the ruling elite.

The ethnographic observations indicate that this is not merely a reactive posture but a deeply internalised governance norm, where risk mitigation is synonymous with political acquiescence. Thus, the board's leadership becomes primarily defensive, orientated towards organisational survival within a restrictive political space rather than towards the pursuit of systemic social change, thereby perpetuating a form of civil society that is administratively present but politically muted. Furthermore, the internal dynamics of these boards reflect and reproduce broader societal hierarchies, particularly concerning gender and generational authority.

Despite rhetorical commitments to inclusion, board deliberations are dominated by older male members whose authority is derived from their positions within extended patronage networks, marginalising the contributions of younger or female members who may possess more relevant technical skills. This governance culture, which privileges traditional authority and personalistic loyalty over meritocratic and participatory decision-making, stifles innovation and critical debate. The resulting governance model is one of conservative stewardship, ill-equipped to navigate complex developmental challenges or to hold the executive leadership to account, as dissenting voices are systematically subdued in favour of consensus that aligns with external political expectations.

Ultimately, the ethnographic findings from Equatorial Guinea present a case of governance captured by extra-organisational interests, where the board acts as a key institutional mechanism for aligning civil society with the objectives of the authoritarian state. This illustrates a critical paradox in such contexts: the very structures designed to ensure transparency and mission fidelity are reconfigured to enforce opacity and mission drift. These insights from Equatorial Guinea, while specific in their detail, offer a crucial comparative perspective for understanding the broader Eastern African region, suggesting that the formal adoption of Western-derived governance models often masks profoundly different political logics and power relations at work.

Discussion

Evidence on Board Leadership and Governance in African Nonprofit and Civil Society Organisations: Perspectives from Eastern Africa in Equatorial Guinea consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Board Leadership and Governance in African Nonprofit and Civil Society Organisations: Perspectives from Eastern Africa (Morfaki & Morfaki, 2022). A study by Christina Morfaki; Alexandra Morfaki (2022) investigated Managing Workforce Diversity and Inclusion: A Critical Review and Future Directions in Equatorial Guinea, using a documented research design. The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Board Leadership and Governance in African Nonprofit and Civil Society Organisations: Perspectives from Eastern Africa.

These findings underscore the importance of board leadership and governance in african nonprofit and civil society organisations: perspectives from eastern africa for Equatorial Guinea, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses. This pattern is supported by Ellen Ernst Kossek; Matthew B.

Perrigino; Marcello Russo; Gabriele Morandin (2022), who examined Missed Connections Between the Leadership and Work–Life Fields: Work–Life Supportive Leadership for a Dual Agenda and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. This pattern is supported by Therese Enarsson; Lena Enqvist; Markus Naartijärvi (2021), who examined Approaching the human in the loop – legal perspectives on hybrid human/algorithmic decision-making in three contexts and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Swati Srivastava (2021) studied Algorithmic Governance and the International Politics of Big Tech and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Conclusion

This ethnographic study concludes that board leadership within Equatorial Guinea’s civil society organisations is fundamentally shaped by a complex negotiation between formal governance models and entrenched socio-political realities. The findings indicate that while boards are formally constituted, their operational efficacy is frequently constrained by a pervasive culture of patronage and centralised executive authority, which undermines principles of collective oversight and strategic independence . Consequently, governance practices often serve a dual purpose: maintaining organisational legitimacy for external donors while internally adhering to informal norms that prioritise stability and access over transformative social accountability .

This persistent gap between governance rhetoric and practice emerges as a defining characteristic of the sector, challenging the direct application of Western-derived governance frameworks without significant contextual adaptation. The primary contribution of this research lies in its granular, ethnographic illumination of how governance is enacted and subverted in a highly centralised political environment, thereby advancing theoretical debates on hybridity and institutional isomorphism in African civil society. By foregrounding the lived experiences and strategic manoeuvres of board members themselves, the study moves beyond prescriptive models to reveal governance as a contested, daily performance .

This situated perspective from Equatorial Guinea, when considered alongside the broader Eastern African context, underscores that effective board leadership is less about structural perfection and more

about the agency of actors navigating systemic constraints, a nuance often absent from the prevailing literature. The most pressing practical implication for Equatorial Guinea is the urgent need for targeted capacity-building that moves beyond rote procedural training to foster critical governance consciousness among board members. Initiatives should focus on developing reflexive spaces where boards can collectively analyse their own entanglements with patronage networks and rehearse strategies for asserting their fiduciary and strategic roles .

Donors and supporting NGOs must therefore shift from compliance-checking towards facilitating these longer-term processes of endogenous institutional strengthening, which acknowledge the political dimensions of governance reform. Future research should employ comparative ethnographic methods to trace the diffusion and localisation of specific governance innovations across different Central and Eastern African political contexts, building upon the foundational insights established here. Such work would further disentangle the interplay between regime type, civic tradition, and the potential for autonomous board leadership.

Ultimately, strengthening governance in Equatorial Guinea's civil society is a profoundly political endeavour, requiring sustained engagement with the very power dynamics that this study has brought to light.

Contributions

This study makes a significant empirical contribution by providing one of the first in-depth, ethnographic analyses of board-level governance within the under-researched context of Equatorial Guinea's nonprofit and civil society sector. It advances scholarly understanding by elucidating how local socio-political structures and informal cultural norms shape leadership practices, challenging the direct application of Western governance models.

Practically, the findings offer evidence-based insights for regional capacity-builders and policymakers seeking to strengthen organisational resilience and accountability between 2021 and 2026. The research thus establishes a foundational case for future comparative studies on African governance.

References

- Enarsson, T., Enqvist, L., & Naartijärvi, M. (2021). Approaching the human in the loop – legal perspectives on hybrid human/algorithmic decision-making in three contexts. *Information & Communications Technology Law*
- Kossek, E.E., Perrigino, M.B., Russo, M., & Morandin, G. (2022). Missed Connections Between the Leadership and Work–Life Fields: Work–Life Supportive Leadership for a Dual Agenda. *Academy of Management Annals*
- Morfaki, C., & Morfaki, A. (2022). Managing Workforce Diversity and Inclusion: A Critical Review and Future Directions. *International Journal of Organizational Leadership*
- Srivastava, S. (2021). Algorithmic Governance and the International Politics of Big Tech. *Perspectives on Politics*