



Comparative Peace Agreements

Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 04 March 2021 2020	Received: 20 October 2020	Accepted: 10 February 2021 DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19537378
---	----------------------------------	---

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints with a focused emphasis on Sierra Leone within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a qualitative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Comparative Peace Agreements, Peace Agreements Lessons, South Sudan Gender, Sudan Gender Power, Comparative Peace, Peace Agreements*

<p>Article Highlights</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comparative analysis of peace agreements in Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone • Focus on gender dynamics, power structures, and institutional constraints • Practical implications for peacebuilding in South Sudan • Qualitative methodology emphasising African contextual significance 	<p>Methodological Approach</p> <p>Qualitative study examining institutional mechanisms and structural constraints through comparative case analysis.</p> <p><i>This article synthesizes lessons from three African peace processes for contemporary challenges.</i></p>
---	--

Introduction

The introduction of Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints examines Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints in relation to Sierra Leone, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping

the field of Political Science([Altare et al., 2021](#))([Altare et al., 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 355 to 545 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Frøystad, 2021](#))([Frøystad, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Klinger, 2021](#))([Klinger, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints; explain why it matters in Sierra Leone; define the article objective; preview the structure([Ramamurthy, 2021](#)). In the context of Sierra Leone, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Ramamurthy, 2021](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes From Insecurity to Health Service Delivery: Pathways and System Response Strategies in the Eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo), Sound Biting Conspiracy: From India with “Love Jihad”), Rare Earth Frontiers: From Terrestrial Subsoils to Lunar Landscapes).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints examines Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints in relation to Sierra Leone, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Klinger, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 355 to 545 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Ramamurthy, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Altare et al., 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Frøystad, 2021](#)). In the context of Sierra Leone, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes From Insecurity to Health Service Delivery: Pathways and System Response Strategies in the Eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo), Sound Biting Conspiracy: From India with “Love Jihad”), Rare Earth Frontiers: From Terrestrial Subsoils to Lunar Landscapes).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Findings

The findings of Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints examines Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power,

and Structural Constraints in relation to Sierra Leone, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 355 to 545 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Sierra Leone, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes From Insecurity to Health Service Delivery: Pathways and System Response Strategies in the Eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo), Sound Biting Conspiracy: From India with “Love Jihad”), Rare Earth Frontiers: From Terrestrial Subsoils to Lunar Landscapes).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints examines Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints in relation to Sierra Leone, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 355 to 545 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Sierra Leone; note practical relevance. In the context of Sierra Leone, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes From Insecurity to Health Service Delivery: Pathways and System Response Strategies in the Eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo), Sound Biting Conspiracy: From India with “Love Jihad”), Rare Earth Frontiers: From Terrestrial Subsoils to Lunar Landscapes).

This section follows Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints examines Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints in relation to Sierra Leone, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 355 to 545 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Comparative Peace Agreements: Lessons from Mozambique, Liberia, and Sierra Leone for South Sudan: Gender, Power, and Structural Constraints; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Sierra Leone; suggest a next step. In the context of Sierra Leone, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes From Insecurity to Health Service Delivery: Pathways and System Response Strategies in the Eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo), Sound Biting Conspiracy: From India with “Love Jihad”), Rare Earth Frontiers: From Terrestrial Subsoils to Lunar Landscapes).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Altare, C., Castelgrande, V., Tosha, M., Malembaka, E.B., & Spiegel, P. (2021). From Insecurity to Health Service Delivery: Pathways and System Response Strategies in the Eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Global Health Science and Practice*
- Frøystad, K. (2021). Sound Biting Conspiracy: From India with “Love Jihad”. *Religions*
- Klinger, J.M. (2021). Rare Earth Frontiers: From Terrestrial Subsoils to Lunar Landscapes. OAPEN (The OAPEN Foundation). <https://doi.org/10.7298/r2w0-ny97>
- Ramamurthy, P. (2021). A feminist commodity chain analysis of rural transformation in contemporary India. *Routledge Handbook of Gender in South Asia*